Routing S	NO	
Document I.D. Shaw Irial	Proceedings Vol. 48	Γ
INDEX Charge to Jury Verdict	COPY TO Robert Blakey Gary Cornwell Kenneth Klein Charlie Mathews Jim Wolf Donovan Gay Jackie Hess Cliff Fenton Team #1	1 .
	Team #2	
	Team #3 Team #4	
	Team#5	

002052

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF ORLEANS STATE OF LOUISIANA

CLAY L. SHAW . Section "C"

PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT BEGINNING FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1969

JUDGE HAGGERTY'S CHARGE TO THE JURY

Dietrich & Pickett, Inc. Stenotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111

THE COURT:

dentlemen of the Jury, I am not going to take a recess. Just remain seated in your jury box. I have promised to give copies to the press. If the gentlemen will come to my chambers, I will give them copies.

Please check, Mr. Sheriff, to see if

there is anybody outside. I don't

want them coming in or out when I

am reading the charge.

I want to know if they have locked the front door.

THE BAILIFF:

It is now locked, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

All right. Take this down.

I have been requested, before the case started, by the Defense to give a written charge. I am complying with the law by giving a written charge. I have also, before I am reading this charge, given a copy to the District Attorney and to

the Defense, which is required by law.

Let everybody have a seat, Sheriff.

That is just what I am talking about.

THE BAILIFF:

Nobody is going to interrupt, Judge.
THE COURT:

General Charge -- Jury Instructions.

It becomes my duty as judge to instruct you concerning the law applicable to this case, and it is your duty as jurors to follow the law as I shall state it to you.

The function of the jury is to try the issues of fact that are presented by the allegations in the indictment filed in this court and the defendant's plea of "not guilty."

This duty you should perform uninfluenced by pity for a defendant or by passion or prejudice against him. You must not suffer yourselves to be biased against a defendant because of the fact that

1 he has been arrested for this 2 offense, or because an indictment 3 has been filed against him, or 4 because he has been brought before 5 the court to stand trial. None of 6 these facts is evidence of his 7 guilt, and you are not permitted 8 to infer or to speculate from any 9 or all of them that he is more likely to be guilty than innocent. 10 Gentlemen, you are to be governed solely 11 12 by the evidence introduced in this 13 trial and the law as stated to you 14 by me. The law forbids you to be 15 governed by mere sentiment, con-16 jecture, sympathy, passion, prejudice, public opinion or public 17 18 feeling. Both the State and the 19 Defendant have a right to demand, 20 and they do demand and expect, that you will conscientiously and dis-21 passionately consider and weigh 22 the evidence and apply the law of 23 the case, and that you will reach 24 a just verdict, regardless of what 25

the consequences of such verdict

may be. That verdict must express

the individual opinion of each

juror.

Gentlemen, you are the exclusive judges of the facts and of the effect and value of the evidence, but you must determine the facts from the evidence produced here in court. any evidence was admitted and afterwards was ordered by me to be stricken out, you must disregard entirely the matter thus stricken, and if any counsel intimated by any of his questions that certain hinted facts were, or were not, true, you must disregard any such intimation, and must not draw any inference from it. As to any statement made by counsel in your presence concerning the facts in the case, you must not regard such a statement as evidence; provided, however, that if counsel for both parties have stipulated to any fact,

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

you are to regard that fact as being conclusively proved; and if, in the trial, either party has admitted a fact to be true, such admission may be considered by you as evidence in the case.

The State and the Defendant are both entitled to the individual opinion of each juror. It is the duty of each of you, after considering all the evidence in this case, to determine, if possible, the question of the guilt or innocence of the Defendant. When you have reached a conclusion in that respect, you should not change it merely because one or more or all of your fellow jurors may have come to a different conclusion, or merely to bring about a unanimous verdict. However, each juror should freely and fairly discuss with his fellow jurors the evidence and the deductions to be drawn therefrom. If. after doing so, any juror should be

satisfied that a conclusion first reached by him was wrong, he unhesitatingly should abandon that original opinion and render his verdict according to his final decision.

