FOOTNOTES
FOR LEE HARVEY OSWALD, MEXICO CITY
AND THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

1/ See Warren Report, pp. 299-304, 733-736. In support of its account of Oswald's contacts with the Soviet and Cuban diplomatic missions, the Warren Commission published the following as exhibits:

1) A note from the Cuban Ministry of Foreign Affairs to the Swiss Ambassador in Cuba. (Commission Exhibit 2445.)

2) Lee Harvey Oswald's visa application and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Cuba's letter denying the visa. (Commission Exhibit 2564.)

3) Letterhead Memorandum to J. Lee Rankin from Richard Helms re: "Technical Examination of Photographs of Lee Harvey Oswald's Application for a Cuban Visa." (Commission exhibit 3127.)

4) The pages of Lee Harvey Oswald's notebook with the telephone numbers of the Cuban Consulate, the Soviet Consulate and the Soviet Military Attaché's office. (Commission Exhibit 2121.)


6) A letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Warren Commission listing the contents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's file holdings on Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the assassination. (Commission Exhibit 834. This document listed a Central Intelligence Agency "release" dated 10/9/63 that reported Oswald's contact with the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City.)

7) A memorandum dated 5/14/64 to the United States Embassy in Mexico City from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for Mexico. (Commission Exhibit 2120.)

8) A memorandum dated 6/9/64 from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Mexico to the United States Embassy in Mexico City. (Commission Exhibit 2123.)
9) A letterhead memorandum to J. Lee Rankin from Richard Helms re: "Hours of Work at the Cuban and Soviet Consulates." (Commission Exhibit 2568.)


See JFK Exhibit F-438, reprinted at page .

Ibid.

Ibid.

Ibid.

Ibid.; see also Section II, A, 3, below.

See JFK Exhibit F-438 and Section II, A, 3, below. A pulse camera is a camera equipped with a device that automatically triggers the shutter of the camera thereby exposing the film.


Ibid.


Interview, 6/3/78, p. 2.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 3.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 4. Cf: Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, pp. 107 and Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 46-47 in which CTA F is said to have printed the contact sheets.

Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, undated, pp. 1-2.

HMMA 22307, 10/18/63.

There were two common means of communication between the CIA Mexico City Station and CIA Headquarters: cables and dispatches. A dispatch from Mexico City to Headquarters always has the letter prefix "HMMA." A dispatch from Headquarters to Mexico City always has the letter prefix "HMMW." A cable from Mexico City to Headquarters always has the letter prefix "MEXI." A cable from Headquarters always has the letter prefix "DIR."

HMMA 22307, 10/18/63, para. 5, c.

Ibid.

See JFK Exhibit F-438.

HMMA 22307, 10/18/63, para. 5, c.

Ibid. There is general agreement that Robert Shaw was the case officer for this operation. The only person
who takes exception to that characterization of Mr. Shaw's role in the project is Mr. Shaw. (See: Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, pp. 107-108; Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 45-46; Interview, 6/3/78, p. 6. But see: Deposition of Robert Shaw, 5/16/78, p. 34.)

-4-

A 12/ HMMA 22307, 10/18/63, para. 5, c.
A 13/ Ibid.
A 34/ Ibid.
A 35/ Ibid. The Committee declines to assume that there had been no production by the time of this dispatch in October. The handling of the production, i.e., when it was picked up and taken to the Station, when it was developed, etc., is not known. But as the following analysis will show, there is a strong likelihood that the pulse camera was operating in late September and early October 1963.

A 36/ HMMA 22433, 11/7/63.
A 37/ Ibid.
A 38/ Ibid., para. 1.
A 39/ Ibid., para. 2.
A 40/ Ibid., para. 3.
A 44/ Ibid., attachment B.
A 42/ Ibid., para. 3.
A 43/ Ibid.
A 44/ Ibid., Attachment C.
A 45/ HMMA 22307, 10/18/63, para 5, c.
A 46/ HMMA 22433, 11/7/63, para. 3.
A 47/ Ibid.
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48/ Ibid.
49/ Ibid., para. 4.
50/ MEXI 9440, 6/19/64.
51/ Ibid.
52/ Ibid.
53/ Ibid.
54/ HSCA Classified notes of discussion with CIA employee, 7/20/78.
55/ Ibid.
56/ HMMA 22726, 1/16/64, CIA #4098.
57/ Ibid., para. 5, g.
58/ HMMA 26414, 6/22/65.
59/ Ibid., para. 1.
60/ Ibid., para. 3.
61/ HSCA Classified Notes of discussion with CIA employee, 7/20/78. The cryptonym was changed on 8 July 1964. (HMMA 12725, 7/8/64.)
62/ Letter from Blakey to Breckinridge, 7/21/78.
63/ See Sections III, A, 5 and 6 below.
64/ JFK Classified Document #142.
65/ Ibid.
66/ JFK Classified Document #146.
67/ Ibid.
68/ Ibid.

Classification: TOP SECRET

Classified by derivation: }

2100386
This assumption is considered reasonable in light of the desire of the Mexico City Station to establish coverage of the newly reopened Consulate entrance. See HMMA 22307, 10/18/63.

1966 LIONION Project Renewal Request, 1/1/66. "LIONION" was the CIA cryptonym for photographic surveillance operations aimed at the Cuban diplomatic compound.

See discussion of this memo in Section II, B, below.
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents.

- Interview, 6/3/78, p. 2.
- Ibid., p. 4.
- Ibid.
- HMMA 26414, 6/22/65, para. 5.
- See pages 24-27, above.

Notes made by A. Goodpasture for John Leader, IG Staff, re: "Background on Mexico Station Support Assets (Coverage of Soviet and Cuban Embassies)", 2/10/77, p. 1. Hereinafter cited as Goodpasture Notes. See also: Tab F Draft, p. 1; Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 15.

HMMA-23343, 4/30/64, para. 2. It is possible that the Saturday coverage was more extensive in 1963 during Oswald's visit. See following discussion of Review of Project LIEMPTY, attachment to HMMA-15979, 11/18/60;

Sketch based on HMMA-4300, 3/12/56 with attachments;
Goodpasture Notes, 2/10/77, p. 1; Tab F Draft, p. 1;
HMMA-14793, 4/8/60, para. 3; Goodpasture Exhibit 1, 11/20/78.

Goodpasture Notes, 2/10/77, p. 1; Tab F Draft, p. 1;
Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 15.
Ibid. See Map above. Even though LIMITED is referred to as the "primary" base because it was the first to be put into operation, the LYRIC base produced the best photographs. (HMMA-14793, 4/8/60, para. 3)

Tab F Draft, pp. 2 and 5; HSCA Executive Session Testimony of Ann Goodpasture, 4/13/78, p. 13; HSCA Staff Interview of [cIAC] 3/24/78, p. 3.

