Date: 08/13/93

Page:1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : HSCA

RECORD NUMBER: 180-10099-10060

RECORDS SERIES:

NUMBERED FILES

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 002027

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF ORLEANS, LA

FROM:

TO:

TITLE :

DATE: 02/17/69

PAGES: 85

SUBJECTS:

SHAW, CLAY L.

STATE OF LOUISANA VS. CLAY L. SHAW

NICHOLS, JOHN ZAPRUDER, FILM

DOCUMENT TYPE : TRANSCRIPT

CLASSIFICATION: U

RESTRICTIONS : OPEN IN FULL

CURRENT STATUS : 0

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 05/06/93

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

TRANSCRIPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS. BOX 44.

LEGEX

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT

PARISH OF ORLEANS

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

198-059

VERSUS

Willias?

1426 (30)

CLAY L. SHAW STALE EXHIBIT SA

SECTION "C"

PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT, FEBRUARY 17, 1969 AND 2L 15-1869

Testumny of Da John Nahols

B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR.

JUDGE, SECTION "C"

Dietrich & Pickett, Inc.

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111

REDIRECT RECROSS IDENTIFIED OFFERED RECEIVED

AFTER THE LUNCHEON RECESS: THE COURT: I trust you gentlemen enjoyed your lunch today. Is the State and Defense ready to proceed? MR. ALCOCK: We are ready. MR. DYMOND: Ready, Your Honor. THE COURT: 10 Call your next witness. 11 MR. OSER: 12 The State would like to note for the 13 record that in light of Time, Inc., 14 on the return of the subpoena, the 15 subpoena called for 35 millimeter 16 slides of Frames 200 to 320, and 17 after checking the return made by 18 Time, Inc., the State learns that 19 Frames 234 through 244 are missing. 20 This is a check by Mr. Alford and myself. 22

THE COURT:

23

24

25

Where is the witness? You excused him?

Time has these things copyrighted and I wanted to note for the record that we did not receive those particular frames.

THE COURT:

You requested that you have them?

MR. OSER:

Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

Why didn't you question the witness while he was here?

MR. OSER:

We did not go down and go through 120

35MM slides, we assumed they were all
here. I just want it noted for the
record.

THE COURT:

If the gentleman is still in the City and he hasn't left, possibly you can have one of the Assistant District Attorneys call Mr. Sessions and tell him about this and maybe it can be rectified while we are proceeding.

MR. OSER:

Mr. Sessions is not in his office, Your

```
Honor.
2
          THE COURT:
3
               Let's proceed with what you do have.
                       JOHN NICHOLS, M.D.,
     having been first duly sworn by the Minute Clerk,
5
     was examined and testified as follows:
6
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
7
     BY MR. OSER:
8
          Would you state your name for the record,
9
               Doctor, please.
10
     Α
          My full name is John Marshall Nichols.
11
     Q
          Where do you live, Doctor?
12
          THE COURT:
13
              How do you spell that?
14
          THE WITNESS:
15
               N-i-c-h-o-l-s.
16
     BY MR. OSER:
17
          Where do you live, Doctor?
18
          I live at 8008 Reed Road in Prarie Village,
19
               Kansas, that is a suburb of Kansas City.
20
          What is your profession, Doctor?
21
          I am a physician. which as the bet
22
          MR. OSER:
23
               At this time the State is going to attempt
24
                    to qualify Dr. Nichols as an expert
```

in the field of pathology and in the 1 field of forensic pathology. 2 THE COURT: proceed. 4 BY MR. OSER: 5 Doctor, from what university did you receive your undergraduate degree? 7 MR. DYMOND: 8 At this time we object to Dr. Nichols' 9 testimony on the ground that it is 10 irrelevant to the issues in this case. 11 THE COURT: 12 Objection overruled. 13 MR. DYMOND: 14 To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of 15 exception, making the questions pro-16 pounded to Dr. Nichols and answers 17 given, the Defense objection, the 18 reason for the objection, the Court's 19 ruling, and the entire record, in-20 cluding all testimony up to this 21_ point, parts of the bill. 22 THE WITNESS: 23 West Virginia University. 24

BY MR. OSER:

25

and the first that the state of the state of

1	Q And in what year was that?
2	A 1943.
3	Q Doctor, do you hold a PH degree?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Q In what, sir?
6	A Well, that is from the University of North
7	Carolina, Chapel Hill.
8 .	Q And do you hold any other degrees, Doctor?
9	A Well, I hold a degree of Bachelor of Medicine
10	and Bachelor of Surgery from the Universi-
11	ty of Liverpool
12	THE COURT:
13	Would you speak a little louder, Doctor.
14	THE WITNESS:
15	An MD Degree from the University of
16	Liverpool, Bachelor of Medicine
17	I have been ill in bed with a terri-
18	ble cold.
19	BY MR. OSER:
20	Q Continue, Doctor.
21	A My medical degree is from the University of
22	Liverpool in England, Bachelor of Medicine
23	and Bachelor of Surgery and Doctor of
24	Medicine, licentiate of the Royal College
25	of Physicians and licentiate of Royal

1		College of Surgeons, and these two
2		licentiates are equivalent to the American
3		MD Degree.
4	Q	Doctor, did you undergo any internship in the
5		field of Pathology?
6	A	Yes, I did, Yale University at New Haven,
7	•	Connecticut.
8	Q	How long was that internship in Pathology?
9.	A,	Well, a year for the internship.
10	Q	Did you do any residency in Pathology?
11	- A	Yes, at the Medical College of Virginia in
12		Richmond.
13	Q	Have you had any teaching assignments since
14		becoming a doctor or do you
15	A	Well, at the present time I am Associate
16	-	Professor of Pathology at the University
17	`A	of Kansas.
18	Ω	And prior to that?
19	A	Well, prior to that I was Assistant Professor
20		of Pathology and prior to that I was
21		Instructor in Pathology at the Medical
22		College in Virginia during my last year.
23	Ω	Can you tell us whether or not you are
24		accredited by the American Board of
25		Pathology?

-1	A	I passed every examination and I am so certi-
2		fied.
3	Q ~	Are you a consultant, Doctor, with any hos-
4		pitals?
5	A	Well, I am a consultant to the Veterans Hos-
6	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	pital in Kansas City, officially, and
7		there are pathologists in several other
8		hospitals who ask my opinions from time
9		to time, yes.
10	Q	During your medical career, Doctor, have you
11		had occasion to write any articles or
12		pamphlets concerning the area of pathology
13	A	Well, I think I published approximately 50
14		articles in various medical and scientific
15		journals and I have written three chapters
16		in three textbooks on pathology.
17	à	Have any of these articles appeared in the
18		Journal of the American Medical Associa-
19		tion?
20	A	Yes, that is true, several.
21	Q	During your career in the field of Pathology,
22		can you tell us approximately how many
23		autopsies you have done?
24	A	I have personally done approximately 1,000
25		autopsies.

THE COURT: How many?

- 8

THE WITNESS:

Approximately 1,000, and I have supervised the doing of approximately another 1,000, and I have assisted and participated, I suppose, in 250, these figures of course are approximate.

BY MR. OSER:

- Have you done any work, Doctor, with various types of surgical specimens removed from autopsies?
- A Well, the surgical specimens that are removed from living patients on which the surgeon wants to know whether he is dealing with a cancer or not, yes, I suppose I have examined 35 or 40 thousand surgical specimens.
- Q Doctor, have you ever been qualified as an expert in the field of Pathology in any
 courts of the land?
- A I testify somewhat regularly in the trial courts of Kansas.