The attitude and conduct of jurors at the outset of their deliberations are a matter of considerable impor-It is rarely productive of tance. good for a juror, upon entering the jury room, to make an emphatic expression of his opinion on the case or to announce a determination to stand for a certain verdict. When one does that at the outset, his sense of pride may be aroused, and he may hesitate to recede from an announced position if shown that it is fallacious. Remember that you are not partisans or advocates, but rather judges. The final test of the quality of your service will lie in the verdict which you return to this court, not in the opinions

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

any of you may hold as you retire.

Have in mind that you will make a

definite contribution to efficient

judicial administration if you

arrive at a just and proper verdict

in this case. To that end, the

court reminds you that in your

deliberations in the jury room

there can be no triumph excepting

the ascertainment and declaration

of truth.

If in these instructions any rule,
direction or idea be stated in
varying ways, no emphasis thereon
is intended by me, and none must
be inferred by you. For that
reason, you are not to single out
any certain sentence, or any individual point or instruction, and
ignore the others, but you are to
consider all the instructions as a
whole, and are to regard each in
the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given to you has no significance

25

as to their relative importance. At times throughout the trial the court has been called upon to pass on the question whether or not certain offered evidence might properly be admitted. You are not to be concerned with the reasons for such rulings and are not to draw any inferences from them. Whether offered evidence is admissible is purely a question of law. In admitting evidence to which an objection is made, the court does not determine what weight should be given such evidence; nor does it pass on the credibility of the witness. to any offer of evidence that has been rejected by the court, you, of course, must not consider the same; as to any question to which an objection was sustained, you must not conjecture as to what the answer might have been or as to any reason for the objection.

The court has endeavored to give you

instructions embodying all rules of law that may become necessary in guiding you to a just and lawful verdict. The applicability of some of these instructions will depend upon the conclusions you reach as to what the facts are. As to any such instruction, the fact that it has been given must not be taken as indicating an opinion of the court that the instruction will be necessary or as to what the facts If an instruction applies are. only to a state of facts which you find does not exist, you will disregard the instructions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In arriving at a verdict in this case,

you should not discuss or consider

the subject of penalty or punish
ment, as that is a matter which

lies with the court.

I am striking the rest of that paragraph out.

Gentlemen, the Defendant at the bar is presumed to be innocent until he

is proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The consequence of this rule of law is
that he is not required to prove
his innocence, but may rest upon
the presumption in his favor until
it is overthrown by positive affirm
ative proof. The onus, therefore,
is on the State to prove to your
satisfaction, and beyond a reasonable doubt, the guilt of the accused
as to the crime charged in the Indictment.

If you entertain any reasonable doubt
as to any fact or element necessary
to constitute the Defendant's guilt,
it is your sworn duty to give him
the benefit of that doubt and
return a verdict of acquittal.

Even where the evidence demonstrates a probability of guilt,
yet if it does not establish it
beyond a reasonable doubt, you
must acquit the accused. This
doubt must be a reasonable one,

22

23

24

25

that is, founded upon a real, tangible, substantial basis, and not upon mere caprice, fancy or conjecture. It must be such a doubt as would give rise to a grave uncertainty, raised in your minds by reason of the unsatisfactory character of the evidence; one that would make you feel that you had not an abiding conviction to a moral certainty of the Defendant's If, after giving a fair and impartial consideration to all of the facts in the case, you find the evidence unsatisfactory upon any single point indispensably necessary to constitute the Defendant's guilt, this would give rise to such a reasonable doubt as would justify you in rendering a verdict of not guilty.

