### 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3 9 C 1 2 3 4 5 3

1

### Interview of Ms. Anne Goodpasture by T. Jeremy Gunn April 23, 1998 Federal Court House in Dallas, Texas

Continuation of the Deposition which began in December 1995

Dr. Gunn:

REVINGSHE

When we concluded that deposition in 1995, I expected that we would complete it within the next few months, and it has been almost two and a half years. Ms. Goodpasture, I think we will only have maybe an hour or so of questions today. I would like to ask you if you understand that you are still under oath from the previous . . .

Ms. Goodpasture: I do.

Dr. Gunn:

. . . from the previous time that we met. We do not have a court reporter this time, so it is just Ms. Goodpasture and myself in the room with the tape recorder.

Ms. Goodpasture, I would like to ask you a few questions that I would like to try and complete the lines of questions that I had started with you before. At the completion of our interview today, I will be giving a copy of the transcript of the deposition to CIA for a declassification review; and, as soon as we have completed that, I will be sending you a copy of it that you can review and then make corrections on.

Let me start out by asking you a question about activities of the CIA in Mexico City in the 1963 period. And I'd like you just to give your best recollection. If you don't know, you should feel comfortable saying no. But please provide the best recollection that you can.

Do you now know whether CIA had any human sources inside the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City in 1963?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't know . . . without reviewing all of the files from that period. I don't remember any specific sources . . . other . . . human sources.

Dr. Gunn:

Sure. Let me try asking the question in a very slightly different way. If this refreshes your recollection, fine; if not, that's fine. After the assassination of President Kennedy, do you remember yourself, or anyone else, undertaking any efforts to contact people

in the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City to find out if they had any information about the assassination?

I don't remember anyone calling or contacting any of the officials in Ms. Goodpasture:

the Embassy. And I don't recall whether anyone contacted people

who might have reason to go there, like repair people. That's

about all I remember about that.

Let me try the question again in another way. And, if this helps Dr. Gunn:

refresh your recollection, fine. Do you remember receiving anv kind of instruction from Win Scott or Mr. White that said something like. "People at CIA Station in Mexico City should do everything they could to find out information from their sources in Mexico City

about the assassination."?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't remember anything specifically; but I'm sure that

Washington sent something down saying, "Check all the sources

that you have to get the reaction." But I don't remember any

specific people.

The guestions that I asked were principally in terms of the Soviet Dr. Gunn:

Embassy.

Yeah. Ms. Goodpastue:

Let me try now just the same sort of question with the Cuban Dr. Gunn:

Embassy or Consulate. Do you remember any human sources or

contacts that CIA had in the Cuban Embassy or Consulate in

1963?

The only thing I remember is that there were people who were Ms. Goodpasture:

supposed to be calling their contacts; but I don't know who their contacts were. There was someone in the political section of the Embassy who was in contact with . . . or maybe it was a walk-in . . . I think someone connected with Octavio Paz, the Mexican literary figure who, incidentally, just died. I remember seeing something was written about an interview with this lady, or she may have come to the Embassy to say she'd been at the Cuban Embassy.

But that's just about all I remember on that.

Are you referring to Elena Garro de Paz? Dr. Gunn:

Ms. Goodpasture: I didn't know her.

2

3

4

5

3

7 3

9

)

3

1

5

)

Okay. Let me try a similar question, but not with human sources Dr. Gunn:

but with electronic sources. My question won't pertain to telephone taps, but to microphone surveillance or to bugs in either the Cuban or Soviet Embassies. Were you aware of any bugs that were in

place in either Soviet or Cuban Embassies in 1963?

Ms. Goodpasture: I can't remember any specific ones connected with that date.

There were . . . I remember there were bugs, but I don't know when they were put in, or how long they ran, or who put them in, or who

was handling them.

One thing that would be an obvious sort of question is: After the Dr. Gunn:

assassination, if the CIA had in place in the Cuban Embassy, bugs, there would presumably be interest in what was being said about Oswald, about the assassination, and what was known. Do you remember anybody ever saying, "We should look at the take from

bugs, microphone surveillance, to see what is happening."?

Ms. Goodpasture: Well, I don't remember those specific instructions; but it's just

human nature that they would be made. I wasn't handling the tape from any take from anything other than the phone taps. So I don't

know who was handling it or where, or what happened to the take.