MR. OSER:

25

Α

Well, the field of Pathology, as I interpret it, consists mainly of making microscopic diagnoses on patients, tissue removed from human patients in order that the surgeon may tell the patient he has a cancer and treat him appropriately or tell him he has a benign disease and treat that appropriately. I suppose that pathology is really divided into some subsections too, that would be forensic pathology, which deals with the acquiring of evidence with which to determine whether or not a crime has been committed and if a crime has been committed, then to assist in . apprehending, convicting, the guilty, and acquitting the innocent, although there is another branch which I am relatively ignorant, this is clinical pathology and this consists mainly of running the blood bank and chemical tests on the blood and urine and things as that in the hospital, and I profess no degree of proficiency in that.

Now, Doctor, am I correct in stating that you deal with the area of forensic pathology?

1	
1	Is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now, Doctor, have you had occasion to examine
4	what is commonly known as the Zapruder
5	film?
6	A Yes, I have.
7	Q Have you also had occasion, Doctor, to examine
8	various 35MM slides of the Zapruder film?
9.	A Yes, I have.
10	Q Likewise, Doctor, have you had occasion to
11	examine various 8 x 10 color prints of
12	the certain frames of the Zapruder film?
13	A Yes, I have.
14	MR. OSER:
15.	At this time, the State requests permis-
16	sion to display the Zapruder film
17.	to Dr. Nichols.
18	MR. DYMOND:
19	We object, Your Honor, on the grounds that
20	the film has been shown approximately
21 [.]	six times already. We see no connec-
22	tion between the showing of this film
23	and the Doctor's expertise, and we
24	further contend that it is irrelevant
25	to the issues in this case. The

Doctor has further testified that he has seen the Zapruder film.

THE COURT:

I will overrule the objection.
MR. DYMOND:

To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of exception, making the testimony of this witness, the questions propounded by the State, the Defense objection, together with the reasons therefor, State Exhibit 37, and all of the record and testimony in this case up until now parts of the bill.

THE COURT:

going to have a mass exodus in about

30 seconds. Mr. Oser stated to me
in the chambers that he wishes to go
up to the screen and he wants the
witness possibly to go to the screen,
and he is being blocked by spectators
being up around the edge of the chair
there. The persons who want to get
against the wall, they can do it now,
but nobody is going to be permitted

8

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

to go right up to the front. We are trying to run this case properly and I would request that they do not talk to one another or comment in any way about what is going on in court.

You are going to put it in slow motion?

MR. OSER:

In slow motion, Your Honor.

I will ask the Doctor to step down, please (Whereupon, the Zapruder film

was shown.)

THE COURT:

Put the lights on, Sheriff.

Let the people get back to their seats.

BY MR. OSER:

- Doctor, are you familiar with what you have just viewed on the screen as having seen this before?
- A Yes, my memory is refreshed.
- Doctor, I think you said before you viewed certain frames, slides of certain frames of the Zapruder film. Is that correct?
- A Yes, I have.

MR. OSER:

At this time the State requests permission to display to Dr. Nichols various 35MM slides of the Zapruder film.

MR. DYMOND:

These have not been offered into evidence, to the best of my knowledge.

THE COURT:

They have not as of this moment. They
were marked for identification, as
I recall, the prints were marked as
"S-53," the slides were marked "S-54,"
and before you can show them to the
Doctor, they will have to be received
into evidence.

MR. ALCOCK:

How can the State lay the proper foundation for introduction unless they show them to the Doctor for identification? The purport was to enter them in globo and show them to the Doctor in the presence of the Jury.

THE COURT:

As you well know, if you make the offer, it is going to be shown to the Jury,

it should be seen by the witness before it is shown to the Jury. Now, if you wish, have you examined the slides yourself, Doctor?

THE WITNESS:

I think perhaps I have picked up one or two of them in my hands and looked at it against the light, and I have seen them thrown on the screen by his projector.

MR. ALFORD:

All of the slides were identified this morning as having been made from the original film.

THE COURT:

I am aware of that. I just want to know if the Doctor has been given an opportunity to look at these slides since they were in the possession of Mr.

Orth, to see if the slides are the slides that he used for whatever tests he made. He said he only looked at one or two --

MR. OSER:

Up to the light, Your Honor. I think the

Doctor also said he saw all of the slides projected on the screen, is that right, Doctor?

THE WITNESS:

Yes, I saw a rather large number, I did not count them all. I think I have seen them all, I did not make a count of them, though, or I did not initial them or identify each slide with a notation.

THE COURT:

Let's make the offer.

MR. EDWARD WEGMANN:

The slides just came from New York this afternoon.

MR. OSER:

Is the number "53"? I think "54" is the slides.

THE COURT:

Yes.

MR. OSER:

The State wishes to offer, introduce and file into evidence that which was previously marked for the purposes of identification "S-54," the 35MM

21

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

23

slides from Time, Inc. MR. DYMOND: 3 We object to the introduction of these items, "53" and "54", first on the grounds that they are irrelevant to the issues, and secondly that the State, by introducing them, seeks to accentuate certain isolated portions of another exhibit in evidence. 9. THE COURT: 10 11 I overrule the objection. Before I rule on Dr. Nichols testifying 12 13 to the slides, I think it should be made evidents, and I am afraid it 14 15. will have to be done out of the 16 presence of the Jury, that the 17 Doctor is referring to the slides that you have in your possession. 18 19 MR. OSER: 21. That is what we did with Mr. Zapruder, if you remember. 23

MR. DYMOND:

25

Take the Jury upstairs, if you will, Sheriff.

```
1
                Before the Jury leaves, Your Honor, I
                     would like to reserve my bill of ex-
 3
                     ception to the last ruling of the
                     Court, making the exhibits --
 5
           THE COURT:
 6
                I haven't accepted them into evidence yet.
 7
           MR. DYMOND:
                Very well.
                (Whereupon, the Jury was removed.)
10
           MR. OSER:
                I have two of these carrousels.
11
                     to show one first and half of another
13
                (Whereupon, the slides were shown
14
                to the witness.)
15
          MR. OSER:
               This is the place that is missing, Your
16
17
                   Honor.
     BY MR. OSER:
18
          Doctor, having viewed the slides you just
19
           viewed, can you tell the Court whether or
20.
               not you had seen these slides prior to
21
               this time? And you have part to be wanted
22
         Yes, I have seen the slides earlier this morn-
23
               ing. The year apple to be
24
          THE COURT:
25
```

23 .

24

25

1

Can you identify these slides as being the slides you used for whatever tests you made, whatever examination you made?

THE WITNESS:

Well, I can't identify the particular slides, the images are all the same.

MR. OSER:

I can show the Doctor the 8 x 10's while the Jury is not here.

THE COURT:

You might as well cover that point too.

BY MR. OSER:

Q I show you what the State marked for purposes of identification "S-53," --

MR. OSER:

Is that right, Your Honor?

THE COURT:

Yes.

BY MR. OSER:

This consists of 21 8 x 10 color photographs or prints, and I ask you to examine the photographs and inform the Court whether or not you have seen these phtographs before.