Ibid. on all proceeding; HSCA Staff Interview of [cIAC] and [cIAC] 3/20/78, p. 5; HSCA Deposition of [cIAC] 4/28/78, pp. 18-19; Memorandum from A Chief DDP/PG to Chief of Operations/DDP, 2/3/61;
Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 13.
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Interview, 3/20/78, p. 5.
Tab F Draft, p. 5; parenthetical in original.
Goodpasture Notes, p. 9.
MEXI 9332, 5/6/64.
Ibid.
CIA C1/C2
Interview, 3/20/78, p. 5.
See also Section II, A, 3 above.
Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 23. Ms. Goodpasture stated that the case officer handling the project was
(CIA B
Could not remember with certainty the scope of the photographic coverage of the Soviet Embassy gate.
(CIA B
Interview, 3/24/78, pp. 3-6.)
Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 28-29.
See below for more detail.
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 5/12/78;
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 10/31/78; CIA File entitled "LIMITED 17 July 63 (J 110) to 9 Dec. 1963 (J 163) from Archives-Job #70.209 Box #1 LIMITED Production Material."
Ibid.
Goodpasture Notes, p. 2; HSCA Staff Interview of
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 5/12/66; HUMA-31303, 2/7/67 with attached map; HUMA-32343, 4/30/64, para. 2.
Goodpasture Notes, p. 2. See also map printed above at p. 32.
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 5/12/78;
Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 10/31/78; CIA File entitled "LIMITED 17 July 63 (J 110) to 9 Dec. 1963 (J 163) from Archives-Job #70.209 Box #1 LIMITED Production Material."

Ibid.
There is evidence that when the LILYRIC base was originally set up that it was planned to have it operate in this manner:

"The LILYRIC base will be principally used for photographic surveillance of the LIMERICK targets, working alternately but without any pattern with the LIMITED base."

(HmmA-4160, 2/20/56, para. 5.) The station planned the operation in this manner so that "many of the outward signs of photographic surveillance will be reduced." (Ibid., para. 6.)
See above chart.

Ibid.

Ibid.

Review of Project LIEEMPTY, attachment to HMMA 15979, 11/18/60.

Emphasis added. "LIMERICK" is a CIA cryptonym meaning "Soviet."

Review of Project LIEEMPTY, attachment to HMMA 17999, 10/31/61.

See Review of Project LIEEMPTY, attachments to HMMA 20052, 10/18/62 and HMMA 22387, 10/25/63.

Memorandum from Chief of FI/OPS to Chief of Operations/DDP, 1/8/60.

HMMA 14793, 4/8/60, para. 3.

HMMA 23343, 4/30/64, para. 2. Emphasis added.

Memorandum for the Record, 5/19/78 from Gabrielson/Cornwell.

Letter from Blakey to Breckinridge, 7/25/78.

See also JFK Classified Documents #142 and 146.

Memorandum entitled "Response to HSCA request of 25 July 1978," 8/20/78; HSCA Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 10/31/78, p. 1. Cf. Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 47-51; Goodpasture Deposition, 11/20/78, pp. 50-51, where Ms. Goodpasture testified that although the logs and the contact prints from the LILYRIC base are missing, the negatives are on file at the CIA.


Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 41. HMMA 23343, 4/30/64, para. 2. Win Scott was the Chief of Station in Mexico.
City in 1963. He was a demanding and "hard" boss. (Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 10.) He was also a stickler for detail and for recording information in a retrievable form. (Ibid.; Goodpasture Notes, p. 6; Interview, 3/24/78, p. 6; Phillips Testimony, 4/25/78, p. 30.)

In 1962 or 1963 a cut-out was instituted in the LIMITED photographic base. The films were still developed and processed by Project Renewal Request, attachment to HMMA-22387, attached to Memorandum for DDP from J. C. King, 11/27/63, section I-B-1.)

Goodpasture Notes, p. 2.

Goodpasture Notes, p. 7; Interview, 3/24/78, p. 2.

Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 33-34.

Ibid.; Interview, 3/24/78, p. 2.

Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 34.

Ibid., p. 38.

Interview, 3/24/78, p. 1.


Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 6/26/78, pp. 3-5: Annual Fitness Report on Ann Goodpasture, 1/14/64.


Ibid., p. 40.

Ibid.; p. 41.

Alan White Deposition, 5/18/78, p. 7.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 9.

Ibid., p. 15.

See Goodpasture Deposition, 4/28/78, p. 4; where he calls Ms. Goodpasture "an assistant to the Chief of Station;"

Goodpasture Deposition, 4/28/78, p. 9; where she calls Ms. Goodpasture "Win Scott's right-hand person;"

Robert Shaw Deposition, 5/16/78, p. 11; where he says that Win Scott "relied very heavily on Ann Goodpasture;"

Goodpasture Deposition, 11/20/78, p. 34.

Ibid., pp. 34-35.

Ibid., p. 35.

Ibid., pp. 42-43.

HSCA Deposition of CTA C1, 4/28/78, pp. 11-12.

HSCA Deposition of CTA C1, 4/28/78, p. 15. [CTA C1 was in charge of the Soviet Branch of the CIA Station in Mexico City. CTA C2 was his assistant.]
Memo from Chief, WHD, to DOS, Mexico City, 12/30/63, para. 8.) This report will be referred to in some cases in this discussion of the intercept operation. The report, however, was simplified in order "not to risk losing the reader." (Memorandum from Chief, WHD, to DOS, Mexico City, 12/30/63, para. 2.) This simplification was mainly in the area of a distinction between reels of tape and transcripts and in the procedures.
used in the collection and distribution of the reels and transcripts. (Ibid.) In this discussion of the operation other sources will be relied upon in these areas.

In addition to the telephone surveillance maintained by the CIA Station which describes technical operation and individuals the Station also maintained surveillance on the Soviets which describes technical operation and individuals (not directed at the Soviet diplomatic compound but rather at the private apartments of Soviet officials in Mexico City. (Goodpasture: Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 5; CIA C Interview, 3/20/78, p. 7; HSCA Deposition of CIA C 4/28/78, p. 7; HSCA Deposition of CIA C 4/28/78, pp. 16-17.) There was also technical operation of some Cuban targets. (Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 51-52.) Ann Goodpasture testified that she believed that some of these targets were located in the Cuban diplomatic compound. (Ibid.) Ms. Goodpasture's memory is not borne out by the project file which describes technical operation. The only Cuban target of this project was the name of the Cuban Commercial Attaché. (HMM-22536, 11/9/63, para. F.2.)
Classification: TOC REPORT
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197 [CIA C1] Interview, 3/20/78, p. 2; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2]

198 [CIA C1] Interview, 3/20/78, p. 2.

199 HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1], 4/28/78, pp. 22-24.

200 Memorandum to the Ambassador from Winston M. Scott, 10/16/63,
        re: Lee Oswald/Contact with the Soviet Embassy [CIA C1]
        Interview, 3/20/78, pp. 17-19; HSCA Deposition of
        [CIA C1], 4/28/78, pp. 21, 31, 36; HSCA Deposition of
        [CIA C2], 4/28/78, pp. 52-52.


202 Ibid., p. 20.

203 HMMA-22005, 8/23/63, para. 4. See also HMMA-22267, 10/8/63,
        para. 4; HMMA-22135, 9/13/63, para. 4; HMMA-22452,
        11/7/63, para. 4 which all list reports of intercepts
        of American citizens, or English speaking people, in
        contact with Communists in Mexico. HMMA-21845, 7/30/63,
        para. 4, reports that the "traffic" is reviewed daily
        for English speaking persons making contact with the
        Soviet or Soviet satellite embassies.

