Date: 08/20/93

Page:1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : HSCA

RECORD NUMBER: 180-10116-10338

RECORDS SERIES :

TRANSCRIPTS OF EXECUTIVE SESSION HEARINGS

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: HSCA

FROM:

TO:

TITLE:

EXECUTIVE SESSION - PENDING BUSINESS

DATE: 02/27/78

PAGES: 60

SUBJECTS:

HSCA, METHODOLOGY

HSCA, ADMINISTRATION

CIA

DOCUMENT TYPE : TRANSCRIPT

CLASSIFICATION: U

RESTRICTIONS : OPEN IN FULL

CURRENT STATUS : Ø ×

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 02/08/93

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

Box &. |

Stenographic Transcript Of

HEARINGS

Before The

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EXTECUTIVE SESSION

PENDING BUSINESS

Washington D.C. February 27, 1978

Alderson Reporting Company, Inc.

Officialis Reporters

300 Seventh St., S. W. Washington, D. C.

554-2345

RURCURIVE SESSION

PENDING BUSINESS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1978

House of Representatives,

Committee on Assassinations,

Washington, D. C.

The cormittee convened at 2 p.m., pursuant to call, in room 2167, Rayburn House Office Building, the Hon. Louis Stokes (chairman of the committee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Stokes, Preyer, Fathtroy, Dodd, Fithian, Edwar, Devine and Sawyer.

Staff Members Present: G. Robert Blakey, Elizabeth

Berning, G. Johnson, J. Wolf, C. Emanuel, M. Wills, E. Klien,

G. Cornwell, W. Cross and M. Goldsmith.

The Chairman. A quorum being present at this time we will call the meeting to order.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Blakey.

Mr. Blakey. Mr. Chairman, you have before you an agenda of some twelve items and the first four will require a vote of one kind or another. Five through twelve are primarily informational in character. The first is an issue dealing with immunities. The hearing scheduled on both the Martin Luther King and Kennedy side in the month of March concentrate

RELOIGING BUILDING, . . . nan 7 mii Sykelee, ::

: 2

13

٠.4

1.5

ίá

• -

. 3

; 🕫

20

24

23

5

á

on a number of people that perhaps the Committee volume secure immediates for. Since this deals with an investigation issue, I would recommend to the Committee that we go into Executive Session at this time.

The Chairman. May we have such a motion?

Mr. Fauntroy. I so move, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. It has been properly moved that the Committee go into Executive Session for the reason set forth by staff counsel.

The clark will call the roll.

Miss Berning. Mr. Stokes.

The Chairman. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Devine.

Mr. Davine. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Preyer.

Mr. Preyer. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. McKinney.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mr. Fauntroy.

Mr. Fauntroy. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Tohar

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mrs. Burke.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mr. Sawyer.

Mr. Sawyer. Aye.



Mr. Jodá. Aya.

Miss Sermany. Mr. Ford.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mr. Fithian.

Mr. Fithian. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Edgar.

(No masponse)

Miss Serning. Seven Ayes, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Seven members of the Committee have voted in the affirmative. The Committee is now officially in Executive Session. All members of the public are requested to remove themselves from the hearing room.

Mr. Blakey. Mr. Chairman, my very able lawyer points out that we need eight members present to do the immunities and that we have only seven. I understand that Mr. Edgar will be here shortly and as soon as he comes we can return to the immunities issue.

Perhaps we could do issue number two first. Issue number two deals with an addendum to the non-disclosure agreement. The Committee will recall the Committee has before it a proposed resolution approving this addendum. The Committee will recall that the non-disclosure agreement was drafted at a point in time where we did not have access to material from the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. We now have access to material from the Senate Committee on

BUILDING, UPSHINGOU,

5.4

STREET,

1 🚣

: 3

7 1

1.5

:3

20

Intelligence and some of that material deals with information obtained from the CIA and the Federal Sureau of Investigation.

modified to protect the Senate Intelligence material stemming from the Central Intelligence Agency. You have before you a resolution that would simply extend the non-disclosure agreement in that way. The key point in the agreement is, of course, that the Select Committee and the House of Representatives remains in the driver's seat as to controlling the disclosure of any information. After the Committee is no longer in existence, the Central Intelligence Agency, if the House of Representatives does not provide otherwise, would be a successor in interest in enforcing the non-disclosure agreement.

The Chairman. All right.

Mr. Blakey. It would be appropriate at this time if someone would make a motion to approve the addendum. Since this is a contract between the Committee and the staff, as a contract it must have the approval of the full committee.