Yes, I have seen these photographs earlier this morning and I examined them. MR. OSER: All right. 5 THE COURT: 6 Bring the Jury in. (Whereupon, the Jury was brought in.) 7 8 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Oser. 9 MR. OSER: 10 The State makes its offer as to "S-54," 11 the 35MM slides consisting of Frames 12 200 to 320, missing is 235 through 13: 14 244. 15 MR. DYMOND: To which we object for the reasons pre-16 17 viously stated, and also making a 18 part of our bill the parts which were originally set forth. 19 203 THE COURT: 可能是**是是**的一个。 I overrule the objection and permit the exhibit to be received into evidence. 22 MR. DYMOND: Control to the control of the control o 23 Your Honor, I would merely like at this 24

time to request that the Court in-

quire of this witness as to his seeing these slides and film early this
morning, when we were waiting here
right before lunch for Mr. Orth to
get off the airplane with these
things. We are somewhat at a loss.

MR. ALCOCK:

What significance does that have, Your Honor?

THE COURT:

The main thing, whether he saw them one second before he got on the stand or four hours, he has testified that he recognized them, that is the controlling factor.

MR. DYMOND:

He said he saw them earlier this morning, when did you see the film?

THE WITNESS:

Perhaps I used that wrong, perhaps I should have said I saw them earlier today.

THE COURT:

You reserve your bill on "54." Now, what about -- did you make an offer on "53" as yet?

15.

MR. OSER:

The State wishes to offer, introduce,

and file into evidence that which

has been previously marked for

purposes of identification "S-53,"

8 x 10 color prints of certain

frames of the Zapruder film. The

said prints total 21.

MR. DYMOND:

We have the same objection to that offering, making the exhibit, the objection,
the offering, the testimony of this
witness, the reasons for the objection, the ruling of the Court, together with all testimony and the entire record up to this time parts of
the bill.

THE COURT:

I overrule the objection. I will permit the prints to be received into evidence.

MR. OSER:

At this time I would like to display the slides to the Doctor.

THE COURM.

We will have to set it up again, I agree with you, you will have to do it all over again. We are going to have this commotion again. Is it your purpose to play the slides now for the Doctor's benefit in front of the Jury, is that correct?

MR. OSER:

Yes.

THE COURT:

I will grant you permission to do so.

I just don't want to have all of this commotion every time. Sheriff

Brocato, if the people wish to move over there, tell them to do it now with as least noise as possible.

MR. DYMOND:

We object to the seventh showing of this

portion of the Zapruder film now on

the slides on the grounds that it's

prejudicial because of the number of

times shown, because of the accentua
tion of particular portions of it,

and further that it is irrelevant to

the issues in this case.

THE COURT:

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I would like to state -- let's have a little order, please, otherwise we are going to work it so that nobody leaves their seats. I am overruling the objection for two reasons. refreshes the memory of the witness and the witness has been qualified as an expert and he needs this evidence to advise the Jury how he came to an opinion, so for that further reason, I am permitting the reshowing of the slides.

MR. DYMOND:

To which ruling Counsel reserves the bill, making the entire testimony of this witness, the Zapruder film, which is "S-37," the prints from the film, which is Exhibit No. -- what is that, 1."51" or "52"?

"53" and "54" are the prints and the slides. MR. DYMOND:

> "53," and the slides which would be "S-54," the reasons for the objection, and

THE COURT:

25

DIETRICH & PICKETT, Inc. . COURT REPORTERS

the entire testimony and record up until this point parts of the bill. MR. OSER: I ask the Doctor can he see the screen 5 from where he is. 6 THE WITNESS: 7 Well, I would prefer to have a better position, but I don't want to ob-٠ 9 struct the Jury. 10 THE COURT: 11 It would be better if you stepped down. 12 Are you ready, Mr. Oser? 13 MR. OSER: The Antique of the track of Yes, Your Honor. 15. THE COURT: 16 Cut out the lights. 17 (Whereupon, the slides were shown.) MR. OSER: 19 This is what is missing, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: You may proceed. 21: MR. OSER: White the state of th 22 At this time, the State requests per-23 mission to display the 8 x 10 photo-24 -

graphs to the Jury.

DIETRICIT

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

Any objection? They have already been received in evidence, you can show them to the Jury. Give half of them from this end so they can look at it here.

MR. OSER:

It breaks up the order of them.

THE COURT:

Oh, I see, it breaks up the sequence. Well, I tell you, it is 21 photographs, 14 men, for them to look at these photographs, that is going to take some time. Is there any objection to -- is there any objection on the part of the Defense or the State to take a recess and let the Jurors take the pictures up to the room upstairs?

Any objection?

MR. ALCOCK:

Not by the State.

Subject to my original objection on relevancy. E maion

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We are going to take a recess and Jurors,

let the Sheriff know when you are

finished looking at the pictures and

come down. I will try to get coffee

to you as quick as possible.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

AFTER THE RECESS:

THE COURT:

Sheriff, bring the Jury down, please.
You may proceed, Mr. Oser.

BY MR. OSER:

Q Doctor, I show you what the State has marked as "State Exhibit -- what is the next two numbers, Your Honor?

THE COURT: And our labely in a section of

You marked the photographs in globo, all of the prints as "S-53." Now, if you are going to --

MR. OSER:

I can use the alphabet.

THE COURT: Bank Tourneds Asid spices

"S-53-A, B," whatever you have.

BY MR. OSER:

Q I show you a document which the State marks

DIETRICH & PICKETT, Inc. . COURT REPORTERS . SUITE 1221

"S-53-A" and "B," and I ask you if you 1 2 recognize those two particular photo-3 graphs. Yes, I recognize these phtographs. 4 I now show you what the State marks as 5 "S-53-C," and I ask you if you recognize 6 that photograph. 7 Yes, I recognize this third photograph. 8 Doctor, using those three photographs, can you tell the Court whether or not you have 10 examined those photographs as to anyone's 11 12 body movement or possible reaction on these particular photographs? 13 I have examined the body movements of the 14 late President and the body movements of 15 Governor Connally in these three photo-16 17 graphs. Doctor, as an expert in the field of Pathology 18 and Forensic Pathology, can you give your 19 opinion as to the body reactions as you 20 see them and the body movements of 21 . President Kennedy as depicted on those 22 three photographs? 23 可能是自己的 2分钟的 化最近电影发生之外。 MR. DYMOND: 24 To which question we object. This is com-

pletely out of the scope of this Doctor's expertise, to look at a photograph and interpret the reactions of a body, that is not pathological work.

THE COURT:

I understand not only did the witness examine the photographs, but he saw the movies, the Zapruder film. that correct?

THE WITNESS:

Yes, it is.

MR. DYMOND:

That is not within the field of

THE COURT:

I overrule the objection.

MR. DYMOND:

To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of exception making the entire line of questioning, the qualifications of Dr. John Nichols as an expert, the purpose for which he was offered as an expert, the exhibits "State 53-A," "B" and "C," the Defense objection, the reason for the objection, the

2

5

6

7

Court's ruling, and the entire record of the testimony up until now parts of the bill.

THE COURT:

Would you like to have the Court Reporter read the question?

THE WITNESS:

No, I know the question.

A In Exhibit "S-53-A," I notice that Governor

Connally is sitting rather squarely in his

seat looking forward and to the right. I

notice that President Kennedy --

MR. DYMOND:

We object to this witness looking at the photographs and telling us what the photographs show. The photographs speak for themselves.

THE COURT:

He has been qualified as an expert to give his opinion. I overrule the objection.

MR. DYMOND:

He is not a photographic expert, that is what he is trying to tell us here.

THE COURT:

You can reserve your bill.

MR. DYMOND:

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21:

22

23

24 .

25

We reserve a bill, making the parts

thereof the same as the bill which

I previously reserved on Dr. Nichols'

testimony.