The prosecution must establish guilt by
legal and sufficient evidence
beyond a reasonable doubt, but the
rule does not go further and re-

quire a preponderance of testimony. It is incumbent upon the State to prove the offense charged, or legally included in the indictment, to your satisfaction, and beyond a reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is not a mere possible doubt. It should be an actual or substantial doubt. It is such a doubt as a reasonable man would seriously entertain. It is a serious doubt, for which you could give good reason.

The indictment in this case is a mere accusation or charge against the Defendant, and it is not evidence of the Defendant's quilt, and the fact that such an indictment has been found is of no weight, and does not carry any presumption of guilt, and you must not be influenced by it in considering the It has no: more probative case. value than a bill of information filed by the District Attorney or

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an affidavit made by an individual. Gentlemen of the Jury, you are prohibited by law and your oath from going beyond the evidence to seek for doubts upon which to acquit or convict the Defendant, but must confine yourselves strictly to a dispassionate consideration of the testimony given upon the trial. You must not resort to extraneous facts or circumstances in reaching your verdict. That is, you must not go beyond the evidence to find facts or circumstances creating doubts, but must restrict yourselves to the evidence that you have heard on the trial of this case.

You are the exclusive judges of the facts. You are to find from the evidence which facts have been proved and which facts have not been proved. For this purpose, you determine the credibility of the witnesses, accordingly as you

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You may take into account their demeanor,
their manner on the stand, the probability or improbability of their
statements, the interest or want of
interest they may have in the case,
and every circumstance surrounding
the giving of their testimony which
may aid you in weighing their statements.

If you believe that any witness in the case, either for the State or the Defense, has willfully and deliberately testified falsely to any material fact, then I charge you that you are justified in disregarding the entire testimony of such witness as proving nothing and as unworthy of belief. You have the right to accept as true, or reject as false, the testimony of any witness accordingly as you are impressed with his or her veracity.

You are also judges of the law, but in

a different sense. You receive the evidence from the witnesses; you receive the law from the Court, and it is your duty to accept the law and to apply it as given to you.

The Defendant is permitted by law to testify in his own behalf. exercises his privilege, he is governed by the same rules, in testing his credibility and the correctness of his statements, as every other witness. You have the right to believe or disbelieve him, just as he impresses you as to the truth or falsity of his testimony. When he does not avail himself of this privilege, you should not consider this fact, or permit it to raise a presumption of guilt against him, and you should consider in determining his guilt or innocence, only those facts testified to and brought out on the trial of this case.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

The Defendant is entitled to the individual opinion of each juror, but
any juror may change his opinion
as the result of reasonable persuasion by his fellow jurors.

The law requires and obliges the District Attorney, representing the State of Louisiana, to make an opening statement explaining the nature of the charge and the evidence by which he expects to prove the same. The law leaves to the counsel for the Defendant the option of explaining their defense and the evidence by which he expects to establish the same or of waiving his right to make an opening statement.

The function and purpose of an opening statement by the District Attorney is simply to explain the nature of the charge and the evidence by which he expects to establish the same; the opening statement by counsel for the Defendant is to

explain the theory of the Defense and the evidence by which he expects to prove same. Whether an opening statement is made by the District Attorney or Counsel for the Defendant, you are not to consider the opening statement as proving anything at all in the You are to consider only the evidence in the case and the testimony of sworn witnesses who have appeared before you on the witness stand.

Should either the District Attorney or the Counsel for the Defendant make statements in an opening statement and fail to substantiate them by the testimony of sworn witnesses on the statements made by them, but on the contrary, you should disregard the same as if never having been uttered.

In law there are two methods by which facts can be established; direct evidence and by circumstan-

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

tial evidence.

Direct evidence is the evidence of

material facts relating directly,

and without inference, to the ques
tions at issue, or the facts to be

proved.

Of material evidence is the evidence of material facts which may be inferred from the existence of other material facts relating to the questions at issue, or the facts to be proved.

Circumstantial evidence is legal and competent and must be considered by the jury together with the direct evidence, if any, which may have been adduced at the trial.