204 HMMA 22267, 10/8/63, para. 2.

205 Ibid. In addition to the lines at the Soviet and Cuban com-
        pounds, describes technical operation, lines at
        the Czechoslovakian and Yugoslavian Embassies were sub-
        jects of taps. (HMMA-22267, 10/8/63, para. 2.) The two
        lines disconnected on 23 September at the Cuban Embassy
        were put on lines at the Yugoslavian Embassy on the
        same day. (Ibid.)

206 HMMA 22452, 11/7/63, para. 2.

207 Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 5/12/78,
        p. 1.

208 See above: HMMA 22267, 10/18/63, para. 2.

209 Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 7/26/78,
        p. 1.
219/ Ibid.

217/ See Tab F Draft, p. 4, which states that five Cuban lines were covered; Goodpasture Notes, p. 8, which also states that 5 Cuban lines were covered; and Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, p. 11, and Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 51-53, in which they state their belief that more than three lines in the Cuban diplomatic compound were covered by this operation.

212/ Project Renewal Request, 1/11/65, attachment to HUMA 25141, section D, 1, (b), (1).

213/ Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, p. 11. See also Section III, A, 3, below.


218/ Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 5; Levister Memo, p. 22.

215/ Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 2.

217/ Ibid.; Levister Memo, p. 11.

218/ Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 3.

219/ Ibid., p. 2; Levister Memo, p. 11; HSCA Deposition of R. Shaw, 5/16/78, p. 20.

249/ Ibid. See also Tab F Draft, p. 4. Cf. [CIA C1] and [CIA C2] Interview, 3/20/78, p. 5, in which the Chief of the Soviet Section in the Mexico City Station claims never to have heard of such summaries.

216/ Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, pp. 2-3.

222/ Ibid.; Goodpasture Notes, p. 8; Levister Memo, p. 11; Memorandum from Paul Levister to Chief/PI, 10/2/63, para. 2.

22/ Ibid.
Letter from Blakey to Breckinridge, 7/6/78.

Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 2; Levister Memo, p. 11.

Ibid.

Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 2.

Ibid. Project Renewal Request, 1/11/65, attachment to HMM-25141, Section D, (2).

Ibid.

Ibid. Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 5.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 2. The resuma provided quick summaries with the full detailed transcripts arriving at the Station one or two days after the resuma.

Ibid. 3

Ibid.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 3.

Ibid., p. 5.

Ibid. p. 2.

Ibid., p. 2.

HMM 22267, 10/8/63, para. 8; HMM 21845, 7/30/63, para. 8.

For handling of English language conversations see Sections II, C, 4, b, (3), (c), (d) and (e) below.

DIR 89660, 12/13/63; Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 63; Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76; p. 43.

Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 3.
243/ Ibid.

244/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 11.

245/ After the assassination Headquarters asked Mexico City not to destroy any of the Soviet tapes from this operation. (DIR 87770, 12/9/63) A note written by Ann Goodpasture in 1963 seems to confirm Arehart's assumption that the tapes were retained at the station.

(The Soviet tap operation) people just keep bringing in the reels to me (the ones I give them are not labeled so they don't necessarily know which ones return.) The storage problem is one for us and as the reels come in with transcriptions, I store them. When we can erase them, I'll take off the labels and send them back out.

(Note by Ann Goodpasture, 12/10/63, attached to DIR 87770, 12/9/63. Second parenthetical in original.)

246/ CIA F Interview, 6/3/78, p. 5.

247/ Emphasis added. Mr. Arehart was absolutely positive that Cuban tapes were retained for thirty days because he maintained them in the special rack. (Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 3.) Hence, the two week "usual practice" is probably not correct insofar as the Cuban tapes are concerned.


249/ Ibid. *46*

250/ Ibid., p. 47.

251/ Ibid., p. 45.

252/ Goodpasture Notes, p. 8. *Excess Test at p. Goodpasture, 4/13/78*

253/ p. 61.

254/ Ibid.; Goodpasture Notes, p. 8. *Table Draft, p. 5; HSCA Deposition on CIA Cl 4/28/78, pp. 28-29; Boris Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 7; HMK, 22267, 10/8/63, paras.*
Classification:
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3 and 8; HMMA 21845, 7/30/63, paras. 3 and 8; CIA F Interview, 6/3/78, p. 4; Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 4.

265. B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 19-20
266. A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 2-3.
267. Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 4.
258. Ibid.
259. Ibid. See also Section (e) below.
261. Levister Memo, p. 32.

The Spanish transcriptions had the name of the Embassy which was taped at the top of the first page of each transcript. (Transcript here means one day's coverage on one telephone line.) Following the name of the Embassy, the number of the phone covered is given. The date and times of coverage follow immediately after the phone number. The reel number is also given at the top of the page. Along the left-hand column of the page is the cite to the meter number on the tape recorder where the transcribed conversation is located. Generally, the time that the conversation occurred, and a notation as to whether it is an incoming or outgoing call, appears at the beginning of the transcribed conversations. (Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, p. 1; Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 69-70.) The format of the transcripts from the Cuban Embassy was essentially the same as the format of the Spanish language transcripts from the Soviet Embassy. (Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 5/12/78, pp. 1-2; Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, pp. 1-2.) Conversations that were in Russian were noted on the Spanish transcript were a number referring to the place on the reel where the conversation occurred. (Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, p. 1; CIA Transcript, CIA No. 32.)
Mr. Tarasoff prepared an original and two carbon copies of all of his transcriptions. All three copies were delivered to the Station. (B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 15.) One carbon was cut and pasted onto a separate sheet of paper, with the conversations grouped by identity of the caller or by subject matter. This was done by Mrs. Tarasoff. (Ibid., and p. 19.) The original, one intact carbon and the one cut up carbon were all delivered to the station. (Ibid.)
The daily resuma for Spanish language conversations largely negated the need for a similar procedure for Spanish language conversations in that the important and/or interesting ones were summarized in the resuma.

Ibid. The daily resuma for Spanish language conversations (See Section II, C, 4, b, (1), above) largely negated the need for a similar procedure for Spanish language conversations in that the important and/or interesting ones were summarized in the resuma.

Ibid.

[Classification:]

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA-controlled documents.)
This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA-controlled documents.

284/ Ibid., p. 6. Interview of [CIA C1/C2] 5/2/78, p. 4.
285/ A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 8-12.
287/ Ibid.
290/ [CIA C1] Interview, 3/20/78, p. 3; Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, pp. 14-16; Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 54, 63.
291/ Ibid.

Project Renewal Request, 1/11/65, attached to HMMA 25141.
292/ HMMA-22135, 9/13/63, para. 3; HMMA-12005, 8/23/63, para. 3.
294/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 7.
295/ Ibid., pp. 16-19; Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, pp. 3-4.
296/ Ibid., pp. 2-3.
297/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 30.
298/ Ibid., p. 13.
299/ Ibid.
300/ Ibid., p. 14; [CIA C1] Interview, 3/20/70, pp. 4 and 11.
301/ See Section II above.
302/ See Section II, C, 4 above.
See Sections II, A and B above.