THE WITNESS:

Continuing on, "Exhibit S-53-A," I notice that the Presidential vehicle in which President Kennedy is riding, President Kennedy is emerging from behind what appears to be a road sign, he is reaching toward his throat with his hand, and "Exhibit 53" --"S-53-B," the automobile has proceeded farther and has come further from behind the sign and I notice that Governor Connally still has the same posture, the President is still reach ing for his throat with his right hand, and Frame -- and "Exhibit 53," "S-53-C," the automobile has proceeddecimaled further, he is almost completely from behind the sign now, Governor Connally is still squarely sitting in

Reference copy, JFK Collection: HSCA (RG 233)

DIETRICH & PICKETT, Inc. . COURT REPORTERS . SUITE 1221 . 333 SAINT CHARLES AVENUE

his seat looking forward and clutch-2 ing what appears to be a hat in his 3 right hand, President Kennedy is reaching towards his throat with both 5 hands, and is leaning forward. BY MR. OSER: Doctor, having examined these photographs as 8 well as having viewed the Zapruder film and the slides, do you have any expert 10 opinion as to the reaction of President 11 Kennedy as displayed in those three 12 exhibits? President Kennedy is showing a typical reaction 13 14 of pain in his throat. 15 MR. DYMOND: 16 We object on the grounds that the answer 17 is not responsive to the question. He was asked if he had an opinion as 18 19 to his reaction, not as to the cause 20 of the reaction. 21 MR. OSER: He said it was pain. 22 23 THE COURT: I think being qualified as he has been, 24 25 the Doctor can give his opinion as

34

Yes, the car in these photographs that you have

just cited has moved forward, a second ca: is coming into view, and "Exhibit 353-D,"
THE COURT:

"S-53."

THE WITNESS:

- 11

I am sorry, "S-53-D" and "E," I detect
that President Kennedy is still reacting to the pain and Governor
Connally appears also to be reacting
to pain and probably in "Exhibit S-53-E
he is expelling a gush of air out of
his mouth and his cheeks are puffed
upward, this is -- this puffing of the
cheeks is more pronounced in "S-53-F,"
and the Governor appears to be turning to the side, to the right, and he
is turning very pronounced to the
right in the last exhibit, "S-53-G."

BY MR. OSER:

- Doctor, can you tell the gentlemen of the Jury and the Court your expert opinion as to what would be the cause of Governor Connally's reactions as you see in those exhibits?
- A I think it is very likely that he has sustained

a gunshot -MR. DYMOND:

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

15.

16

I object to this, Your Honor, that is completely outside of the realm of this witness's expertise, for this witness to sit here and tell you as to what probably caused the pain, in my opinion, it is so far out of bounds that it is just --

THE COURT:

Continue with your argument.

MR. DYMOND:

This witness purports to look at these photographs and tell us what caused the pain that he supposedly detects in these photographs.

THE COURT:

I agree with your objection, he can tell
as an expert, he can give his opinion
as to what caused the pain, but he
cannot say unless he witnessed it
what caused the pain.

MR. OSER: wiled bear a subseq of astylese when ye

My question is not as to pain, it was as to reaction, not pain.

DIETRICH & PICKETT, Inc. . COURT REPORTED

THE COURT:

2.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

He can give his opinion as to the reaction but not the cause of it. We don't know what could have caused it.

MR. DYMOND:

That is exactly the thrust of my objection. MR. ALCOCK:

> He being a forensic pathologist, wouldn't it be consistent with his experience in the field of forensic pathology, this would be consistent with pain produced by a gunshot? What is so unusual about an expert giving an opinion along those lines? No expert or very few actually view what happened, they only see the effects of what happened. Any expert can give you his expert opinion as to what that cause was, this cause being a gunshot wound.

The question could be rephrased as to what could have caused that, not what did When the Coroner takes the cause it. witness stand in most murder cases or

THE COURT: The transfer of the state of the

expert doctors are qualified, they
can tell you what could have caused
the wound, but not what did cause it,
so if the question is rephrased, what
could have caused it, I will permit
it, otherwise I will not.

MR. DYMOND:

is qualified to testify that he has some special training which enables him to detect the differences and the different causes of pain which I think is impossible, he would not be qualified to answer that.

THE COURT:

Rephrase your question and I will make a ruling on it, Mr. Dymond, and you can be heard. Will you rephrase your question.

BY MR. OSER:

Using the four photographs you now hold in your possession, as well as having viewed the Zapruder film and the 35MM slides, could you give your expert opinion as to what could have caused the reaction in

. 9

Governor Connally as displayed in those four photographs you now hold in your hand?

DYMOND:

5

10

11

12

13

15.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Objection, if the Court please. Court should not be interested in what could have caused the pain, anything in the world that would be painful could have caused pain, and that is just pure speculation.

THE COURT:

I overrule your objection, he can testify to that. 化基金 医自己性性 医甲基二氏管 医克克氏管

MR. DYMOND:

To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of exception, making the State's question, the Defense objection, the reasons for it, the entire testimony of the witness, the exhibits "State 53," and all of the testimony up until this time parts of the bill.

THE WITNESS:

I can very definitely and very conclusively say that Governor Connally is reacting to a stimulus, which stimulus probably

25

1 is pain. 2 BY MR. OSER: Doctor, this stimuli that you speak of, that you just testified about, could this stimuli have been the gunshot wound? 5 MR. DYMOND: 7 I object to that, if the Court please. THE COURT: When one of you speak, wait until he finishes so I can understand. 10 11 MR. DYMOND: I object to that on the grounds it is completely outside the scope of this witness's qualifications and the ques tion calls for a pure assumption. 15 THE COURT: 16 I overrule the objection. 17 MR. DYMOND: 18 The same bill with the same parts as the 19 bill previously reserved. 20 THE WITNESS: A Land news may add a contact and a contact a 21 It would be the impact of the bullet and striking the Governor. 23 BY MR. OSER: Danage of englise on paidy leaning of the 24 I show you what the State marks for identifica-25

tion, "S-53-H" through "S-53-M," and I ask you to take a look at those photographs, if you would, please.

Yes, the automobile with the Governor and the President has proceeded further on its course, and in "S-53-H," Governor Connally appears to be in more extreme pain, the President is still clutching his throat, he is leaning forward and to the left, and he is being attended to by his wife. Now, in "S-53-I," the photograph is of a much poor quality than the previous one, it is blurred, it appears that the President's head, the first half of his head is exploding, and the next picture, "S-53-J," it shows essentially the same thing, the bloodiness and the red character of the explosion about his head is much less in size, and in "S-53-K," it appears that the President's head and his shoulders have movedbackwards. still seems to be apparent in "S-53-L," and Governor Connally is still in his apparent condition of pain, leaning on his wife and the President's wife is attempting

25

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and "S-53-M," it appears that the President has moved still further backwards, his shoulders and his head, and the halo of explosion about his head is no longer apparent, but there appears to be a rather horrible flesh wound, this is the sum and substance of what I reviewed.

Doctor, from having viewed the photographs you now hold as well as the Zapruder film and the 35MM slides, could you state as an expert, Doctor, as to what the cause of the red halo or the red effect around President Kennedy's head was caused by as well as his backward movement as you have described?

MR. DYMOND:

We again object on the grounds that this
is outside the field of this Doctor's
qualifications, and secondly it is
irrelevant to the issues in this case.

THE COURT:

I will overrule the objection.

The bearing attack to the

MR. DYMOND:

The same bill with the same parts as the

22.