The jury should draw inferences only from the facts which have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

When the evidence in a case consists of

When the evidence in a case consists of both direct and circumstantial evidence, you must not convict unless you are satisfied beyond any reasonable doubt of the Defendant's

guilt.

When the evidence in a case consists

exclusively of circumstantial evidence, the rule is that, assuming

every fact to be proved that the

evidence tends to prove, in order

to convict it must exclude every

reasonable hypothesis or theory of

innocence.

Every expert witness must state the facts upon which his opinion is based. The test of the competency of an expert is his knowledge of the subject about which he is called upon to express an opinion, and before any witness can give evidence as an expert, his competency so to testify must have been established to the satisfaction of the court. Experts are persons who are learned in a particular science and they are permitted to express their opinion upon scientific matters at issue, but such experts are not called into court for the

25

purpose of deciding the case. You, the jurors, are the ones who, in law, must bear the responsibility of deciding the case. The experts are merely witnesses, and you have the right to either accept or reject their testimony and opinions in the same manner and for the same reason for which you may accept or reject the testimony of other witnesses in the case.

There are certain legal presumptions in law, and these are covered in R.S. 15:432.

"R.S. 15:432. Effect of legal presumptions; rebutting evidence; illustrations.

"A legal presumption relieves him in

whose favor it exists from the

necessity of any proof; but may

nonetheless be destroyed by rebut
ting evidence; such is the pre
sumption attaching to the regu
larity of judicial proceedings;

that the grand jury was legally

25

constituted; that public officers have done their duty; that a relation or subject matter once established, continues, but not that it pre-existed; that the defendant intended the natural and probable consequences of his act; that the defendant is innocent; that the defendant is sane and responsible for his actions; that the person in the unexplained possession of property recently stolen is the thief; that evidence under the control of a party and not produced by him was not produced because it would not have aided him; that the witnesses have told the truth." "R.S. 14:26 -- Criminal Conspiracy.

"Criminal conspiracy is the agreement or combination of two or more persons for the specific purpose of committing any crime; provided that an agreement or combination to commit a crime shall not amount to a criminal conspiracy unless, in

addition to such agreement or combination, one or more of such parties does an act in furtherance of the object of the agreement or combination.

"Where the intended basic crime has been consummated the conspirators may be tried for either the conspiracy or the completed offense, and a conviction for one shall not bar a prosecution for the other.

"Whoever is a party to a criminal conspiracy to commit a crime punishable by death or life imprisonment,
shall be imprisoned at hard labor
for not less than one nor more
than twenty years.

"Whoever is a party to a criminal conspiracy to commit the crimes of
theft or receiving stolen things
shall be fined not more than two
hundred dollars, or imprisoned for
not more than one year, or both.

"Whoever is a party to a criminal con-

spiracy to commit any other crime

shall be fined or imprisoned, or both, in the same manner as for the offense contemplated by the conspirators; but such fine or imprisonment shall not exceed one-half of the largest fine, or one-half the longest term of imprisonment prescribed by such offense, or both."

"THE INDICTMENT.

"The Grand Jurors of the State of Louisiana, duly impaneled and sworn in and for the body of the Parish of Orleans, in the name and by the authority of the said State, upon their oath, PRESENT That one CLAY L. SHAW, late of the Parish of Orleans, between the 1st day of September and the 10th day of October, in the year of our Lord, One Thousand, Nine Hundred Sixty-Three, with force and arms in the Parish of Orleans aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of the Criminal District Court for the