The Chairman. May we have a motion to that effect?

Mr. Fithian. Mr. Chairman, I so move.

The Chairman. It has been properly moved that the Committee approve the addendum portion of the agreement.

Do you need a roll call vote?

Mr. Blakey. No.

11 m

7.111

13

24

5.5

(202)

20024

=

UPERTIFICATION,

BUTTOTAG,

RUPORTURE

. ...

STREET,

7.1.11

· 3

20

The assign is carried. So ordered.

Mr. Slaway. The next issue to bring to your actantion is the issue of number four dealing with designated counsel. As the Conmittee is aware, under its rules counsel for the Committee may take what amount to depositions from consenting witnesses. As we have been constructing the hearing schedule it has become increasingly obvious to us that not all witnesses that we should hear under oath can be heard before the Committee and we made an effort to draw a distinction hatkeen those kinds of witnesses that may be obstreperous, that raise issues of credibility or that the Committee would for some other reason particularly want to hear.

Those other witnesses who essentially provide information but do not present issues of controversy, very often presenting essentially negative testimony, we have scheduled in the plans that their testimony be taken by designated counsel and then made available to the Committee. We had thought that this could be using only the senior staff counsel to take these statements.

The number of depositions or designated counsel's statements that we will take is now recognized to be sufficiently large and geographically diverse so that it would be useful if the staff counsel could as well be designated as counsel. We have set up a very tight procedure for approval of the

Ξ.

OZEHINCTOR,

REPORTURE BUILDING,

5.4

STREET.

7.1.11

: :

15

iá

: 3

2:

the revolution that you have before you dealing with the Kennedy and the King task force that would pursuant to Rule 4 designate the Additional staff counsel as persons authorized to take designated counsel's statements.

The Chalaman. May we have such a mobion?

Mr. godd. Which one is this, Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman. This is the resolution to designable counsel in the Kennedy and King matter. The resolution contains two sections.

Mr. Dodd. We have already voted on non-disclosure.

Mr. Blakey. Yes.

Mr. Dodd. Having voted on that --

The Chairman. The Chair recognizes you.

Mr. Eodd. I caught one part, I didn't catch the last part.

Are we guaranteeing that at present no staff members are making personal copies of any --

Mr. Blakey. Yes. Everything that is drafted within the Committee is drafted only in a primary form. There are no copies made and for anything to be xeroxed it has to have my permission. Gene Johnson's permission or Geri Cornwell's permission. Private files are not being kept by any staff.

A TANK TRANSPORTER TOWNS NO



1.1

12

13

4

15

lá

17

18

19

20

member and none will be taken away when they leave. Mr. Dodd. That would be a dismissable offense?

Mr. Blakey. Yes. If you walk out of our office with your materials and you get caught, you really have to have a good reason why you should not keep going.

That is what I want to know in light of sub-Mr. Dodd. sequent action.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman, If there is nothing further, the Chair will recognize a member of the Committee for moving the resolution.

Mr. Fauntroy. I so move.

It has been properly moved that the The Chairman. resolution to designate counsel be adopted.

Do we need a roll call vote?

Mr. Blakey. No.

The Chairman. All those in favor say Aye; those opposed. The motion is carried and it is so ordered.

Mr. Blakey.

Mr. Blakey. Mr. Chairman, could I refer you to issue number three and draw your attention to the motion that you have before you dealing with Mr. Claude Powell. As some of the Committee is of course aware, on the King side we had



Σ
\blacksquare
\dashv
\equiv
J
\supset
>
Ξ
\supset
Z
0
-
C

RG:	233	TAB #:			
ENTRY:		COPIES/ PPS. /CLASS.			
вох:		college, rest , oblice.			
		ACCESS RESTRICTED			
The item identified below has been withdrawn from this file:					
	File Designation				
	Date	pp.8-20, 27-33, 40-43,			
	From	45-46			
	То				
In the review of this file this item was removed because access to it is					
restricted. Restrictions on records in the National Archives are stated in general and specific record group restriction statements which are available for examination. The item identified above has been withdrawn					
			because it contains:		
					Security-Classified Information
	÷.	x Otherwise Restricted Information			
1112K material withing					
	HSCA	÷			
	MOCH	Authority Date			
		Machority			

Withdrawn by NSCA

John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-526)

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

!1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Miss Berning. Mr. Ford.

(No response)

Mr. Fithian. Miss Berning.

Mr. Fithian. Pass.

Miss Berning. Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. I didn't say yet.

I will say Yes.