Dr. Gunn: Okay. Another question that I had is about something that is

referred to as the Cubana Airlines flight on November 22, 1963. I

understand that you had some responsibility for collecting.

information related to that for either the Church Committee or the

House Select Committee on Assassinations. Is that right?

Well, when I... I was asked to verify a report from the Church Ms. Godpasture:

Committee that there was someone on that flight who had . . . and I

don't recall the details of that memorandum. But the way I

remember it, it was that flight was delayed long enough to prevent

someone to get on that flight who had been a part of the

assassination to take off from Mexico City for Cuba. I checked . . . we checked the airline schedule, the manifest. We checked the telephone coverage to the Cuban Embassy, which also had the coverage between the airport, Cubana, and the Cuban Embassy.

And we verified that that report could not have been correct, from

THIS COPY IS NOT

FOR DELEGE

0 1 those records, because the time when the other private plane was supposed to have arrived there, the Cubana flight, I believe, had

already taken off.

Dr. Gunn: And the work that you did on this was all in the 1970s, is that

correct? . . . at the time of the Church Committee.

Ms. Goodpasture: Yes. Several years after the fact.

Do you remember having any involvement in that, the issue of the Dr. Gunn:

Cubana Airlines flight in 1963? That is, were you . . . did Win Scott

ask you to do something on it?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't remember. If he asked me to check the airlines manifest, I

would have. Now the only thing . . . I don't know about the time ☐ ... there was a project that 'C' frame. But  $\Gamma$ I photographed the passports of the passengers—I believe both going to and coming from Cuba. And we got that information, and it was sent to Washington because of the volume of it. And they, I believe, did machine runs of traffic. This was during the days when it was illegal for U.S. citizens to travel to Cuba, and we were authorized, in Washington, to report on the Americans; and that was one of the projects involved. And I picked up the material and sent it to the pouch to Washington-the way I remember it. But other than that, I don't recall working on anything

that day.

There's a man named Marty Underwood who was an advance man Dr. Gunn:

for President Johnson. He also had worked in the Kennedy

Administration.

Ms. Goodpasture: Working where?

Dr. Gunn: He worked in the Kennedy Administration, as I recall, either in the

Small Business Administration or Department of Commerce. But he was an advance man for President Johnson. And he has told a story about going to Mexico City sometime after the assassination and talking to Win Scott about the assassination. My first question is: Do you even remember the name Marty Underwood or do you

remember meeting...

THIS COPY IS ILLE FOR RELEASE

### -SECRET-

9

0

Ms. Goodpasture: No.

Dr. Gunn: ... somebody like that? One of the stories that he has reported is

that Win Scott told him during the 1960s about a flight that came

from Dallas and landed in Mexico City on the evening of

November 22, 1963. Do you remember Win Scott ever having

spoken to you about such a flight?

Ms. Goodpasture: No.

Dr. Gunn: Do you remember anybody else ever talking about a flight from

Dallas, Texas, to Mexico City?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't remember. The only thing I remember about that flight was

that Church Committee inquiry.

Dr. Gunn: But you don't remember anything about this . . .

Ms. Goodpasture: No.

Dr. Gunn: ... at all from the 1960s? Let me jump to another question. The

last time that we spoke we talked a little bit about photographic surveillance at the Cuban Embassy; and, as I recall, you had said that that was not within your area of responsibility. Is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture: Right.

Dr. Gunn: Do you know who it was . . . Let me try one other question first.

You had some responsibility, from what I understand, of

coordinating some of the take—both photographic and telephone

surveillance—from the Soviet Embassy.

Ms. Goodpasture: Right.

Dr. Gunn: Is that right? Was there a person who had responsibility similar to

your own for Cuba?