1

1 Parish of Orleans did willfully 2 and unlawfully conspire with DAVID 3 W. FERRIE, herein named but not 4 charged and LEE HARVEY OSWALD, 5 herein named but not charged and 6 others, not herein named, to murder 7 JOHN F. KENNEDY, contrary to the form of Statute of the State of 9 Louisiana in such cases made and 10 provided and against the peace and 11 dignity of the same." 12 Signed "ALVIN V. OSER, Assistant District 13 Attorney of the Parish of Orleans. 14 "No. 198-059 (M-703) 15 "Section 'C' 16 "STATE OF LOUISIANA versus CLAY L. SHAW 17 "INDICTMENT FOR VIO.R.S. 14:26(30) "TRUE BILL/s/ ALBERT V. LaBICHE, Foreman 18 19 of Grand Jury "New Orleans, March 22, 1967 20 "Returned in Open Court and recorded 21 and filed March 22, 1967 22 "/s/ GEORGE W. PLATT, Minute Clerk 23 "Arraigned April 5, 1967 and pleaded 24 not guilty. Granted until May 5, 25

1 1967, to file pleadings. 2 "/s/ HELEN SULLIVAN, Minute Clerk 3 "3/22/67 - Capias issued: Bond set at 4 \$10,000.00 /s/ Geo. W. Platt, M.C." 5 The law defines a conspiracy to be an 6 agreement or understanding between 7 two or more persons that they will Я commit an unlawful act, that is, 9 that they will combine together to 10 accomplish by the united action a 11 criminal or unlawful purpose, or a 12 purpose which is not in itself 13 criminal or unlawful, by criminal 14 or unlawful means, to accomplish 15 which agreement and in furtherance 16 thereof an overt act is committed 17 by one or more of the parties to 18 the agreement. In other words, a 19 conspiracy is a criminal partner-20 ship, the design and object of 21 which is to do an unlawful act or 22 series of unlawful acts, or to do 23 a lawful act or a series of lawful 24 acts by unlawful means, accompanied 25 by an overt act to effect the object of such agreement.

Where a criminal conspiracy has been formed, each of the persons forming the same, while he is a member thereof, is liable for every act, and is bound by the acts and declarations of each and all of the conspirators, done or made in pursuance and furtherance of the said conspiracy, and continues to be so liable and bound for so long as he remains a member thereof.

In contemplation of law, during the time

when persons are co-conspirators,

the act of one in pursuance of the

common design is the act of all,

and each is legally responsible

for any act of a confederate that

follow incidentally in the execu
tion of the common design as one of

its probable and natural conse
quences, even though it was not

intended as a part of the original

plan, and even though he was not

present at the time of the commis-

sion of such act.

The formation and existence of a criminal conspiracy rarely can be shown by direct evidence, and circumstantial evidence alone may support a finding of the formation and existence of a conspiracy.

In determining whether an alleged conspiracy was formed and existed, it is proper to take into consideration the relation of the accused parties and their personal and business associations with each other, if any. You may consider all facts tending to show what, if anything, occurred between the accused parties at or before the time of the alleged combination or agreement, or thereafter, in relation thereto. You may also consider evidence of the acts and declarations of said parties after the formation of the alleged combination or agreement, in respect

to, and in pursuance and further-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ጸ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ance of, the alleged conspiracy.

It is not necessary in proving a conspiracy to show a meeting of the alleged conspirators or the making of an express or formal agreement.

The formation and existence of a conspiracy may be inferred from all circumstances tending to show the common intent and may be proved in the same way as any other fact may be proved, either by direct testimony of the fact or by circumstantial evidence.

Although an essential element of a criminal conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons, and although the proof must show the existence of such an agreement to support a conviction, the law does not require that the agreement be a formal one, or that it be in writing, or that the persons hold a meeting and expressly state the terms of a common undertaking, or

that the agreement be stated in words between them as men usually express a lawful business agreement. The agreement of criminal conspiracy may come into being through a tacit, mutual understanding, and the willful, intentional and knowing adoption by two or more persons of a common design, if the other necessary elements of such a conspiracy are present.