Eight Ayes, Mr. Chairman. Miss Berning.

The Chairman. Eight voted in the affirmative. motion is adopted. So moved.

Firhian.

Mr. Fauntroy: Seven members

I beg your pardon? Miss Berning. I passed. Mr. Fithian. Soven members.

That would be seven Ayes and one pass Miss Berning. I am sorry. then.

Is that sufficient for passage? The Chairman.

Mr. Blakey. Yes.

The Chairman. Seven members having voted in the affirmative, the motion is adopted. So ordered.

This would bring us back to the first issue Mr. Blakey. which is the immunities. You have before you a resolution of providing for immunity for 18 of those witnesses that will hopefully appear before the Committee in March. You have a

23

24

3

13

15

ίć

: 5

; 7

20

233)

separaha whosh for Mr. James Henry Dolan.

group of witnesses that appear are directly related to the King case. The second group of witnesses are related to the Kennedy case. The form of the motion you should be aware merely authorizes the counsel to apply to the court for the order. No immunity is granted until the court signs the order and then the Chairman, assuming a witness claims the privilege, actually communicates it to him.

staff's thought that the full list of witnesses would be included in this what amounts to a permission resolution. I would note that there are one or two of the witnesses on the Kennedy side that we have not yet found, indeed we are not even sure are alive, so it may well be that all of the Kennedy witnesses will not ultimately be immunized either because they won't be found or they will be found not to be within the jurisdiction of the Committee.

All of these witnesses, as the memorandum attached to the resolution indicates, are the types of witnesses that may claim the privilege of self-incrimination and if they did it would be a substantial burden for the Committee not to be able to hear the witness that day and to have to meet again at the full Committee level to set up the immunity. This resolution then should be seen as a contingency resolution



24

15

ić

17

13

; 9

20

4

authorising immunity rather than as the immediate grant.

would be glad to answer any questions about them.

The Chairman. Are there any members that have questions they would like to pose to counsel prior to voting on the resolution?

Mr. Preyer?

Mr. Preyer. No.

The Chairman. Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just have some questions which we may have gone over in the past as it relates specifically to the question of immunity. Are all the people that you are asking immunity for people that you have some feeling don't have any criminal involvement in the case?

Mr. Blakey. No, on the contrary. Well, there are one or two who could only be witnesses, most of the rest could have some connection.

Mr. Edgar. Can you just summarize very quickly for me why we would go the immunity route for all of those people?

Mr. Blakey. Well, if you recall the kind of immunity that the Congress gives is not transactional; that is, it does not prevent the person from subsequently being prosecuted. It is just immunity, it merely protects his immunity from subsequently being used against him. Since we are not a prosecutive body but a fact finding body, there is really



24

25

13

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

á

no impediment to us granting immunity to any witness who appears before us who is potentially culpable. You will recall, of course, that once immunized testimony is taken by the Committee it is treated with the utmost care, it is not disclosed, it is hidden deep in the vaults of the Committee's safes.

As a congressional committee given the fact finding

As a congressional committee given the fact finding function it is entirely appropriate for us to interrogate the people who in a judicial context would probably not be granted immunity by a prosecutive agency who has some concern who has some concern of criminal convictions. Since we have no concern for criminal conviction but rather to fulfill the mandate of our resolution — that is, to find out what happened — as we begin touching on this class of people who have obviously been involved in criminal behavior and some of it on the worst case analysis or best case analysis, depending on your prospective in these two cases, it is appropriate for us to grant the immunity, not from prosecution but from the use of their testimony against them in order that we can find out what happened.

None of these people would fit into what I would call the category -- well, I take it back. There is one person who might fit in the category of a shooter. There is an allegation that Eugene Hall Brady, for example, is an organized crime type who is a fixer for killings. He is the

- 24

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

17

20

organizer of assassinations -- not political assassinations but underworld assassinations. He was present in Dealey Plaza. He was also in the hotel where Robert Kennedy was killed. Ironically he is in the category of an immediate shooter. He has been accused of setting up the Kennedy assassination. I thought for a minute I could say that none of them would fall in that category but we have people up to and including those kind who could be shooters.

Mr. Edgar. No further questions.

The Chairman. Does anyone else have any questions relative to this matter?

If not, the Chair will entertain a motion relative to the resolution for immunity.

Mr. Dodd. So moved.

The Chairman. It has been properly moved that the resolution for immunity before the committee be adopted.

The clerk will call the roll.

Miss Berning. Mr. Stokes.

The Chairman. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Devine.

Mr. Devine. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Preyer.