Ibid; CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 9/27/63.


Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, pp. 6-7; CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 9/27/63.


Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, p. 12; CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/1/63.

Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78, pp. 12-14; CIA Transcript from the Russian Embassy, 10/1/63.


DIR 74830, 10/10/63. See also MEXI 6453, 10/8/63 and Section IV, B, below.

DIR 74830, 10/11/63. (Mexico City copy.)

Ibid.
On September 27, 1963, at 10:54 a.m., the following call occurred. A woman named Silvia named Guillermo Ruiz. Ruiz tells her that he has not yet been able to reach the consul and because of that he has not called her. Silvia tells him that "we" have no time for that and asks him for the consul's phone number. Ruiz responds by asking her not to get annoyed and he wants to know if Silvia wishes to speak to the consul personally. Silvia responds that she does. Ruiz says that the number is 11-28-47. Silvia says that is fine and the conversation ends. (CIA transcript from Cuban Embassy, 9/27/63.) It cannot be determined whether this caller was indeed Silvia Duran or if she subsequently called the consul since Duran's office phone was not tapped. The tap was on the telephone used by Ruiz.

See Sections II, A and B above.

See Sections II, C, 4, b, (2), (c) and (d) above.

A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78.

See Section III, A, 1 above.

A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 4.

Ibid.

Ibid., p. 6.

Ibid. 77

Ibid.

Ibid.

Ibid. See also Sections II, C, 4, b, (3), (c) and (e), above.
332/ A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 7-8.
333/ Ibid.
334/ See Section II, C, 4 b, (3), (e) above.
335/ A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 7-8.
336/ Ibid., p. 9.
337/ Ibid., p. 10.
338/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 25.
340/ Ibid.
341/ Ibid., p. 27.
342/ Ibid., p. 33.
343/ Ibid.
344/ See Section II, C, 4 b, (3), (e), above.
345/ See Section III, B below.
346/ Blind Memo re: Lee Harvey Oswald/Silvia Tirado de Duran,
Source: LI/4, 11/26/63, p. 5.
347/ A. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, p. 10. It is also interesting to note that Ms. Duran's statement that Oswald had blond hair, is also left out of subsequent CIA and Mexican official reports. (See Section V, C and VI, A below.)
350/ Ibid., p. 2.
351/ Ibid. James Angleton testified that he had in fact cleaned out Win Scott's safe but that he had not found any
photographs or intercept transcripts pertaining to Lee Harvey Oswald. (NSCA Deposition of James Angleton, 10/5/78, p. 116.) See

352/ Classified Letter from Blakey to Breckinridge, 7/6/78, p. 2.


354/ Foul Foe manuscript, p. 267.

355/ See discussion above.

356/ Blind Memo re: Lee Harvey Oswald/Silvia Tirado de Duran; Source: LI/4, 11/26/63, p. 5.

357/ See Sections V, C and VI, A, below.

358/ Eusebio Azcue Public Testimony, 9/18/78, pp. 33-35.

359/ See Section II, C, 3 above.

360/ See Sections II, A and B, above.

361/ See below.

362/ See below.

363/ It should be noted that the two sets of photographs which are missing are the most relevant of all the photographs produced by the Mexico City surveillance operations. Had a photograph of Oswald been obtained, it would have most likely have been done by one or both of the bases from which production is missing. Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate which was covered by a pulse camera. The photographs of people entering the Cuban Embassy have been made available to this Committee for review but the material from the pulse camera which covered the entrance to the Consulate which Oswald visited has not been forthcoming. (See Section II, A, 3, above, for details.) The documented standard operating procedure of the Soviet target bases indicates that LILYRIC, the base from which production was not forthcoming, would have been the one in operation at the time of
Oswald's visit. (See Section II, B, 4 above and Section (b) below for details.) In addition, HMA-14793, 4/8/60, indicated that the base from which production is missing "performs the best photography of persons visiting the front gate."

See preceding Section III, A, 1. In fact, Oswald may have visited the Soviet Embassy 3 times also. See analysis in Classified Letter from Turner to Stokes, 10/25/78, JFK Classified Document #146.

Interview, 6/3/78, p. 6.

See Section III, A, 1, above.

See Sections II, A and B, above.

See Sections II, A, 3 and II, B, 3 above.

HSCA Outside Contact Report, Phillip Agee, 1/6/78, JFK Document No. 004578.


Ibid., p. 3.

"Elsie Scaletti" is the CIA assigned pseudonym of this individual.

Agee Interview, 1/11/78, pp. 3-4.
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381/ Ibid., p. 11.
382/ Ibid., pp. 18-19.
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390/ Ibid; see also.
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392/ Ibid.
393/ Ibid., pp. 1-2.
394/ Ibid., p. 2.
395/ Ibid.; see also.
396/ See NSCA Deposition of James Angleton, 10/5/78, p. 116.
397/ Watson Interview, 6/4/78, p. 2.
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399/ Joseph B. Smith Interview, 10/19/77, p. 2, JFK Document No. 003012.
400/ Ibid.
401/ Ibid.

Classification: TOP SECRET

Classified by derivation:
A/ Ibid., p. 7. Des Fitzgerald was the Chief of the CIA's Cuban Task Force in 1964. Droller was a CIA Officer.

B/ Ibid., p. 7. Mr. Smith explained the "Counter-Intelligence mentality" by saying that people who had it were "rabid anti-Communists" (Smith Interview, 11/2/77, p. 3, JFK Document No. 003012) and "confirmed believers in the world Communist conspiracy theory... These guys actually believed that the split between the Russians and Chinese was a great deceptive operation. Colby (former Director of Central Intelligence) even says something more amazing. He claims that Angleton absolutely believed that all CIA operations were run by the KGB, (Soviet Intelligence.) He says Angleton (former Chief of Counter-Intelligence for the CIA) believed that every top Soviet defector we took in was a plant. I think that's incredible but I know there were some guys on his staff were his true believers." (Smith Interview, 4/20/78, p. 3, JFK Document No. 008279.)
In considering the above detailed allegations, it is important to distinguish between the allegation that a photograph was found at headquarters as opposed to the assertion that a photograph was found in Mexico City. It is also important to remember that these allegations are what can be termed "grapevine stories." There was a photograph found in Mexico City of a man that was thought possibly to be Oswald. This photograph and the circumstances surrounding it will be dealt with in a subsequent section. Ms. Anne Goodpasture of the Mexico City Station found this photograph. (See below.) In the absence of any evidence otherwise—Note: The Watson allegation says there was a photo in Mexico City in the mid-60's; not that it was found there—it is most likely that the grapevine stories about a photo being found in Mexico arise from Ms. Goodpasture's reporting the photo of the man who has since become known as the Mexico mystery man in the same cable that reported Oswald's visit to the Soviet Embassy. (See below.) The findings of this Committee regarding the general Mexico City surveillance operations are presented in Section II, A and B above. In summary, this Committee has found that there were three photographic surveillance bases (four cameras) that may have photographed Lee Harvey Oswald in September and October of 1963. This was determined from analysis of project files for these operations and the testimony of CIA officials. (See preceding sections.)