Any member of a conspiracy may withdraw from, and thereafter cease to be a party to, the unlawful confederacy and may thus terminate his liability as to all future acts of the conspiracy, but to accomplish that effect he must not only cease participation in the conspiracy, but must give notice of his withdrawal to all other members of the conspiracy of whom he has knowledge. Such a withdrawal does not erase his previous participation in the conspiracy nor relieve him of

responsibility for the acts of the conspiracy committed while he was a member.

A person who, by conspiring with others, advises and encourages the commission of an unlawful act cannot escape responsibility by quietly withdrawing from the operations of the conspiracy. The influence and effect of his advice and encouragement continue until he actually renounces the common purpose and makes it plain to all other conspirators of whom he has knowledge that he has done so and that he does not intend to participate further in any act of the conspiracy. If he does so withdraw, he is not liable for any subsequent acts of the conspirators, but the withdrawal does not erase his previous participation in the conspiracy nor relieve him of responsit bility for the acts of the conspiracy committed while he was a

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

member.

Gentlemen, the law of Louisiana is

covered in Article 338 which reads
as follows:

"338. Cases requiring jury of twelve Number required to concur.

"Whenever the indictment does not charge
a capital offense, but does charge
a felony necessarily punishable
with imprisonment at hard labor,
the trial shall be by a jury of
twelve, nine of whom must concur
for the finding of any verdict."

Therefore, you are hereby advised and instructed that you do not have to be unanimous, that only nine out of twelve is necessary to reach a legal verdict in this case. When you have at any time reached the nine votes on one decision, you do not have to remain and deliberate until you make it unanimous.

The Deputy Sheriff at my request has

placed paper and pencils in your

room for your use in the event you

i

cast secret ballots.

You are permitted by law to review any exhibits offered into evidence either by the State or by the Defense prior to your leaving the court to deliberate in this matter. However, once you have left, you cannot come down and read and inspect such exhibits.

The law does not of recent date permit

a re-reading of any testimony by

any witness, so you must rely on

your memory as to what was said

during the course of this trial.

I am required by the law of Louisiana to give you a written list of the verdicts responsive, and this is covered, which I will now read to you, by Articles 809 and 810 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the State of Louisiana:

"Art. 809. Judge to give jury written list of responsive verdicts.

"After charging the jury, the judge shall give the jury a written list

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 of the verdicts responsive to each 2 offense charged, with each separately stated. The list shall be taken into the jury room for use by the jury during its deliberation." In connection with that I have a sheet 6 of responsive verdicts: 7 8 No. 1. We, the jury, find the defendant 9 guilty. No. 2. We, the jury, find the defendant 10 not guilty. 11 On the reverse I have the date -- it is 12 March 1 as of this second -- New 13 Orleans, Louisiana. "We, the jury, 14 find the defendant" -- whatever 15 your verdict is -- and let your 16 foreman sign it. You have a fore-17 man. 18 "Art. 810. Form of verdict; delivery of 19 verdict. 20 "When a verdict has been agreed upon, 21 the foreman shall write the verdict 22 on the back of the list of respon-23 sive verdicts given to the jury and 24

25

shall sign it. There shall be no

formal requirement as to the language of the verdict except that it shall clearly convey the intention of the jury.

"The foreman of the jury shall deliver the verdict to the judge in open court."

If a situation arises where there is a difference of opinion among the jurors and they wish that I repeat or re-read any part or all of my instructions, let them notify the Deputy Sheriff and I will gladly grant your request. If the jury would like a further explanation of a particular point of law, I will be happy to orally give such explanation to the jury. I repeat, nine out of twelve of you must vote in order to bring a legal verdict The possible in this matter. responsive verdicts in this case are guilty or not guilty. The form on which you shall write your ver-You will write "New

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dict is this:

Orleans, Louisiana" and then the date, whether it be February 28 or possibly March 1 -- and it is now March 1 -- as the case may be, 1969. And the form of your verdict should be written on the reverse of the paper that I am handing you which spells out for you the responsive verdicts. Your verdict should be in the form, "We, the Jury, find the Defendant" whatever your verdict may be. And the foreman signs his name and writes under his name, "Foreman."