1 previous two bills. THE WITNESS: 3 I think this depicts the effect of the gunshot wound, a bullet striking the 5 President in the head. BY MR. OSER: From having viewed this data, Doctor, can you 7 give us an expert opinion as to the direction from which the President's head 9 10 was struck? 11 MR. DYMOND: We strenuously object to such a question 12 13 as this. This Doctor is not qualified to answer such a question. THE COURT: 15 16 I overrule your objection, Mr. Dymond. 17 MR. DYMOND: 18 To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of 19 exception, the same parts as the bills 20 previously reserved. THE WITNESS: 21. Having viewed the Zapruder film, the individual 35MM frames and the particu-23 24 lar exhibits here, I would say that 25 this is compatible with a gunshot

1 having been delivered from the front. 2 BY MR. OSER: Now, Doctor, in speaking of the exhibits that 3 you identified before, and I am speaking 4 now of "State Exhibit 53-B" and "State Exhibit 53-G," in using "State Exhibit 6 53-B, " can you tell us again whether or 7 not President Kennedy is responding or 8 9 reacting to any stimuli? In my opinion, he is reacting to a stimuli in Α 10 his neck and that stimuli is probably 11 12 pain. Now, in "53-B," the one you now hold, can you 13 tell us whether or not Governor Connally 14 is reacting to any stimuli in "53-B"? 15 In "53-B," Governor Connally is not reacting to 16 17 stimuli. Now, referring to "53-G," can you tell us whether 18 19 or not President Kennedy is reacting to stimuli? 20 President Kennedy is reacting more intensely 21 to a stimuliand remark to recembe a limit 22 Can you tell us whether or not Governor Connally 23 is reacting to a stimuli? Governor Connally in my opinion is reacting to 25

a stimuli. If, Doctor, using "53-B," if President Kennedy was reacting to a stimuli at that particular time, and the same stimuli would have 4 caused Governor Connally to react, how 5 fast, in your opinion, Doctor, would 6 Governor Connally have reacted to the same 7 stimuli applied to President Kennedy? 8. MR. DYMOND: I object, Your Honor, on the grounds that 10 the hypothet is going outside the 11 scope of the evidence. 12 THE COURT: 13 In which way? 14 MR. DYMOND: 15 100 - 1875 A 477) If the Stenographer will read the question 16 back, I will point out in which way. 17 I assume the Court heard it. 18 THE COURT: 19 I overrule the objection. 20: MR. DYMOND: 21 To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of 22 exception, making the question, the 23 reason for the objection, the Court's 24

ruling, the entire testimony and

record up until this point, parts of 1 the bill. 3 A JUROR: Could the Jury have five minutes? 5 THE COURT: Take the Jury upstairs. 6 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 7 AFTER THE RECESS: 8 THE COURT: Can I have a little order in the Court, 10 please. 11 Gentlemen, we are going to recess until 12 Wednesday morning, and Dr. Nichols 13 will be asked to return at 9:00 a.m. 14 Wednesday morning. 15 I want to make mention to the Jurors that 16 I was lucky enough, I have a place 17 for you all to see the Rex Parade: 18 and the Krewe of Orleans, and after 19 that is finished, you will be brought 20 back. I made arrangements for you 21 all to be able to see the whole Rex 22 Parade and the Krewe of Orleans, so 23 that may break up the monotony that 24

I know you are suffering.

25

Where is the Sheriff in charge of the Jury?

I have some notes I want to give to the Sheriff.

It is about 22 minutes to 5:00, and they are not here available to take the Jury, you say?

Let everybody have a seat for a moment, Sheriff.

Now, in connection with tomorrow, let me mention one or two things. We are going to have about seven or eight Sheriffs with you, and please do not let anyone try to make a mockery or a joke because we are trying to accommodate you, I don't want any persons talking to you in any way. If they want to throw doubloons or things at you, you can catch them, but I don't want to have a spectacle made because we are letting you see the parade, but you will be far enough away from the street. You are going to be on a balcony at a home; the location of the home I don't want to

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22'

23

24

25

let out now because it will be found out soon enough when it happens tomorrow, but I think it will be nice. The Sheriff will get sandwiches and chairs and whatnot so you will have food at the place.

You should be there from about 9:30 until 2:30 or 3:00, and you will be brought back to the motel.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT:

I have arranged for a doctor to come check this evening around 6:00 o'clock.

All right. I suggest that you take the Jury, and again I must admonish you, as I have done so many times, do not discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else until it is finally submitted to you for your verdict in the case.

Let everybody have a seat. Take charge of the Jury and you, Mr. Shaw, you are released under your same bond.

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF ORLEANS STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

198-059

VERSUS

1426 (30)

CLAY L. SHAW

SECTION "C"

PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1969

B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR.,
JUDGE, SECTION "C"

Dietrich & Pickett, Inc. Stemotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111

THE COURT: 2 I trust you Gentlemen had a nice Carnival. Is the State and the Defense ready to proceed? 5 MR. OSER: 6 We are, Your Honor. 7 MR. DYMOND: Yes, Your Honor. . 9 MR. OSER: I think we left off with a question being 10 11 propounded to the Doctor. 12 JOHN NICHOLS, M.D., having been sworn and having testified previously, 13 resumed the stand for a continuation of the 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OSER: 16 I will ask the Reporter to read the question 17 where we left off the other day. 18 (Whereupon, the question was read 19 by the Reporter.) 20 THE WITNESS: 21 May I see the two exhibits again, please? 22 I would like to correct the word "stimuli," 23 that is plural. I should have used 24 the word "stimulus." 25

THE COURT:

2

3

5

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21:

22:

23

24

I think Mr. Oser was using it plural.

Originally you used the word

"stimulus."

THE WITNESS:

In answer to that question, I would say

Governor Connally would have re
acted almost exactly 7/5,670 of one

second later than President Kennedy.

BY MR. OSER:

I show you what has been marked as "S-53-I,"

and I ask you if you would describe what

is depicted in that photograph, please.

MR. DYMOND: GLASS STORY OF THE STORY OF THE

The photograph speaks for itself.

THE COURT:

Would you rephrase your question.

BY MR. OSER:

Doctor, would you state for the Court as an expert, what is your opinion as to the body movements and reactions of President Kennedy as depicted in that photograph.

A I cannot tell any body movements from this single photograph, I would have to compare it to the preceding photographs and subse-

quent photographs.

I show you, Doctor, what the State marked as "S-53-H" and "S-53-M," and --

MR. DYMOND:

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Excuse me, Doctor. If the Court please, we object to this testimony on the grounds that it is beyond the scope of the expertise of this witness.

THE COURT:

I overrule the objection.

MR. DYMOND:

To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of exception, making the entire testimony up until this point, the objection, the ruling of the Court, the reasons for the objection, the witness's testimony, parts of the bill.

THE COURT:

The Doctor has examined all of the photographs, he can use any one of them to give his opinion on. You may proceed.

THE WITNESS:

Comparing "S-53-I" and "S-53-M," it is apparent that the President's head

and shoulders have moved to the rear in "S-53-M."

BY MR. OSER:

A

Now, Doctor, as an expert, and having viewed those particular 8 x 10 enlargements and 35MM slides, Frames 200 through 320, excluding those that are missing, 234 to 244, and having seen the Zapruder film, I ask you, Doctor, as an expert, what is your opinion if a stimulus was applied to the rear of President Kennedy's head, as to -- correct that, if a stimulus had been applied to the rear of President Kennedy's head at the time of "S-53-I," what in your opinion as an expert would have been President Kennedy's reaction to a stimulus applied to the rear?

If the proposed stimulus applied to the rear is the same magnitude as the stimulus apparently delivered from the front, then his head and body would have moved to the front.

MR. OSER.