Ms. Goodpasture: Initially, the person who was in charge of the Cuban Project—and I

think it was Dave Phillips—wanted, asked Scott to have me do the

photographs of the Cuban Embassy and the Soviet Embassy,

same way I was working the Soviet Embassy. And, because of the

-SECRET

1

2

3

4

5

3

7

### SECRET

volume, and because I didn't know anything about the Cuban Embassy operations, and I had worked initially, when I first went to Mexico, with the person working the Soviet Embassy. So I knew about the premises and I was more comfortable at trying, helping to identify the Soviets. But I didn't know anything about the Cubans, you see. And I felt, when Scott came and said, "Why don't . . . Dave wants you"—I believe it was Dave—"take, to do the same thing for him that you're doing for the Russians" (to identify all these people coming and going). And I said, "... just can't do it because I don't know anything about what else is going on. And so many little things were—pieces would fit together easier—so it has to be someone who's working with it." And he said, "Well, I think you're right." And I believe—but I can't remember for sure—that they passed it around. And at one time, I think that it was Bob Shaw, and then Lorna McKay may have worked on it. When I was in Washington, the IG staff wanted me to go through the records to try to determine how the photograph operations were handled for both Soviets and the Cubans. And that draft that had-I prepared for John Lear a draft of the individuals that I thought, based on the records, were working on it-records and my memory. But I can't be sure who was handling it. They also used the technical officer at the station, too, to help me. And I don't remember who that was at that time.

Dr. Gunn:

Was there any ongoing attempt to coordinate activities related to the Soviet Embassy and the Cuban Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture:

Well, not on the level that I was in; see, I was down on a much lower level. I'm sure that Dave, that the head of the Cuban Section and the head of the Soviet Section and Win Scott and Alan White, all coordinated things on that level. But I wasn't always included in those conversations, so I can't answer that.

Dr. Gunn:

I guess part of the question would be as if, or one way of looking at this, would be as if there is a call from the Soviet Embassy to the Cuban Embassy; that telephone call could conceivably be picked up by two different wiretaps.

Ms. Goodpasture:

It could be. But the way I remember it was that we had an Larry project, Larry taps, and I think some of the Cuban Embassy taps were on that line, in that project. But some of



7887 - 13 <u>197</u>

1

the other Cuban Embassy telephones were on the project that I worked on. So, if they called from a line that we didn't cover-my project didn't cover-to a line in the Soviet Embassy that my project didn't cover—and there were some, we couldn't cover them all, then we wouldn't have gotten it. But normally, it would be from the call, sometimes, it would be from a call that my project covered in the Cuban's Embassy to a line that my project covered in the Soviet Embassy. And when that happened, the transcripts from the Cuban Embassy went to the Cuban Section, the transcripts from the Soviet Embassy went to the Soviet Section. And it was up to them to compare those . . . to identify the people or compare the transcripts.

Dr. Gunn:

Presumably—and I'm basing this not on having seen any records, but just trying to imagine—in the early 1960s, there would have been a great interest on the part of the CIA about what the Cubans and Soviets were doing together, separately from just what Soviets are doing and what Cubans are doing, but whether they have any joint plans. Now, again, I may be wrong, but that just would seem to me to be an obvious possibility. Do you know of any attempts to coordinate those separate issues in Mexico City to try to understand any joint operations the Cubans and Soviets might have?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't recall what the relationship was at that time. Now it would have been—it's 1962—when was Castro's campaign, wasn't that '62?

Dr. Gunn:

When he came to power was 1960.

Ms. Goodpasture:

1960? See, there's where my memory's off. Well I'm sure that there was coordination between the Cuban Section and the Soviet Section, on a liaison between the Cuban Embassy and the Soviet Embassy. But I don't have any memory of how it functioned or any specific instances.

Dr. Gunn:

We've talked about electronic surveillance that CIA was conducting in Mexico City. Do you have any information at all about electronic surveillance being conducted by the U.S. military or by the FBI in Mexico City?

### \_SECRET \_\_

Ms. Goodpasture: No.

1 2

3

5 6

7

8

9

0

2

3

4

5

0

1 2

3 4 5

6

7

3

Э 1

2

3

4

5

ŝ

7 3

9

Э

Dr. Gunn: Do you know whether they were doing anything at all?

Ms. Goodpasture: No, I don't know. I can't answer that.

Dr. Gunn: Would it be your assumption, knowing about how the CIA station

worked there and how liaison worked with other U.S. entities, that the CIA would have known about any electronic surveillance that's

being done by the military in Mexico City?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't recall any instances when they came and said we have

these people covered. Usually, the FBI and the other agencies would—at least Scott would say that they came to him, they wanted coverage of something. I think our agency probably had the primary coverage.