Mr. Preyer. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. McKinney.

(No response)

22

23

24

3

5

9

10

! !

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Miss Berning. Mr. Fauntroy.

Mr. Fauntroy. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Terry.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mrs. Burke.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mr. Sawyer.

Mr. Sawyer. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Dodd.

Mr. Dodd. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Ford.

(No response)

Miss Berning. Mr. Fithian.

Mr. Fithian. Aye.

Miss Berning. Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. Aye.

Mr. Blakey. Mr. Chairman, for clarification I assume that the immunity resolution includes James Hanry Dolan who we had a separate sheet for.

The Chairman. Is that the understanding, that we make it part of the motion?

Yes, that is correct, Mr. Blakey.

Miss Berning. Eight Ayes, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Eight Ayes in favor of the resolution.

The resolution is adopted. So ordered.

7

Ş

:0

7.7

12

: 3

14

15

ίś

17

18

19

20

5.51

ن:

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REFORTERS BUILDING, UASHTHATOH,

Mr. Fithian.

Mr. Fithian. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Blakey, am I to understand that there is not anything we can do to physically safeguard the papers while we are wrangling over it legally?

Mr. Blakey. In effect we have done it by issuing the subpoena. That brings into play the construction of a congressional investigation under 18 U.S.A. 1505 but actually physically protecting them, no. They are under the protection of law but not the physical protection.

Mr. Fithian. Do the members of the family realize the seriousness of what process is?

Mr. Blakey. Yes. My understanding is that they do.

Mr. Fithian. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Devine.

Mr. Devine. No questions.

The Chairman. Mr. Sawyer.

Mr. Sawyer, No questions.

The Chairman. Mr. Preyer.

Mr. Preyer. No questions.

Mr. Blakey. Going to issue number six dealing with the Department of State and the Department of Defense, the Committee should be made aware of the situation with respect to the Department of Defense. The staff has gotten virtually no cooperation from the Department of Defense on a number of



13

24

4

5

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

iá

17

18

19

(202) 554-2345

20024

n. c.

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHIBICTOR,

5.1

STREET,

7111

issues -- access to records, access to the camera involved in the autopsy, removing of the order of silence dealing with the military personnel associated with the autopsy.

There are an extensive number of requests at the Department for information bearing on the general investigation. This lack of cooperation or apparent lack of concern with what the Committee is doing eventually lead to personal contact between the Chairman and the Secretary of Defense, Mr. Brown. He has appointed a man named John Kester as a special liaison with the Committee.

wants to cooperate. I had a meeting with Mr. Kester last week. It was an extremely disappointing meeting. He apparently is a very busy person who made no preparation before he came to the meeting. He had no knowledge of what our problems were or what the history of our problems was. It was like going back to ground zero; everything had to be explained to him.

For example, we need the order of silence for the autopsy personnel removed. He made a suggestion that it would be appropriate for the Chairman to write a letter asking for that. I pointed out to him that a letter of that character had been written in November and gave him a copy of it and that was the way it intended to go down.

The Chairman has today at Mr. Kester's request written

52

25

REPORTERS BUILDING, UASHINGYOH, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 :0 12 13 1.4 İś 5.4. 17 STREET 7.T.H

another letter to the Secretary of Defense asking that that order of silence be rescinded. If that is done and a new spirit of cooperation develops, all well and good for the investigation. I don't know why this is true but the feeling I get is that the Department of Defense is simply not going to spend a lot of time cooperating with us and March is going to be the month in which that cooperation either occurs or does not; and if it does not, then the Committee is going to have to face up to a confrontation with the Department of Defense and the autopsy issue is precisely the one that is required.

The autopsy panel on the Kennedy side is now winding up its important consideration that the staff and the Committee have an opportunity to talk to the personnel that were involved. Those personnel presently are under an order of We have been making an effort through the Department of Defense liaison people since August of last year to get this done.

The record of contacts, including letters and personal phone calls, in our effort to get this done is disturbing, disheartening, and it paints not a pretty picture of the general lack of attention to the Committee's business. we don't get a turn around by the end of the first week in March, I will come back to you in the full committee context or a subcommittee context on the Kennedy side recommending



24

18

19

20024 (202) 554-2345 REPORTERS BUILDING, PASHINGTON, D. C. 5.11. STREET, 7.13

4

5

3

10

11

12

:3

14

15

iá

17

18

19

20

that public hearings be held in which the staff people be called and let them claim the order as a grounds for not testifying and then the Secretary of Defense can explain to the Committee in a public session why he chooses to cover up what occurred at that autopsy. I simply let you know what potentially is coming down the path.

and forebearance and no publicity. I think the chickens are coming home to roost. We face in the month of March -- if not March, certainly April -- some sort of a confrontation with the following foreign countries: Mexico, England and Canada and the Department of State. It is essential on the king side that this Committee --

I told you, Mr. Fithian, that March was the month of the mafia.