Letter from Blakey to Carpentier, 3/2/78, JFK Document No. 005879.
In addressing this question, the HSCA staff reviewed all the cable and dispatch traffic between Mexico City and Headquarters for the period from October 1, 1963 to 26 November 1963 in an attempt to determine whether Oswald's photo may have been sent to Headquarters. This examination also revealed no evidence that a photograph of Oswald was sent to CIA Headquarters. This Committee did find that there were several types of communications between Headquarters and field stations that were treated as non-record material. Because of the non-record nature of these communications, it was not possible to review that material.
457/ See Section II, A, 3 above.
158/ HSCA Deposition of Elsie Scaletti, 5/19/78, pp. 44-47.
159/ See Section II, A, 3 above.
60/ HSCA Deposition of Ann E. G. Egerter, 5/17/78, p. 70; HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 31.
61/ Ibid.
62/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, pp. 31-32.
63/ Ibid., and p. 32.
64/ Ibid., pp. 57.
65/ Ibid., p. 47.
66/ Ibid. Emphasis added.
59/ Foul Foe manuscript, p. 273.
70/ See Section III, A, 1 above for a more detailed exposition of the conversations.
1/ Memorandum to Clark Anderson from Winston Scott, 11/27/63, with seven attachments.
2/ Ibid.
4/ Ibid.
5/ Ibid., p. 9.
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477/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/3/63.

478/ If one assumes that Oswald could not speak any Spanish then only two conclusions about the first three calls of 9/27/63 are possible: 1) either these calls do not pertain to Oswald, or 2) they do pertain to Oswald but were made by someone other than Lee Harvey Oswald. This second possible conclusion will be addressed in a more detailed fashion in subsequent sections due to its ramifications vis-a-vis Oswald's activities and possible associates as opposed to the substance of the information available to the CIA Station prior to the assassination.

479/ Warren Commission Exhibit 2121, p. 112.

480/ Classified Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 3/9/78.

481/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/1/63; MEXI 6453, 10/8/63. This cable was sent from Mexico on 8 October and was received by Headquarters on 9 October. Hence, it is alternately referred to as either the 10/8 or 10/9 cable.

482/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/1/63; B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 26-28.

483/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/1/78.

484/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 9/28/63.

485/ A "P" file is simply a personality file. It was the Mexico City Station equivalent of a 201 file.

486/ Ibid.
487/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1], 4/28/78, p. 38; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 72.

488/ Ibid. HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 72.

489/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1], 4/28/78, p. 46.


491/ Ibid.; CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 9/27/63.

492/ Ibid.; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 76.

493/ Ibid.; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 46.


495/ HSCA Deposition of Alan White, 5/18/78, pp. 33-34; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1], 4/28/78, p. 46; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, pp. 84-86, 88-89.


498/ See Sections III, B, 2, above, and IV, B, below.

499/ D. Phillips Interview, 8/24/78, A Report on Oswald from John Scelsa to J. Angleton, 12/24/63, p. 4.
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501/ CIA Transcript from Russian Embassy, 9/27/78.
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503/ See Section II, C, 4, b, (3), above.

504/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 72; HSCA Deposition of [CIA C2], 4/28/78, p. 38.
505/ CIA Transcript from Soviet Embassy, 9/27/63; HSCA Deposition of CIA CZ 4/28/78, p. 76.
507/ Tab F Draft, p. 5.
508/ Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, pp. 4-5.
509/ HMMA-22005, 8/23/63, para. 4; see also Section II, C, 4 above.
510/ Ibid., HMMA-22005, 8/23/63, para. 4.
511/ Ibid.; Arehart Interview, 6/2/78, p. 5; Testimony of B. Tarasoff, 4/12/78; p. 10.
512/ Testimony of Boris Tarasoff, 4/12/78, p. 11; Testimony of A. Tarasoff, 4/12/78, p. 14.
513/ Tab F Draft, p. 5.
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517/ David A. Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, p. 64.
518/ MEXI 6453, 10/8/63.
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521/ HSCA Deposition of CIA CZ 4/28/78, pp. 158; HSCA Deposition of CIA CZ 4/28/78, p. 35.
522/ Classified HSCA Staff Summary of CIA documents, undated, entitled "Periodic File Summary - Dave Phillips - 3 Components."
523/ Blind Memorandum entitled "Delay in Sending the first Cable about Oswald."


527/ Classified HSCA Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 6/26/78, p. 4.

528/ Ibid.

529/ Deposition of [CIA C2] 4/28/78, p. 65. Cf. "Foul Foe" manuscript, p. 268, where Win Scott writes: "In fact, Lee Harvey Oswald became a person of great interest to us during this 27 September to 2 October, 1963 period"; and on page 269, where he writes: "Because we thought at first that Lee Harvey Oswald might be a dangerous potential defector from the U.S.A. to the Soviet Union, he was of great interest to us, so we kept a special watch on him and his activities."

530/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 25.


533/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1] 4/28/78, p. 36.

534/ See above. Cf. "Foul Foe" manuscript, pp. 268-269.


537/ Ibid. 4/27-28.

The HSCA has requested a copy of any internal regulations or any liaison agreement with the Federal Bureau of Investigation that may have been in existence in 1963. (Letter to Breckinridge, 7/20/78.) The CIA responded "that there are not now, nor were there any, agreements between the CIA and FBI or other U.S. government agencies concerning CIA responsibility to report on contacts of U.S. citizens with Communist Embassies or officials... The CIA under operative legislation has a counterintelligence responsibility for counterintelligence cases but it is not a matter of agreement with other agencies nor does it concern simple contacts of Americans abroad with Communist Embassies or officials." (Memorandum to Breckinridge from Shepanek, 7/31/78.)

See Section III, B, 2, above.

The connection of this photograph to Oswald by including the description in the cable that reported Oswald's contact with the Soviet Embassy has created more confusion and controversy than perhaps any other CIA related issue stemming from assassination. Consequently, this Committee has made an attempt to determine the circumstances surrounding the mistaken linkage of this photograph to Oswald as well as the consequences of that mistake. The consequences
of the mistake will be dealt with in more detail in subsequent sections.

49/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 35; Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 81, 86-87.

50/ HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 28.

51/ Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 107. See also Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 84; and HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 32.

52/ Log Film 143, 9/25/63 through 9/27/63.


54/ CIA-Transcript from Russian Embassy, 10/1/63.

This mistake was evidently not discovered until 1976. See WX724, a chronology of the Mexico City investigation prepared by Raymond Rocca in 1967 which lists the date as 1 October; A note on HMMW-15488, 5/18/67 which indicates that Ann Goodpasture checked the photographs and that "negatives are in LIMITED photo chrono for 1 Oct 1963."

56/ Log film 144, 10/1/63 through 10/3/63.


58/ See Classified HSCA Staff Summary of Review of CIA Documents, 6/26/78, pp. 3-5.


60/ See Section III, A, 5 above.

61/ MEXI 6453, 10/9/63.

62/ Ibid.

