-5-

his present attorneys. In my opinion, these observations should be taken into consideration in your decision about the request for a formal sanity hearing. In my opinion, there are no psychiatric contraindications for you to question Tr. Ruby directly, or for you to permit the defense to put him on the stand for directly testimony about the sanity issue. Ideally, in my opinion, Mr. Ruby should be under the supervision of a psychiatrist, preferably in a psychiatric hospital, until the prisoner recovers sufficiently to proceed with his appeal with rational understanding.

I will be available for testimony and further consultation, when it seems indicated to you.

Sincerely

R.L. Stubblefield, M.D.

RLS:rh

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785—Continued



Reply, Please Refer to

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

200 Mercantile Continental Building 1810 Commerce Street Dallas. Texas

July 16, 1964

Mr. Clayton Fowler Attorney at Law 706 Kmin Street Dallas, Texas

Dear Mr. Fowler:

RE: JACK L. RUBY

This will confirm my tolephone conversation with you and Mr. Sol Dann on July 15, 1964, concerning the interest of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy in affording a polygraph examination to Mr. Ruby. You adviced that you and your associates and various members of Mr. Ruby's family desired for ms to convey to the Commission information which would be supplied by Mr. Dann.

Subsequently, Mr. Dann advised, by telephone, that the family had consulted with Dector Emmunul Tanay, 861 Fisher Building, Detroit 2, Michigan, who had node on examination of Ruby in the past, and that you, Mr. Dann, and the family would be guided by the judgment of Doctor Tanay. Mr. Dann stated that at that time Doctor Tanay has adviced a polygraph examination would seriously affect Ruby's health, that the Doctor was of the opinion it was highly questionable whether such a test would be of any value, in view of Ruby's present mental condition. Mr. Dann stated, therefore, he would not be in a position to allow Ruby to have a test at this time, and that he further desired to have conveyed to the Commission the following conditions under which the tests might be given in the future, if the above matters are ever received:

fice: Mr. Sol Dann 1820 David Stoot Building Detroit 28, Michigan

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2786

- The test would be given in the presence of Doctor Toney, either you or Mr. Denn, with no outsiders present, with particular reference to enyone from the District Attorney's office or the Shoriff's Office.
- That it would have to be assured the results
 of the tast would be held confidential by the
 Commission, and under no circumstances made
 ovaliable to the District Attorney or the press.
- That written authority for such examination be obtained from Mr. Earl Ruby.

Mr. Donn stated that, while you and others were desirous of cooperating with the Commission, it was not felt a polygraph examination would be parmissible, in view of the possible effect the examination might have on Ruby's health, except under the conditions noted above.

Mr. Dann stated the FDI or the Commission might desire to contact Doctor Tanay. He was advised this would be a matter left entirely up to the Commission, as the FDI was morely requested to set up the polygraph examination by the Commission.

Very truly yours,

J. GORDON SHANKLIN Special Agent in Charge

-2-





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

September 10, 1964

BY COURIER SERVICE

Honorable J. Lee Rankin General Counsel The President's Commission 200 Haryland Avenue, N. E. Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Rankin:

There are enclosed two copies each of memoranda dated August 25 and August 28, 1964, dealing with the claims of Ernesto Lima Juarez.

The group photograph referred to in the enclosed momoranda was designated Exhibit D-231, two copies of which were furnished to you as an enclosure to the report in the Lee Harvey Oswald case of Special Agent Robert E. Shortelle dated July 28, 1964, at New Haven, Connecticut. Our letters dated July 1 and July 16, 1964, set forth further information concerning the statements of Mr. Lima Juarez. In view of the results of our reinterrogation of Mr. Lima Juarez on August 26, 1964, no further action is contemplated in connection with this phase of our investigation.

Upon detachment from the classified enclosures, this letter may be regarded as unclassified.

Sincerely yours,

d. I have

Enclosures (4)

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2787