Mr. Fithian. No, I was laughing at the Department of State being listed with the foreign countries.

Mr. Blakey. The negotiations with them make it appropriate to do so.

We have made every effort to work through the Department of State in formal diplomatic channels, to have teams go to each of these three foreign countries to run out aspects of James Earl Ray's travels. To date we have been unable to get an appointment with any foreign official to resolve these issues.

· [2]

23

24

5

10

! !

12

13

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Now in mitigation of the situation I should say that the State Department on the staff level is rustling a lot of papers. A letter has been written by the Chairman to the Secretary of State drawing the sorry picture of cooperation to his personal attention.

Canada has a special problem. People to whom we want to go and talk with are members of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. They are, as you may be aware, having an investigation of their own in Canada dealing with unlawful opening of mail, black bag jobs, wire tapping, and we get back informally the feeling. They also have a potential problem with a civil war I suspect with the Quebec Province. There is a special investigation commission in Quebec looking into what the RCMP did under the guise of national security, to look into the affairs of the separatist groups in Quebec. So our effort to go up and talk to the RCMP ak this particularly sensitive time has not been looked upon with a great deal of favor.

There are difficulties that I would be glad to explain to you off the record in Mexico that rise to a comparable level.

The people in England apparently are cooperating and it has just been bureaucratic red tape. If by the end of March or the middle of March we don't really get something from these foreign countries, it will be increasingly less likely



23

24

25

I DESERVE SEPORTING COMPANY, INC.

554-2345 (202) 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, UZSHINGTON, D. C.

4

ξ.

10

1.2

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

25

that we will do any investigation in a foreign country, and if we don't it will be appropriate for us to begin making as a committee whatever noises are appropriate to see to it that the foreign countries recognize that they are frustrating an investigation in this country that threatens them in no way and is material to the will of the Congress. It is certainly ironic that private writers can travel to Mexico, Canada and England and write books about these matters but when a lawfully constituted committee representing the House of Representatives attempts to do something comparable as an official agency of the United States Government it meets with bureaucratic red tape.

Let me draw --

Mr. Edgar. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Yes, Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. I have a basic question at this point. I want to first preface the question by saying that my staff and I have been trying to focus in on particular issues and we spent a lot of time on public transit issues and visits with people in the Administration and I find that when my staff goes to visit with them many times the staff of the particular agency, in this case the Department of Transportation, is reluctant to share information but if my staff takes me by the arm and we go over and sit down and just my presence in the room tends to dislodge some of the issues in



- 1155 (202) 20024 . C BUILDING, VASHINGTON, 7TH STREET, S.M. REPORTERS 1

5

á

10

!!

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

20

until September or October. We may be in a posture where the Congress and the House will be fully aware of the scope and the kind of investigation that we are conducting and I suspect that the issue of a supplemental will not present us a problem.

Having said that, ironically I will come back to the Committee within a relatively short period of time and give you a restructured budget in light of the \$2.5 million and ask for the Committee's general approval of it. In that restructured budget there will be some shifting of personnel which may indicate that we have more people in number than 115 but the same budgetary figure for salaries will remain the same.

We have had some senior people, both lawyers and investigators, leaving and the thought has been to replace them with some bright young lawyers, recent graduates, who could be perhaps more adept at going through files than some of the seasoned investigators might be and might be of more assistance to us in writing the final report. Consequently, there may be some shift in the total number of personnel but I do not suggest to you that there would be any shift in the amount of money suggested for personnel. I will bring the details of that back to you somewhat later.

Issue number ten is designated as Surveillance. I think it appropriate that I put in the record the following factual

21 22

24

23

:

:0

11

13

: 4

Ιá

17

18

19

20

554-2345

that in terms of our preparation. How soon after the June hearings could the Committee staff be prepared to go with 20 days of hearings in each case?

Mr. Blakey. If everything goes according to schedule, and it won't, we envision finishing the hearing phase in June. A month of preparation in July would permit us in August and September to do either August Kennedy and September King or vice versa. That is in the best of all possible worlds -- a place that we do not live in.