63/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 34; HSCA Deposition of Elsie Scaletti, 5/19/78, p. 26.
A restricted file is a method of keeping abreast of any information that develops on the subject of the file. The file is marked to indicate that it cannot be reviewed without the permission of the person who made the restriction. The effect is that if anyone wants to see the file, the custodian of the file learns of that desire and the information leading to it. (HSCA Deposition of Ann E. G. Egerter, 5/17/78, p. 51.)

567/ See Section

568/ HSCA Deposition of A. Egerter, 5/17/78, p. 77.

569/ Deposition of Elsie Scaleiti, 5/19/78, p. 29.


571/ Ibid., pp. 70-71; HSCA Deposition of Elsie Scaleiti, 5/19/78, p. 33.

572/ Ibid. The Zed (Greenwich) times stamped on the cable and the teletype indicates that the teletype was sent before the cable.

573/ DIR 74830, 10/10/63.

574/ Ibid.

575/ Ibid.

576/ Ibid.

577/ Teletype from CIA to State, FBI and Navy, DIR 75478, 10/10/63.

578/ Ibid.

579/ The mistaken identification of Oswald as "Lee Henry" is dealt with in another section of this final report.
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Scott’s manuscript may provide a clue when he writes that during his first contact with the Soviet Embassy, Oswald “gave his name very slowly and distinctly.” (Foul Foe Manuscript, p. 267.) If Oswald used his middle name at that time it would explain why Scott wrote “sic” by “Henry.” But it should be noted that Oswald’s name does not come up in any of the transcripts made available by the CIA until 10/1/63, and Oswald’s middle name is not given in that transcript. It is possible that there is one additional transcript, possibly of the conversation that Scott, on page 267 of his manuscript, refers to as Oswald’s “first contact,” which, if in existence, has not been made available to this Committee. (See Section III, A, 5, above.)

603/ DIR 74830, 10/11/63.
604/ HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 35; Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 104.
605/ Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 105.
606/ Emphasis in original.
607/ Routing and Record Sheet for DIR 74830, 10/11/63.
610/ HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 37.
611/ See Section III, A, 7, above.
See Section III, A, 1, above.

Note on Article by Robert S. Allen and Paul Scott, "CIA Withheld Vital Intelligence from Warren Commission," 10/21/64.

The Chief of the Mexico Branch at Headquarters has told this Committee that it is his belief that the tapes were probably still in existence at the time of the assassination. (HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 76-77.) But the testimony of the persons at the Mexico City Station is consistent in saying that the tapes did not exist at the time of the assassination. (See HSCA Deposition of Robert Shaw, 5/16/78, p. 29; HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 20; David A. Phillips Testimony, 11/27/76, p. 63; David A. Phillips Testimony, 4/25/78, pp. 17, 23; Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 94.)

See Section II, C, 4, b, (3), (e); Tab F Draft, pp. 5-6.

If the 9/28/63 conversation received expedited handling also, it is possible that it would have been in the Station prior to the first or second of October.

A. Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 95.

DIR 84886, 11/23/63, para. 2.

MEXI 7023, 11/23/63, para. 2.

MEXI 7025, 11/23/63, para. 4.

MEXI 7054, 11/24/63, para. 3.

See discussion in text above and below.

See Section II, C, 4, above.

Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 94.

Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 95-96; See also pp. 77, 93, 110.
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626/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 12, 13.
627/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1] 4/28/78, p. 28.
628/ See discussion above.
629/ See footnote 614 above.
630/ Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 96.
631/ HSCA Deposition of Robert Shaw, 5/16/78, p. 29; HSCA Deposition of Allan White, 5/18/78, p. 20; David A. Phillips Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 17-23; Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 94.
632/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, pp. 76-77.
633/ B. Tarasoff Testimony, 4/12/78, pp. 12, 13.
634/ See Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, pp. 94-95, 77.
635/ HSCA Deposition of [CIA C1] 4/28/78, p. 28.
637/ Ann Goodpasture Testimony, 4/13/78, p. 75. Emphasis added.
638/ Routing and Record Sheet for DIR 74830, 10/11/63.
639/ MEXI 6534, 10/15/63.
Memorandum for the Ambassador from Winston M. Scott, re:
Lee OSWALD/Contact with the Soviet Embassy, 10/16/63.
Emphasis added.

There are some cryptic references to the CIA Station trying
to determine Oswald's whereabouts after receiving the
10/11 cable. (See Testimony of Ann Goodpasture, 4/13/78,
p. 113.) The procedures used in this attempt and the
actions that may have been taken have not been deter-
m. If any records exist of these efforts, they have
not been made available to this Committee.

HSCA Deposition of Elsie I. Scaleti, 5/19/78, p. 43.
HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, pp. 61-62.
HSCA Deposition of Elsie I. Scaleti, 5/19/78, pp. 29-30.
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Ibid., p. 81.
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Phillips Interview, 8/30/78, p. 5.
Foul Foe manuscript, pp. 268-269. Emphasis added.
HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, pp. 63-64.
HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, pp. 64-65.
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659-B/ Ibid.

659-C/ MEXI 7014, 11/22/63, para. 3.

659-D/ MEXI 7014, 11/23/63, para. 3.

660/ HSCA Depositin of [Redacted], 4/28/78, p. 53.

661/ JFK Classified Document #131.

662/ Ibid.

663/ MEXI 7029, 11/23/63, para. 1.

664/ Classified HSCA Staff Summary, 3/15/78, p. 11.

665/ See Section III, B, 2, above.

666/ MEXI 7014, 11/22/63, para. 2.

667/ DIR 84802, 11/22/63, para. 1. The sequence of the cables can be determined in that they were numbered sequentially as they were sent. In this regard it should be noted that this system can indicate the order in which the cables were sent but not the order in which they were written because, at least in Mexico, several of the Station officers were drafting and sending cables. Hence, the order of writing them may not be the same as the order in which they were sent.

668/ MEXI 7014; see preceding paragraph.


670/ Ibid., para. 2.

671/ Ibid., para. 3.

672/ HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 37.

Classification: [Redacted]
HSCA staff have wondered whether or not the Mexico City Station was entirely forthcoming of their own will with Headquarters. This speculation was based on three pieces of information. The first is the report in MEXI 7024 that Headquarters had all the available information. The second is a note from Win Scott on the Routing and Record Sheet for MEXI 7033 which says:

I had a call from Washington (John Scelso) at 1620 hours on 23/XI. I told John Scelso at 1630 hours (our time) on 23/XI that this would be on route to him.

The third is a cable reporting that on the 23rd Win Scott had an urgent call to see the President of Mexico. The cable reports that Scott expected the President to express his condolences over the assassination but "developed that[(Source)] had located call to Soviet Embassy of Lee Oswald made on 28 September."

The Committee declines to speculate that the Mexico City Station was possibly considering not reporting all the information available to them. It was considered that this may have been contemplated in order to protect themselves from a charge about their failure to report the information prior to the assassination.

Classification: 

[classification information]
In consequence, the full report followed very shortly after the meeting between the Mexican President and Win Scott, and immediately after Scott discussed the situation with his nominal superior in Washington by telephone. Scott's superior was asked about this. He said that he did not remember the substance of his telephone call to Win Scott on the 23rd. (HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, p. 88) "I do not have any idea why (I called). I may have been ordered to call him on any number of things." (Ibid., p. 87.) He was also asked about Scott's meeting with the Mexican President.