I tender the witness.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DYMOND:

Q Were you in Dallas, Texas, on November 22, 1963?

A No, I was in my research lab.

Q You did not witness the assassination. Is that correct?

A That is correct.

Now, what is your usual procedure in conducting an autopsy where it appears that the death was caused as a result of a head wound?

In conducting the autopsy I would start by X-raying the body completely in two planes, anterior-posterior and lateral, and after these were developed and after I studied them, during this time of course I would be taking those photographs with black and white camera and with a color camera, and I would be making measurements of various points, I would be making measurements of various lesions which might have been involved, and having then studied the X-rays, I would have proceeded along the lines indicated, which would of course include a full, complete and total examination. We would dissect the body and get

all of the disease or affected parts out, and make microscopic slides of these, make detailed drawings with measurements, and after all was put together, it would probably be a month before I would be able to issue a final diagnosis; however, in most gunshot wounds one is able to issue a provisional diagnosis shortly after you finish with the body, but to do the complete autopsy, it requires considerable time.

- Q So ordinarily it would take a month or more to perform an autopsy. Is that correct?
- A Well, with a gunshot wound it is reasonable, and if there are no complicating factors otherwise, it is reasonable it could be done within a month, yes.
- How would you go about determining the point of entrance and the point of exit of a gunshot wound in the head?
- A It depends an awful lot upon the nature of the gunshot wound, if it is a small 22 it is relatively simple, if it is something such as a 6.5 Manlicher Carcana, it is a little more difficult, but you use every

. 2

	1	V.
1		bit of evidence that you have on hand.
2	Q	Well, tell us some of the procedures which
3		you would ordinarily follow in doing that
4		in conducting an autopsy.
5 .	A	Oh, if motion pictures had been taken of the
6		subject during the assassination, I would
7		study those first, and I would have eye-
8		witness testimony, and then sometimes a
9		small caliber
10	Q	We are talking about a 6.5
11	A	Every situation is different, and I have to go
12		with what we have at hand.
13	Q	Ordinarily, now, Doctor, is it your testimony
14	* *.	you would not examine the remains of the
15		person shot in connection with determining
16		the point of entrance and exit?
17	A	No, I didn't say that at all, I say we do a
18		complete total autopsy.
19	Q	Now, what does this complete total autopsy
20		consist of which you would perform under
21		these conditions?
22	A	It consists of first X-raying the body com-
23		pletely, anterior-posterior, front and
24		back, and then side pictures, from the
		······································

side, localized missiles, and then for

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the sake of completeness it requires taking gross photographs of the body for identification, for the position of wound, such things as that, and then it involves a dissection, getting out all of the parts involved, and it includes fixing the tissues in formaldehyde to allow them to become hard, and after they become hard we dissect these very carefully using sometimes a low-power microscope, and we separate and submit the appropriate parts to technicians to make slides, and after the slides come back we study them under a microscope. In the case of a brain, it is necessary to fix the brain in formaldehyde for two weeks until it becomes hard, to dissect, and if you try to dissect a fresh brain it falls apart, putting the whole thing together at the end.

Would you examine and take into consideration the physical characteristics and condition of the remaining parts of the skull of someone?

A Voc

Q Now, Doctor, did you examine any X-rays of the

1	remains of President Kennedy?
2	A I requested to do so, sir, but I have been
3	denied that privilege. I have requested
4	on many occasions to do so in telegrams
5	and registered letters.
6	Q But you have not examined these X-rays. Is
7	that correct?
8	A Not yet.
و .	Q Doctor, have you ever before performed an
10	autopsy without having reviewed the re-
11	
12	mains of the person upon whom the autopsy
•	was being performed?
13	A I have expressed opinions on such autopsies
14	to some lawyers who come to my office.
15	Q You have never actually performed one without
16	having examined the subject?
17	A You cannot perform an autopsy by remote con-
18	trol.
19	THE COURT:
20	Never mind, proceed.
21	BY MR. DYMOND:
22	Q Doctor, when was the first time that you saw
23	
24	the Zapruder film in its entirety? A I suppose it was about two ways
	and the second two weeks ago.
25	Q Two weeks ago, where did you see that?

		59
1,	A	At the Townhouse Motel in Kansas City.
2	Q	And you saw a complete
3	A	I beg your pardon, I saw it in the Pathology
4_		Department in Kansas City, in the projec-
5		tion room.
6	Q	You saw a complete running of the Zapruder film
7		at that time?
8	A	Yes, the Zapruder film that I saw here was
9		complete as compared to the one I saw in
10		Kansas City, yes.
11	Q	When was the first time that you examined blown
12		up slides or prints of the Zapruder film?
13	A	I suppose it was about 11:30 Monday morning.
14		Perhaps 12:00, I don't know exactly.
15	Q	Now, Doctor, the opinions which you have ex-
16		pressed here in your testimony, is it not
17	*	a fact that you expressed the same opinions
18		in an article offered by you in the
19		Archives of Pathology back in 1967?
20	A	Oh, no, not at all.
21	Q	In what way does the opinion differ?
22:	A	May I see the article, please?
23	Q	I don't have the article.
24	A	It does not exist, sir.
25	Q	You haven't written any article for the

	60
1	Archives of Pathology?
2	A Yes, I have written
3	Q None pertaining to the assassination of
4	President Kennedy?
5	A None, sir, I have never written an article
6	pertaining to the assassination of
7	President Kennedy anywhere.
8	Q You never have?
9	A No.
10	Q You have no published work
11	A No published work on the assassination of
12	President Kennedy.
13	Q Doctor, do you hold yourself out as a ballistic
14	expert?
15	A In the case of the assassination of President
16	Kennedy, I have conducted experiments,
17	yes, this consisted of purchasing 6.5
18	Manlicher Carcana ammunition, I have fired
19	this into human wrists and into human ribs
20	I have recovered the bullets, yes, I pro-
21	claim a degree of proficiency in ballistic
22	to this extent.
23	Q What formal training have you had in the field
24	of ballistics, Doctor?
.	A Well, this consisted of

and I have attended ballistics experts
examining other bullets at the College
of Virginia, I have talked with many police officers, I have identified bullets
and have testified to them on those
points, yes.

- On the basis of that, you consider yourself a ballistics expert?
- A I consider myself an expert in the field of ballistics as I have testified in this Court.
- What formal training in the field of ballistics have you had on the ballistics points in which you have testified in this Court?
 - I chronographed the speed of a bullet
 emerging at 1,890 feet, at a distance of
 30 feet, then I would catch these bullets
 and I would also shoot through human wrists
 and ribs and catch the bullets and I would
 compare them, sir. The bullets coming
 through the wrists and through the rib,
 injuries similar to Governor Connally's,
 were mutilated whereas bullets otherwise

東京語書館·日本代本書館 · 一次日本代表

bullets traversing a rib and a wrist producing wounds similar to that sustained by Governor Connally are mutilated bullets whereas bullets that are merely shot into a mattress in which I checked them, they are pristine. I have, sir, copyrighted results of my work here. May I show them to you, please?

Mr. Oser, do you have the --

THE COURT:

Do you have them?

MR. OSER:

We can send for the Doctor's briefcase, which is down in my office.

MR. DYMOND:

Actually, Your Honor, I am not interested in these.

THE WITNESS:

I have them right here, though.

THE COURT:

I think he has a right to give an answer.
THE WITNESS:

Perhaps we can give a better answer to

the Jury if I could set up the slides

and project them onto the screen.

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7.