More realistically we will not be able to finish in June. That means we will take July as an extra hearing month. That would mean August would be a preparation month and then September would be either King or Kennedy. October obviously is something that we could not ask you people to sit in and that would indicate that one set of the hearings would have to come in November. The final report is written in December and we finish.

Mr. Edgar. I must put in my plug for having one before the election and one after the election, and the one before the election being the first two weeks in September.

Mr. Blakey. If we did 20 days, it would be a month sitting five days a week which would be a rather heavy schedule.

Mr. Sawyer. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Sawyer.

21

7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D. C.

23

25

24

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

5

3

ş

13

1.1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

; 9

20

Mr. Sawyer. It would seem to me I guess, speaking only for myself, that a good part of that decision would rest on knowing better what we are going to be able to produce than we know now by way of a hearing. I might strongly opt either: way depending on what the product looked like when I saw how it shook me up.

I am reasonably convinced that both cases will be developed in such a way as the American people's perception of them will be substantially changed by what we do, and what we do will be a very credible job. I am convinced on the Kennedy side that we will have a substantially new analysis of old problems and perhaps new light to shed and that the King case is currently in a very dynamic stage; some time by the end of March or April it may look very different than it did otherwise.

So I think that the judgment that the Committee has to make is essentially a political one; that is, how can you so arrange your schedules in light of the election to accommodate The best of all possible worlds would be to the hearings. have both sets before the election but that is from the standpoint of possibility. Maybe the best of all possible worlds would be to have both sets of hearings after the election but that is a political judgment. Given the time, I don't think we can do it all by putting both sets after I mean physically I don't think the staff the election.



23

24

4

5

3

ç

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

: 3

19

20

:

UPSHTHETON.

REPORTERS BUILDING.

5.11.

STREET,

7TH

I might say in that regard as you may want to have heard the details of the Martin Luther King investigative plan before then, I can say that it has gone through all of its internal processes. I have spent the last week in going over it myself. I plan to spend tomorrow editing it and I hope by the end of the week to have it in a shape typed so that we can do for the King side what we have already done for the Kennedy side.

I might say that the delay has not occasioned delay in the investigation -- it has gone ahead doing everything that has to be done. All that the delay means is that the document itself has not been finally polished and presented to the Committee. The delay has not foreclosed any Committee option. We are still currently doing those things that would be done in everybody's plan but I hope by the end of this week to be able to present it to you in a full day session. It will be not only a plan of what we intend to do but it also will be a review of what we have done.

The King plan will not look quite like the Kennedy plan; a major section of it will review those areas which are finished. Another major section of it will review those areas that do not have issues but only work plans. In any

22

33

24

5

3

10

; ;

13

4

15

lá

17

3

19

20

case it is in good shape and, God willing and my staff leaving me alone tomorrow, I will finish the editing tomorrow.

Mr. Edgar. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Yes, Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. I wonder if Mr. Blakey will bring us up to date on the replacement for Mr. Lanier.

Mr. Blakey. Gene Johnson has agreed to become the Deputy Chief Counsel on the King side and Mike Eberhardt, one of the former staff counsel, has agreed to become the Assistant Deputy Chief Counsel.

The Chairman. Anything further?

Mr. Edgar. No.

Mr. Blakey. The only last project I would bring to your attention, and that is again by way of information, is the photographic project. The photographic panel has met on the Kennedy side and a number of specific work proposals have been given to the staff for processing of things, including a work by the Rochester Institute of Technology, University of Southern California. A number of projects have been suggested, including testing the alleged fake photograph of Oswald, and they are convinced they can do this within a reasonable short period of time and with a reasonable price.

They think they will be able to give us the measurements that we worried about -- that is, where Kennedy was. They are very confident that they can reconstruct the President's skull



23

24

~ ÷

20024 (202) 554-2345 S.W. REPONTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. TEH STREET,

á

!!

lá

and project in whatever direction back from the head the projectory analysis. They are very confident of that. They are also confident that they can -- and I find this incredible -- clean up the autopsy pictures. They tell us by manipulation of the computer they can eliminate the blood, eliminate the color red, and we would be able to see more in the autopsy than was seen by the prior people so that when we produce our final version of the autopsy we will have the clearest photographs available. Some of them have been blurred.

They tell us with not much difficulty they can take the blur out of the pictures.