You get a psychological question. The Chief of Station is called in to speak to [describe a meeting]. There had been a big fight when the new Ambassador got there—no, it wasn't that. It was a very peculiar situation in Mexico whereby the [No suitable substitute language]

This caused no great problem with the then-Ambassador whose name I have forgotten.

Q: Thomas Mann?

A: Yes. He was a very fine guy and recognized the usefulness of this arrangement. The next Ambassador, Mr. Freeman, [No suitable substitute language]

So, the result was, eventually, Winston Scott and Ambassador Freeman went to the White House and talked to President Johnson and straightened the whole thing out in favor of Winston Scott.
So, Scott is just remarking that it is interesting that

Q: He should have been since it was a [describes technical operation].

A: Yes. But you do not always know whether he was informed. I think we always knew he was informed. I think we always knew he was informed. It is just significant that the person calls you in and says, here is some intelligence about the Kennedy thing.

(HSCA Deposition of John Scelso, 5/16/78, pp. 83-84.)

Win Scott indicated that he was going to write a memorandum concerning his meeting with the President of Mexico. If Mr. Scott wrote such a memo, it has not been made available to the HSCA. David Phillips, who after serving in Mexico, went on to accumulate quite an impressive record as a Chief of Station in several countries himself, told committee investigators that a memorandum of this sort, along with other "sensitive" information would be the type of thing that Win Scott probably kept in his private safe. (David A. Phillips Interview, 8/24/78, p. 10.) Mr. Phillips added that he did not know what happened to Mr. Scott's safe after he died but he had heard vague stories about someone finding a lot of documents that Scott had kept. (Ibid.)
and a year later Ann Goodpasture noted on a newspaper article that such a comparison was done. Note also the MEXI 7024 reported that HQ had all the available information when in fact all conversations were reported in a later cable, MEXI 7033.
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695/ Chief of Station phone call to Luis Echevarria, November 23, 1963, CIA No. 440; Flash Cable from Karamessines to Mexico City Station, November 23, 1963, DIR 84916, CIA No. 403; Chronology of Raymond Rocca, entry 46, November 23, 1963, CIA No. 636.

696/ Blind Memo re Lee Harvey Oswald and Silvia Duran, November 26, 1963, CIA #473.
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698/ Cable from Mexico City Station to Headquarters, November 27, 1963, MEXI 7101, CIA #472.
699/ Cable from Mexico City Station to Director, December 12, 1963, MEXI 7364, CIA No. 557-558.

700/ Cable Mexico City Station to Headquarters, November 27, 1963, MEXI 7105, CIA No. 479; Chronology of Raymond Rocca, November 27, 1963, entry no. 127, CIA No. 562.

701/ Cable from Headquarters to the Mexico City Station, November 28, 1963, DIR 85371, CIA No. 464; Chronology of Raymond Rocca, Nov. 28, 1963, WX 7241, entry No. 141, CIA No. 658.

702/ CIA Cable from Headquarters to Mexico City Station, December 21, 1963, DIR 90466, CIA No. 549; Chronology of Raymond Rocca, December 21, 1963, Entry No. 268, CIA No. 682.

703/ Ibid.
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6/ Supra, p. 186.

707/ Supra, p. 187.
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708/ Memorandum for the Record re Trip to Mexico City, from W. David Slawson, 4/22/64, pp. 39-40. Last Parenthetical in the original.
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710/ HSCA Interview of Silvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Document #011775, p. 17.

711/ Letter HSCA to Mexican government, JFK Document #

712/ HSCA interview of Silvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. #011775, p. 20.

713/ Ibid., p. 19-23.

LIRING/3 was a source the CIA rated reliable.

LIRING 3's case officer's pseudonym.

"Pitched" is a term used by the CIA to designate an attempt to recruit an individual.

HSCA Interview of David Phillips, 8/3/78, p. 8. See also HSCA Testimony of Ann Goodpasture, 11/20/78, p. 63.
The HSCA requested of the CIA on August 20, 1978 an explanation of why there was no "P" file on Silvia Duran, Luisa Calderon Carralero, Teresa Proenza Proenza, Eusebio Azcue Lopez, Guillermo Oresta, Ruiz Perez, Gilberto Policarpo Lopez, Juan Manuel Calvillo Alonso and June Viola Cobb Sharp.

The agency responded the following on September 11, 1978:

Station index cards show the following information re 201 numbers and "P" numbers:

1) Silvia Duran (No info re "P" number)
2) Luisa Calderon Carralero  P-8292 Destroyed
3) Teresa Proenza Proenza (No info re "P" number)
4) Eusebio Azcue Lopez P-6613 Destroyed

5) Guillermo Orestes Ruiz Perez (No info re "P" number)

6) No index card on Gilberto Policarpo Lopez, there is an index card on one Gilberto Policarpo Lopez which does not list any 201 or "P" number.

7) Juan Manuel Calvillo Alonso (No info re "P" number)

8) June Viola Cobb Sharp P-7381 Destroyed.
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The U.S.-Cuban relations in 1963-1964 were not very good. See U.S.-Cuban Relations Section. Also, Azcue traveled from Mexico to Cuba on November 18, 1963.
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Ibid.
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Azcue could not pinpoint the exact date.

HSCA Public Testimony of Eusebio Azcue, 9/18/78, p. 32.

Ibid., p. 33.
Azcue could not pinpoint the time of the phone call.

HSCA Public Hearing Testimony of Eusebio Azcue Lopez, 9/18/78, p. 34.
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HSCA Public Testimony of Eusebio Azcue Lopez, 9/18/78, p. 36.
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AMMUG, a CIA Defector, alleged that Mirabal Diaz was a DGI agent.
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HSCA Public Testimony of Alfredo Mirabal Diaz, 9/18/78, p. 117.
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Tichborn was a cryptonym. He was a witting asset who wrote propaganda pieces for the CIA. After careful review of the CIA file, the HSCA has not been able to establish a link to either Ms. Odio or Cobb.
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CIA 10/12/64 Memo, CIA #596; WX 7241, p. 87, CIA #714.
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Memo from Winston Scott to the files, re June Cobb, 11/25/64, CIA No. 592-593; WX 7241, p. 88, Entry #404, CIA #715.
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Memo from Winston Scott to the files, re June Cobb Sharp, 11/25/64, CIA Nos. 592-593, WX 7241, p. 88, Entry #404, CIA No. 715.
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HSCA Review of Classified CIA Documents.

The Legal Attache in 1964 was Clark Anderson.
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El Sol is a newspaper, The Sun.

Cable from Mexico City to the Director, MEXI 1950, 6/29/67; Wx-7241, entry #622, p. 118. #745.
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Pedro Gutierrez Valencia claimed that he bumped into Lee Harvey Oswald at the Consulate on September 27, 1963. Valencia was at the Consulate doing a credit check on one of the Cuban employees.

See Section VI, D above for details on Oscar Contreras' allegations.

See Section VI C for details on Elena and Elenita Garro's allegations.

Elena Garro's sister who came to the conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was at one of Ruben Duran's twist parties in the fall of '63.