Now, gentlemen, before you retire, just a moment and let me ask the State and the Defense a question or two.

Even though I have been requested to give a written charge, and have given said written charge to the jury, is there any request on the part of the State or Defense counsel for any additional instructions, additional charges, or is there any particular objection to

the charge as given to the jury?
(NO RESPONSE)

Now in connection with the special charges given to me, let me rule on them and then I will see if you have any requests of me.

I have granted for the Defense Special

Charge No. 8, No. 11 and No. 12.

The others I refused because they
have been covered in my general
charge under Article 807 of the

Code of Criminal Procedure. So
the Defense may wish to take a bill.

MR. DYMOND:

In connection with that ruling, the

Defense would like to object and
reserve a bill to the refusal of
granting Special Charges 1, 2, 3,
4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16,
and 17, reserving a separate bill
on each charge, making the entire
record, the special charge submitted, the court's general charge,
and all testimony part of the bill.

THE COURT:

[
1	Now with respect to the special charges
2	presented to me by the State,
3	Special Charges 1, 2, and 3, I have
4	refused to give them because I feel
5	I have covered them in my general
6	charge with respect to Article 807
7	of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
8	I will now read the special charges that
9	I will grant.
10	"Special Charge No. 8.
11	"The defense of alibi is not applicable
12	in a case involving the charge of
13	conspiracy to the same extent that
14	it would be applicable in other
15	types of cases.
16	"The reason for this is that if the
17	defendant has been a party to a
18	conspiratorial agreement or com-
19	bination, his presence at the
20	scene of the commission of an overt
21	act need not be proven in order to
22	warrant a conviction, provided he
23	has not withdrawn from the con-
24	spiracy and the conspiracy has not
25	yet terminated.

"Alibi could be an element of the defense in the conspiracy case in this sense. However, if the State contends that a defendant was present and a party to a conspiratorial meeting, alibi would be relevant to show the untruth of this contention. Likewise, if the State contends that a defendant committed an overt act at a certain time and place, alibi likewise would be relevant to prove the untruth of such contention. Alibi is evidence of the fact that defendant was not at a particular location at the time that the State contends that he was there, and the jury need not be fully satisfied with the truth of such an alibi, but the evidence in support of it should be considered in connection with all the other evidence in the case in determining whether there is reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the accused."

"Special Charge No. 11.

"The general reputation of an accused for honesty, truthfulness, peace and quiet."

I have changed my mind. I am going to refuse this charge. I refuse this because I rule that it is not pertinent under Article 807. You may take a bill.

MR. DYMOND:

We will not reserve a bill on that, Judge.

THE COURT:

I am going to grant No. 12.

"The verdict of a jury represents the collective opinions of the members of that jury. It is the duty of each juror to listen carefully and intently to the verbal evidence, closely examine the physical evidence, accept the law as given by the Court in its charge to the jury, and, applying that law to the evidence, form his opinion as to the guilt or innocence and then cast

his vote accordingly.

"Once a juror has decided upon a proper position as to guilt or innocence, the law does not say he cannot change his position. In fact, it is the duty of a juror to change his position as to guilt or innocence should he be actually convinced by a reconsideration of the evidence, discussion and analysis of the evidence with his fellow jurors, or otherwise that his previous position was an incorrect one under the law and the evidence, and that the position to which he has changed it is a correct one under the law and the evidence.

"The only way in which a juror can properly change his position as to guilt or innocence is if he is convinced he is changing to a proper position. It would be a violation of the law and a violation in your oaths as jurors to change your position and your vote

24 25

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

「日からからからの大きなななないとなったないないのではないないからい

as to guilt or innocence merely for the reason that your original position was adhered to by a minority of the voters. It would likewise be improper for a juror to change his position for the purpose of speeding up the termination of his service as a juror on the case, or for any other reason which would cause his vote not to reflect his honest opinion as to guilt or innocence under the law and the evidence."