Now we have currently in process approximately 30 days worth of work representing approximately \$22,000 of scientific work in this area. They will also be able to look at the Zaupreder film and clear up the fuzziness in it to the highest degree of any of the work. They also think that they will be able to do a blur analysis of the Zaupreder film and the Nix film and the Muchmore film and correlate the three. They are hopeful that they will be able to take the involuntary reaction of the camera photographer to the sound of the shots and identify where on the film that occurs. If they can correlate the three films to the visual images in the films, they may be able to tell us the sequence of the shots by an analysis of the photographs. So I am very encouraged with the status of the photographic projects. I wanted to report to the

4

10

12

13

14

15

lá

17

íã

17

20

25

20024 (202) 554-2345

REFOREERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D. C.

233) +

Committee that they are well in operation.

I might indicate, too, that Mr. Bert Marshall who represents the Kennedy family has been working very closely with the Committee staff in making those autopsy pictures available to us and facilitating our access to the materials at the Archives. He has really bent over backwards to see to it that in no way restrictions the family put on the access to them would impede our work at all. He has been making himself available on weekends and nights to do it. He has also permitted into the Archives a medical illustrator and we have in process now some really find medical illustrations of the President's condition and wounds.

In fact, so successful has been the dramatic way and clear way of presenting the autopsy findings that I have started talking with Gene Johnson about making a similar effort on the King side to present the same issue. We don't have as many controversial issues on the King side but it so dramatically changes the material in the way the autopsy is presented that it seems that it also ought to be done on both sides.

For example, one of the things she is doing is every time a medical term is used there will be a drawing that illustrates the medical term and consequently you can come away with meshing the medical terms with mental images that are depersonalized and therefore not invading the President's

12

7TH STRUET, S.M.

privacy but very accurate. We know exactly what happened.

Let me say the previous studies or presentations of those studies have not been clear in my judgment in part because they have used medical terminology without explaining it.

That won't be said about what we will be able to do on both the Kennedy and King sides.

You should also know that the funding resolution I am told will come up on the House floor on Friday at eleven o'clock. In that connection the Chairman will, I think, tomorrow or the next day make a short statement in the record indicating to the House the status of where we are, essentially in terms similar to the report given to the House Administration Committee.

I am told that there may be some controversy over the budget on Friday but it should be vocal and I am told that it will not involve a vote. If you people who know more about politics than I do can tell me to the contrary, I would welcome that information, too. My impression is that some people may object but it will not be brought up for a separate vote.

Mr. Devine. Do you want to bet?

Mr. Blakey. As I say, Mr. Devine, I know a lot more about prosecution than politics. I would not bet you anything about what will happen on the House floor.

I have nothing else. If the Committee has any questions,

5

á

3

9

10

! 1

12

(202)

20024

:

ċ

UASHINGTON,

22

23

24

4

5

9

10

! !

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

I would be glad to respond to them.

Mr. Preyer. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Preyer.

Mr. Preyer. I wonder if we should try to get general agreement at least that we will go forward with one investigation before the one in September before the election and one after. Whichever one looks best to present at that time I would be in favor of presenting it. Frankly I would love to spend September up here rather than shaking hands in shopping centers just for the pure politics of it if we could get enough members. Whichever committee happens to be called up in September should be willing to come up here. I wonder if we ought to try to get some feeling from the Committee that wherever there is general agreement that we could do one before and one after.

Mr. Edgar. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Preyer. Yes.

Mr. Edgar. Further on the one before and one after there is a combination that could be used of part one and part the other rather than have a series of 10 days of public hearings on one and have a series of 10 days of public hearings on the other.

Mr. Preyer. It is just another option before us but I would agree with you that a good half of those public hearings whether it is total on one or half one and half



7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

23

24

4

5

7

10

: :

12

13

14

15

iá

17

18

19

20

390 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, PASHINGTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

the other should be held prior to the election. the month of October is the sacred cow in terms of our needs. September we have no problem being here and participating.

My own reaction is that it is likely that Mr. Blakey. the Kennedy case will more probably be ready for September. My feeling is that the King case could as well but that if we made the decision to do one as opposed to the other and then postpone it, it would give us more time to spend in the investigative phase of the King case and frankly my own preference would be to spend more time on the King case.

The Kennedy case at least had the benefit of the Warren Commission investigation and we are after all "the Warren Commission" for the King case. Consequently, every hour that we can press out if it can be profitably used probably So absent other developments, my own preference should be. would be to do Kennedy in September and King in November. It might give us two or three more months to prepare and that little bit more time might be more useful.

The Kennedy case has had more analysis than the King case -- it has had 15 years and a few more books. case only had 10 years. So in a sense we have gotten more off the ground in the Kennedy case easier than in the King case but again I want to leave that final judgment to the Committee at this time in light of the status of the two investigations.