Eunice Odio reported on Elena Garro's allegations in 1965. See Section VI, C, above.

Elena Garro reported that at one of the twist parties, Emilio Carballido and Eusebio Azcue had come to the conclusion that Kennedy had to be assassinated. (See also, Section VI, C, above.

Elena Garro alleged that Victor Rico Galan had claimed that Lee Harvey Oswald had been Silvia Duran's lover. See also, Section VI, C, above.
Díaz-Ordaz and Echevarría were the two presidents of Mexico respectively from 1964 to 1970 and 1970 to 1976.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Horacio Duran, 6/5/78, JFK Document No. 011680.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Pedro Gutiérrez Valencia, 6/5/78, JFK Document No. 011682.

Lynn Duran is the sister of Horatio and Ruben Duran. The Committee wished to ask her whether Lee Harvey Oswald had attended any twist parties at the Duran homes.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Lynn Duran, 6/5/78, JFK Doc. # 011681.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Ruben Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. # 011680.

The Committee never sent the Mexican government the questions because a 2nd trip to Mexico was arranged.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Betty Serratos, JFK Doc. # 011392.

See HSCA Staff Interview of Silvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Document No. 011775.

CIA A was one of AMMUG's (a Cuban DGI Defector in 1964) Security Officers. The Committee wished to ask about AMMUG's statements about Lee Harvey Oswald's Trip to the Cuban Consulate.

CIA C was a CIA agent in charge of picking up the photographic surveillance film from personnel stationed at the three bases and delivering it to his brother-in-law (unknown) and later delivering it to his case officer Ann Goodpasture.
1209/ See HSCA Staff Write-Ups of [CIA A] Interview 8/9/79.

1210/ Oscar Contreras Lartigue claimed that he met Oswald in Mexico City in the fall of 1963. See Section VI, D above.

1211/ Elena claimed that when she told Noe W. Palomares about meeting Lee Harvey Oswald, he advised her to send an anonymous letter to Texas, explaining her account. The Committee wished to question Mr. Palomares about Elena's credibility.

1212/ See Section VI, C for details and significance.

1213/ LICOOKE I is June Cobb Sharp. See Section IV, C for details.

1214/ The Committee wished to ask about commentary after the assassination at the Cuban Embassy about both Lee Harvey and John F. Kennedy's assassination.

1215/ The Committee wished to question about the Cuban Embassy employees' reactions to the assassination. The Committee also wished to question about Silvia Duran and his knowledge of Lee Harvey Oswald.

1216/ LIRING 3 was a Cuban citizen who was a source for the CIA. He had reported that Silvia Duran had told him that she had had an affair with Lee Harvey Oswald. The Committee wished to question him about Silvia Duran.

1217/ See HSCA Staff write-up of Interview with [CIA G].
General Jesus Jose Calrk Flores escorted Ruben Duran to Russia in 1962 and was considered by many to be Duran's protector. Also, Elena claimed that Flores was at the party that she stated Lee Harvey Oswald was in attendance. The Committee wished to ask Mr. Clark Flores about all the above.

Ernesto Lehfeld Miller is a Mexican citizen that resembled Eusebio Azcue's description of the man that allegedly visited the Cuban Consulate. All the Durans recognized Miller. Horatio and Silvia said that Miller was a close friend and borrowed Horatio's car often.

See House Select Committee on Assassinations Staff Write-Up of Noe W. Palomares, 8/10/78.

See HSCA Staff write-up of interview with Ernesto Lehfeld Miller, 8/11/78.

In 1963 Nilo Otero as an official in the Cuban government's Ministry of Foreign Relations, interviewed Eusebio Azcue about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Consulate.

In 1963 the Cuban government employed Rogelio Rodriguez at the Cuban Embassy. The Committee wished to interview Mr. Rodriguez about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Embassy employees' reactions to the Kennedy assassination.

Rolando Cubela, known as AMLASH, was prominent in the Senate Select Committee's Book V which reported the possibility that the Central Intelligence Agency's attempts to assassinate Cuban President Fidel Castro provoked the Cuban government to orchestrate the assassination of President Kennedy in retaliation.
In 1963 the Cuban government employed Orestes Guillermo Ruiz Perez at the Cuban Embassy. The Committee wished to interview Mr. Rodriguez about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Consulate and about the Cuban Embassy employees' reactions to the Kennedy assassination. In addition, Mr. Ruiz is the cousin-in-law of one of the most active anti-Castroites, Antonio Veciana Blanch.

Griselle Rubio claimed in a letter Dec. 1963 to DRI that Ruby had been in Cuba in 1962 or 1963 visiting Solomon Pratkins. The Committee located and interviewed Ms. Rubio in Miami.

James Wilcott is an ex-CIA employee who appeared voluntarily in August 1978 at the Cuban Government's Tribunal which accused the CIA of complicity in the John F. Kennedy assassination.

Philip Agee is an ex-CIA employee who appeared voluntarily in August 1978 at the Cuban government's Tribunal which accused the CIA of complicity in the John F. Kennedy assassination.

The Tribunal, held in August 1978 was an effort by the Cuban government to accuse the CIA of complicity in the John F. Kennedy assassination. Ex CIA agents, James Wilcott and Phillip Agee testified at the Tribunal along with ex-Cuban Consul in Mexico, Eusebio Azcue.

See HSCA Interview of Juan Nilo Otero, 9/25/78, JFK Document No.

Alfredo Mirabal Diaz assumed the Consul position in the Cuban government's Mexico City Consulate on September 2, 1963. He was present during all of Oswald's visits to the Consulate. Also, see HSCA Interview of Alfredo Mirabal Diaz, 8/26/78, JFK Document No.
The Committee wished to question Mr. Verdacia about the identities of the organized crime figures detained in Tres Cornia at the inception of the Cuban revolutionary government.

HSCA Interview of Jose Verdacia Verdacia, 8/26/78, JFK Doc. # 012224.

See HSCA Interview of Orestes Guillermo Ruiz Perez, 8/27/78, JFK Doc. #

See HSCA Interview of Rolando Cubela Secades, 8/28/78, JFK Document #

In 1963 the Cuban government employed Maria Teresa Proenza y Proenza at the Cuban Embassy. The Committee wished to interview Ms. Proenza about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Consulate and about the Cuban Embassy employees' reactions to the assassination of John F. Kennedy. Also, See HSCA Interview of Maria Teresa Proenza y Proenza, 8/28/78, JFK Doc. #

See Verbatim transcript of 8/28/78 session. JFK Doc. # 012208

In 1963, Manuel Piñiero, Chief of the Ministry of Foreign Relations, interviewed Eusebio Azcue about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Consulate. In addition, Mr. Piñiero was the Cuban revolutionary government official who met with Santo Trafficante and gave him 24 hours to leave the country.

In 1963 the Cuban government employed Luisa Calderon Carralero at the Cuban Embassy. The Committee wished to interview Ms. Proenza about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits to the Cuban Consulate and about the Cuban Embassy employees' reactions to the assassination of John F. Kennedy.
In 1963 Raul Roa interviewed Eusebio Azcue in Cuba about Lee Harvey Oswald's activities in Mexico City.