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Reference copy, JFK Collection:

1	MR. DYMOND:
2	If the State wants him to do that
3	MR. ALCOCK:
4	This is in response to his question, Your
5	Honor.
6	MR. DYMOND:
7	Anybody can copyright anything that is
8	unique and original.
9	THE COURT:
0	I think the Doctor can give you a yes or
1	no answer and tell you and show you
12	what training he did have.
13	MR. DYMOND:
14	Training, yes.
15	THE COURT:
16	That is what he is trying to do.
17	MR. DYMOND:
18	I am willing to hear testimony about
19	training, that is what I have asked
20	for, but a man writing an article
21	does not constitute training.
22	THE COURT:
23	Wouldn't the articles denote yes or no,
24	whether he did have any training in

MR. DYMOND:

1

3

. 7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

I have never heard or seen of the articles.

THE COURT:

Tell of your training without going into the articles.

THE WITNESS:

No such article exists, it is a figment of somebody's imagination. My training, sir, in the field of ballistics consists of a one-hour lecture, conferences with ballistics experts in the office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Richmond, Virginia, it consists also, sir, of my own studies which is training, with a Manlicher Carcana Rifle, 6.5 ammunition, being fired into human wrists and ribs and collecting the bullets, this is train ing, sir, and this is the result of it, and with Your Honor's permission, I would like to show these to the Jury in detail.

THE COURT:

I think you have answered the question.

court.

THE COURT:

4.

It would depend on the Judge whether or not you would have been qualified, it is not what a person himself thinks he would be. It is what his qualifications are assessed in court by the court.

BY MR. DYMOND: .

- Q Do you hold yourself out as a photograph expert?
- A Yes.
- Q Would you tell us the extent of your training in photography.
 - The extent of my training started, sir, I suppose, when I was about ten years old,
 I purchased or was given a camera and I had many cameras since then, I have access to a far range of cameras within the pathology department of the University of Kansas and they are used for the specific purpose of identifying wounds on human bodies, living persons or dead persons, and I teach this to medical students and residents and I take the pictures myself of

		60
1	Q	Have you ever seen the clothing which was worn
2		by President Kennedy at the time he was
3.		assassinated?
4	A	I am suing the Federal Government to obtain
5		possession of that.
6	Q	You are suing the Federal Government?
7	A	Yes, I am.
8	Q	Did you know he was wearing a back brace at
9		the time of the assassination?
10	A	I am keenly aware of that, sir, that prevented
11-		him from falling to the side, that was why
12		he stayed erect.
13	Ω	You are suing for that too or not?
14	A	No, I am not suing for that.
15	Q	Doctor, do you know whether or not at any time
16		after the shote depicted in Frame 313 of
17		the Zapruder film was fired, the Presi-
18		dential limousine accelerated sharply at
19		any time?
20	A	I did not know the speed of the limousine, sir.
21	Q	Was that ever taken into account by you in any
22		of your calculations?
23	A	As far as Frames 313, 314 and 315, I have
24		assumed that the speed of the limousine
25		was practically constant, I did not know,

DIETRICII o DIC:

	sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q You said practically constant?
- A Within those three frames, yes. I do not think
 it had accelerated or any deceleration
 in those three frames.
 - Q Do you know what the speed of the limousine was?
 - A No. sir.
 - Q Do you know whether it was going fast or slow at the time of the assassination?

 THE COURT:

I am not trying to assist the witness, but can you tell us what you mean by "fast," or what you mean by "slow"?

MR. DYMOND:

If the Court please, I am talking to an expert here.

THE COURT:

But your question is confusing.

MR. DYMOND:

I am trying to find out whether he has any idea as to the speed, Your Honor, which apparently he does not.

THE COURT:

If the State objects, I will sustain the

23

22

1 objection that the question is not properly before the witness. It is 2 3 not a proper question, was he going fast or slow. 5 BY MR. DYMOND: Do you know how fast the limousine was going? 6 Q Α 7 No. Do you know how fast it was going in Frame 310? 8 A No, sir. 311? 10 11 No. 12 312? Nowhere do I know how fast the limousine was 13 going. 14 Nowhere in the Zapruder do you know how fast the limousine was going? 16 No, sir. 17 Do you have any idea as to the relative speed 18 as between given frames of the Zapruder 19 film? 20 No, sir. and a second 21 Doctor, would you testify the sudden accelera-22 tion of a vehicle would not throw an 23 24 occupant back? It did not throw the other occupants back, sir. 25

· i	Q	It did not?
2	A	It did not.
3	Q	You are sure about that?
-4	A	It is demonstrated with the Zapruder film it
5		did not, sir.
б	Q	And you did not take into account any accelera-
7		tion or speed?
8	A	I assume it did not because the other occupants
9		retained their relative positions.
10	Q	Are there any other assumptions upon which your
11		testimony has been based?
12	A	Not at the present time. You might drag out
13		some that I am not aware of.
14	Q	Well, Doctor, it's your testimony, don't you
15		know whether it was based on assumptions?
16	A	Well, the sun striking the object from the
17		camera, I don't know whether it was
18		Kodachrome film, I don't know the details
19		of the development, no, I don't know these
20		things.
21	Q	What was the speed and direction of the wind
22		in Dallas at the time of the taking of
23		Frame 313?
24		MR. ALCOCK:

The man said he was not in Dallas.

25_.

MR. DYMOND:

I will change the form of the question.

BY MR. DYMOND:

~4

- Did your calculations take into account the speed and direction of the wind in Dallas at the time Frame 313 was taken?
- A The speed and direction of the wind as related to the traversing of the bullet path are insignificant, sir.
- Doctor, please answer the question, and if you didn't understand it, I will have it read back.
- A No, I did not take those into account. If you will tell them to me, I will take them into account.
- Q You have not taken them into account up until now, right?
- A No, but, if you will, I will do so.
- Q That's up to you, sir. Now, Doctor, is there such a thing as a delayed reaction to pain?
- A If a person is unconscious or under anesthesia, yes.
- Q Would you say that is the only condition under which that could occur?

	73
1	A It depends on your definition of "delayed,"
2	sir.
3	Q Have you ever heard of a person having been
4	stabbed or shot and not realizing that
5	anything happened to him?
6	A Not realizing it in the cerebral cortex of his
7	brain, that is correct.
8	
9	Q Have you ever heard of a person stabbed or shot
10	and not showing any immediate reaction to it?
11.	normal person riding in an automobile
12	with the attention of a crowd, waving to
13	the crowd, no, sir, I do not.
14	Q Have you ever seen a person waving in an auto-
15. :	mobile to a crowd shot?
16	A No, I haven't, sir.
17.	Q Have you made any investigation into the
18	normalcy of the people shot on November 22,
19	1963, in Dallas?
20	A In relation to the President I have, sir, yes.
21	Q What?
22 ′.	A He was normal, sir.
23	Q In all
24	A His doctor had examined him and approved him

taking this visit to the City of Dallas and

riding in the automobile, sir, his doctor had taken this into account. 2 And from that you would conclude his reaction 3 to pain, trauma, would be normal. Is 4 5 that correct? Α Yes. б Was that the only information upon which that 7 assumption is based? 8 A Yes. 9 Prior to November 22, 1963, did you ever have 10 occasion to meet President John Kennedy? 11 I think I shook hands with him, sir. A 12 How about Governor Connally? 13 Not Governor Connally, I tried several times 14 to get an appointment with Governor Connally 15 and he rejected me. 16 Governor Connally rejected you, you say? 17 Yes, he did not answer my letters. 18 Now, Dr. Nichols, have you ever heard of dif-19 ferences in thresholds of pain, that is, 20 some people being able to stand or endure 21 pain better than others can? I am quite well aware of that. I have conducted 23 experiments on that myself. 24

You have? Did you feel that that was a considera-

. 9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		tion which should be taken into account
2		by you at arriving at your conclusion?
3	A Yes,	and I did so, I took that into account
Ļ		when I assumed the President was in good
;		health and Governor Connally was in a
•		state of good health, they were not in-
•		toxicated.
	0 70 0	

- Is good health and intoxication, are those
 the only two factors that would have anything to do with the threshold of pain?
- A They are the two most important things.
- 12 Q What other factors are there?
 - A Let me modify that and say they are the only factors.
 - Q What other factors did you have in mind?
- 16 A I have changed --
 - Q What did you mean when you say they were the two most important?
 - A I can't think of anything now.
 - Q You changed your mind, you say, Doctor?
 - A At the present time, sir, I can only think of one thing, as a matter of fact, that changes the threshold of pain, physical health.
 - Q That is the only one, right?