Gentlemen of the Jury, I will now hand you the responsive verdicts, and you will cast your vote.

MR. DYMOND:

If the court please, we would like an opportunity to object to a particular part of this charge before you do that.

THE COURT:

All right. You may proceed.

MR. DYMOND:

First of all, on the bottom of page 5

of the charge --

THE COURT:

I have it.

MR. DYMOND:

-- we object to the statement that the prosecution must establish guilt by legal and sufficient evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, but the rule does not go further and require a preponderance of testimony.

This objection is based upon the fact -THE COURT:

I tell you what. That sentence is not necessary. I will advise the jury, but the rule does not -- I will ask them to disregard that sentence:

and require a preponderance of testimony." Gentlemen, disregard that (part of the) sentence. "The prosecution (the State) must establish guilt by legal and sufficient evidence beyond a reasonable doubt"

-- period -- and I will strike the rest of that sentence.

MR. DYMOND:

Your Honor, the only other objection is on the nine-out-of-twelve verdict to which we object. We have a special charge submitted on that.

THE COURT:

All right. (Handing document to Sheriff)
Here you are, Sheriff.

MR. DYMOND:

The objections on due process -- I would like to reserve a bill.

THE COURT:

Do any of the jurors wish to view any of the exhibits before retiring?

(NO RESPONSE)

THE COURT:

All right. The twelve gentlemen seated will go up. When you get upstairs safely, then I will be able to excuse the two alternates.

(Whereupon, the jury retired.)

THE COURT:

The two alternates here with us are now excused from the case. Thank you very much for your service.

```
Court will be in recess awaiting the
2
                      verdict.
3
            (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 12:10
             o'clock a.m.)
5
   AFTER THE RECESS:
6
            THE COURT:
7
                 The jury has returned.
                 Gentlemen, have you arrived at a verdict?
                      Don't state what it is, just say
10
                      yes or no.
                 (The Foreman nodded affirmatively.)
12
            THE COURT:
13
                 Sheriff, will you give it to me, please.
                 (Verdict handed to the Court.)
15
            THE COURT:
                Stand up, Mr. Shaw.
16
17
                 Mr. Clerk, you may read it, sir.
18
            THE CLERK:
                 (Reading)
19
                 "March 1, 1969, New Orleans, Louisiana.
20
                 "We the Jury find the defendant not
21
                       quilty."
22
            THE BAILIFF:
23
                 Order in court, please.
24
            THE COURT:
25
```

Read the rest of it.

THE CLERK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Signed "Sidney J. Hebert, Jr."

THE COURT:

Does the State wish to poll the jury? (NO RESPONSE)

THE COURT:

The State has not requested a poll of the jury, so let the verdict be recorded as a legal verdict.

Will you just have a seat, Mr. Shaw. will order you discharged without date. I would like you to just have a seat until I get rid of the jury.

Sheriff, I have the discharge certificates for the twelve jurymen, and I have put a memorandum of service January 21 to March 1, and this will be a memento of your service, and I am further writing an order to the Jury Commissioners ordering your names removed from the wheel for the rest of your lives.

Now on behalf of all concerned I want

1	to thank you citizens for having
2	discharged such an onerous burden
3	without cost to the City.
4	Let everybody have a seat, Sheriff, and
5	let the jurors be escorted out of
6	the court.
7	Quiet, please.
8	Gentlemen of the Jury, you are herewith
ġ	discharged from the case, and I
10	thank you for your citizenship.
11.	Let the jurors leave first, and after
12	they leave then the press can leave
13	after that.
14	This court stands adjourned until next
15	Wednesday morning, March 5.
16	
17	Thereupon, at or about 1:15 o'clock
18	a.m., the proceedings herein were
19	concluded
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	