23

24

4

á

7

3

7

10

!!

12

13

1

15

iś

17

13

19

20

23

24

25

Mr. Fauntroy. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Fauntroy.

Mr. Fauntroy. I just would like to indicate that I concur with the judgment of counsel on this and with those members who feel that we ought to have some hearings before the election and one afterwards. At this point in time at least I would favor the Kennedy hearings first followed by King after the elections.

Mr. Sawyer. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Sawyer.

Mr. Sawyer. Addressing myself to that I would like to reserve judgment until I see what the situation looks like come some approaching time that would still leave time to get one ready. I think in my judgment there are too many things in the King case that are still way up on the ceiling so that it is hard yet to form a judgment in that case.

Mr. Blakey. It is that very feeling that would lead me to believe that the longer we take to do the King side, the better off we are.

Mr. Sawyer. Well, again I would like to hold judgment until I can see a little way down the pike what they both look like.

Mr. Blakey. If we saw it started tomorrow afternoon, I will quickly bring it to your attention and we can hold the hearings next week.



5

3

:0

11

13

14

15

iá

17

18

19

20

(202) 554-2345

20024

⊃. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON,

5.4

STREET,

The Chairman. Anything further?

Mr. Preyer. I just ask Mr. Blakey off the record what he thinks about Mr. Epstein's new book. I notice there was a reporter for Time magazine this week.

Mr. Blakey. I have no problem with staying on the record on that.

Mr. Preyer. All right.

Mr. Blakey. In the last several weeks the staff has been privy to a series of briefings and examinations going into the questions indeed raised by Epstein's book. The staff was fully cognizant of the underlying issues before it was cognizant of the existence of the book. The investigative plan, as I am sure you are aware, on the Kennedy side requires the Committee to evaluate Mr. Nasinko's story. That indeed will involve the very controversy that Mr. Epstein sets out.

We have had briefings from the FBI and briefings from the CIA. It is, to put it mildly, a very controversial case. I have seen copies of the Reader's Digest story. A member of the editorial board is an old personal friend and he got me a copy of the first edition and he is in the process of getting me a preliminary copy of the second edition. There is nothing in either edition that the staff was not aware of before they were read.

Mr. Epstein presents one view, there is another view.

The Committee will have ample opportunity to go over all of

2 m

24

13

it.

4

á

3

10

11

13

1.1

15

Ιá

17

18

19

20

The Nasinko story is a very fascinating story. He apparently, as Mr. Epstein indicates, did initially defect in May of 1962 -- that is prior to the assassination -- and began cooperating with the CIA. In February of 1964 he asked to come out. He in fact is one of the few members of the second directorate in the KGB. That is the domestic KGB. That is like the FBI opposed to the CIA being overseas.

Lee Harvey Oswald once he defected to Russia was within the jurisdiction of the domestic KGB; that is, the second directorate. If Mr. Nasinko is to be believed, Nasinko was the "case officer" that had Lee Harvey Oswald's file and thus he was fully cognizant of the defection and the transfer to Minsk. When he defected after the assassination he had had an opportunity to know what was in the Lee Harvey Oswald file.

If he is a legitimate defector, he corroborates the basic outlines of Marina Oswald's story and the Warren Commission's finding. If he is not a bona fide defector, as indeed the CIA for a long time thought he was not, then he represents a cover story and the obvious question arises, what was he trying to cover? Was he trying to cover some relationship between the KGB and Lee Harvey Oswald? It is credible that he was not a bona fide defector and that he represents a cover story.

21

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASKINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

23

24

(202) 554-2345 20024 ن: REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D. 5.11. STREET,)TII

3

4

á

3

7

:0

1.1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

17

20

Mr. Nasinko all the factors that went into the judgment that he is a bona fide defector or that he is not. We will be pleased at the opportunity for you to decide for yourself what you think he was and the implications therefrom. My own judgment is that you can come out either way and that we are going to have to look at a lot more documents than we have already looked at and spend some time talking to Mr. Nasinko before you can make a decision as to whether he is truthful and whether in fact Lee Harvy Oswald had some relationship to the KGB.

It is a very interesting matter, to put it mildly, and something not fully explored by the Warran Commission at all and certainly not explored in their hearings or in their final report. It is a loose end that the Committee will have an opportunity to tie up for the American people.

The Chairman. Anything further?

If there is nothing further, then at this time the .

Committee will adjourn subject to the call of the Chair.

(Whereupon, at 3:35 p.m., the Committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.)



23

24