- A That is the only one, sir.
- All right, I see. Now, Doctor, have you ever attempted to determine the direction of the shot from photographic evidence only prior to this time?
- A Yes, I have, sir.
 - Q Can you tell us about that, please.
 - A It is very tricky and very misleading. With a low caliber bullet, it can be done, with a low velocity bullet -- speaking of the brain, sir, the head?
- Q Any shot.
- A Any shot:
- 14 Q Right.

1

2

3

4-

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19.

20:

21

22

23

24

- A Certainly if you established the bullet entering in one part of the anatomy and emerging in another part of the anatomy and you assume the person is in an anatomical position, I have written this on autopsy articles, it is reasonable sometimes to arrive at an approximate angle that a bullet was fired, and this is very helpful to the police.
- Q What is the best way to determine a point of entrance and point of exit of a bullet?

To see the offender fire the shot. Α You would not want to examine the body of the 2 victim? You asked me the best, sir. Α The best is to see the shot fired? Yes. Let's assume you do not see the shot fired, 7 what would then be the best way of de-8 termining where the bullet entered or 9 10 exited? The bullet hole enters, sir, in soft tissue 11 of the human body, is a small affair, it is smaller than the bullet is itself, and as the bullet hits, enters, and the speed of the bullet rubbing against the 15 skin produces a small burn, this appears blackened, I am assuming we are at a dis-17 tance of ten feet now, then on the other 18 side where it emerges the bullet hole is 19 larger, usually, not always, but usually, 20 and the edges are everted and when you 21 study the bullet hole entrance under a 22 microscope, you can see a little rim of 23

burned tissue that almost conclusively

pinpoints it, but you can never be cer-

24

tain.

1

3

4.

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, Doctor, wouldn't some of the same factors apply to a head wound --

- A I am suing --
- Q -- with a high velocity rifle?
 - A I am suing the Federal Government for permission to look at the X-rays and the pictures of the head in order to find out more exactly than I have at the present time.
 - Q Would I be correct in saying then that you consider it very important from a pathological standpoint to be given access to the photographs and films of President Kennedy for the purpose --
 - A It is very important.
 - Q It is very important?
- 17 A Yes.
 - And you feel that you could add to the exactness of your opinion were you able to
 examine these things. Is that right?
 - A I feel there is a reasonable possibility that
 I might.
 - Q Now, Doctor, from the standpoint of a pathologist, which is the better tool in determining the point of exit and the

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

point of entrance of a bullet, the examination of the victim or a photograph of the shooting?

- A If the victim is available, the examination of the victim, a complete examination of the victim, a total examination of the victim, including X-rays and dissection of the part.
- Q X-rays and dissection of the brain, did you say?
- A Of the part involved.
- Now, as an expert in the field of pathology,

 Doctor, would you dispute the point of

 exit and entrance of a bullet on the basis

 of photographs as opposed to an opinion

 as to the entrance and exit based upon

 photographs plus an actual examination of

 the body of the victim?
- A It depends on who examines the body, sir. Yes,
 I would, and many occasions I have.
- When you say who examines the body, are you speaking from the standpoing of honesty or the standpoint of ability and qualification?
- A Ability and qualifications and previous ex-

perience. Previous experience is very important.

Q I take it then, sir, that assuming that such

a determination were made by a patholographic evidence such as you have had Is that correct?

A In which case, in which particular case are you speaking, sir?

Q In any case.

A I can't talk about any case.

Q Why not?

6

7.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I do not know whether I would or not,
I would have to know the details, because
this other fellow, although experienced
and skilled and honest, he might overlook
something. I might pick up something that

he overlooked, yes. The one of the your

Wouldn't it be fair to say that you are very

curious to see these X-rays and the

pathological reports in order to determine

*	· ·
,1	for yourself whether your opinion is
2	correct?
.3	A I want to know the truth, the whole truth,
4	and nothing but the truth.
5	Q And you want to see whether your opinion is
6	correct. Isn't that right, sir?
7	A I would like to confirm it.
8	MR. DYMOND:
9	That's all, sir.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
.11	BY MR. OSER:
12	Q Doctor, I show you what the State has marked
13	as "S-18," and I ask you if you have ever
14	seen this particular rifle before, sir.
15	A May I step down from the witness stand, sir?
16	THE COURT:
17	Yes.
18	THE WITNESS:
19	May I refer to my notes, sir?
20	THE COURT:
21	You can refresh your memory from your own
22	notes, but you cannot read from your
23	notes.
24	MR. OSER:
25	Do not read from your notes themselves.

THE WITNESS:

Yes, I purchased this rifle from

Smitty's Gun Shop in Kansas City

on October 10, 1968, sir, it is my
rifle, I own it.

BY MR. OSER:

- Q And what type of gun is this, Doctor?
- A This is an Italian Army rifle, it is more commonly known as a Manlicher Carcana Rifle, Caliber 6.5.
- Q During your research and experiments, did you have occasion to use this particular rifle in your research?
- A Not this particular rifle, sir, but I have used six other rifles similar to this in my research.
- Q Will you identify the particular type of scope that is on that rifle, Doctor?
 - Yes, this scope, I purchased it from Mr.

 Martin Redding in Culver City, California, along about two months previously, I believe, at a price of \$11.00. The mount I purchased at a price of \$1.00, it was mounted for me at -- by a firm in Kansas City at a cost of \$9.00, and the gun was

```
blued for me at -- by another firm.
           MR. OSER:
2
                That's all.
                         RECROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. DYMOND:
           Doctor, are all Manlicher Carcana Rifles 6.5
6
                millimeters?
          No, sir, there are those that are 7.2 and 7.5,
     Α
8
                and there is a toy gun that Mussolini
9.
                had cut down to train the 14-year-old
10
                children, also a Manlicher Carcana that
11
                shoots blanks.
12
           MR. DYMOND:
13
                No further questions.
14
           THE COURT:
15
                Is there any further need for Dr. Nichols
16
                      under his subpoena?
17
           MR. OSER:
18
19
                                       (Witness excused.)
20
21
                            ...000...
22
23
24
```

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I, the undersigned, a Deputy Official Court
Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, authorized
and empowered by law to administer oaths and to take the
depositions of witnesses under L.R.S. 13:961.1, as
amended, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing
deposition is true and correct as taken by me in the
above-entitled and numbered cause (s).

I further certify that I am not of counsel nor related to any of the parties to this cause or in anywise interested in the event thereof.

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA, on the

day

of

19 6

DEPUTY OFFICIAL COURT REPORTE

STATE OF LOUISIANA