. But I don't know the

details of it.

Dr. Gunn: Do you know what the target was?

Ms. Goodpasture: No.

Dr. Gunn: Okay. You haven't heard anything about FBI wiretaps in Mexico

City?

Ms. Goodpasture: I don't remember anything about one.

Dr. Gunn: Okay. There was a woman named Sylvia Duran in Mexico City

who was arrested shortly after the assassination and

interviewed—she's the person who met with Oswald in the Cuban Consulate and she was a Mexican citizen herself. Do you know anything about any transcripts of interrogations of her? Does

that—would that mean anything to you?

Ms. Goodpasture: No, I don't recall anything about those transcripts. I think in some

book somewhere I read later about the transcripts. And I

remember about the arrest, vaguely. My memory about that is

-SECRET-

ρ



0

connected with my memory—it was, it may have been that incident

that prompted a review of all of our records that turned up

Oswald—identified Oswald as having made the call to the Cuban Embassy at the time he called the Soviet Embassy. But I can't put it all in order . . . because it was handled by someone else in the station. And I don't remember—I just remember the talk about it. And I may have seen part of the transcript when we were reviewing

our files.

Dr. Gunn: Let me ask you a question, if I can get your best recollection or

best thoughts on this. And the question will be a somewhat general question for you, but if you could think about as best you can and see what this would prompt with you. The first time that CIA picked up information about Oswald coming to Mexico City was probably September 28 of 1963, and he was in Mexico City until the beginning of October of 1963. There were some cables that went back and forth between the CIA in Mexico City and Headquarters prior to the assassination. During that period—we'll say from approximately September 28 until the assassination—did you ever hear anybody in the U.S. (well, let's say in the CIA) talk about Oswald as being a potential KGB agent or a potential CIA agent, or discuss some circumstances around Oswald that would

be different at all from what the record might suggest?

No, I didn't. I don't remember anything. There may have been Ms. Goodpasture:

> speculation by everybody that . . . as to why . . . if he was the defector . . . why was he visiting there. But I don't recall anything.

Dr. Gunn: You mean that, after the CIA in Mexico City learned that Oswald . .

Ms. Goodpasture: This was before the assassination.

Dr. Gunn: So what you mean is that . . .

Ms. Goodpasture: The main thing that we talked about was why they didn't . . . they

didn't, they refused to send us a photograph.

Headquarters refused to send Mexico City a photograph. Dr. Gunn:

Ms. Goodpasture: Right. It may have been some reason that they didn't have one or

couldn't get one. And we couldn't understand that. We didn't want

to send the photographs of the Mexico Station out if they were not Oswald because it would create . . . Here we'd be claiming it was . . somebody might say, "Well this is Oswald." I don't think we sent those photographs. There was a little argument going back and forth. The reason for that was that the person closest to the agent had told this agent that, if they would do that work, that their identities wouldn't be exposed and we would try to protect them. The only way we could protect them was through the photographs. If we sent the photographs out and someone in Washington said this was made in front of the Soviet Embassy by so-and-so, the person that was left holding the bag that had to protect those people was on our staff. And that was the reason that we didn't want to send those photographs out; because once they were outside of our office, you had no control over them. And there was an incident when we said . . . we gave a couple of photographs, I think, to the FBI, that had a license plate number on them. And they went up to El Paso or someplace and we got a report back saying, "Agent so-and-so showed this photograph to such-andsuch, and he said, 'yes, he lives there,' and said it was made in the Soviet Embassy by the CIA—it was made in Mexico City by the CIA." That sort of thing was the reason that we objected to using the photographs. As far as your question is concerned, I don't recall anybody speculating that he was an agent of ours or any proof that he was on the payroll.

Dr. Gunn:

So, if I understood correctly, that the speculation, this again prior to the assassination, about Oswald is that there is something peculiar about his being a defector, having been a defector to the Soviet Union and now he's going to the Soviet Embassy . . .

Ms. Goodpasture: Yes. Why?

Dr. Gunn:

... but without anybody knowing anything more than that. After the assassination, did you ever hear anyone in CIA discuss or speculate on what Oswald was doing in the Soviet Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture: After the assassination, and then the appointment of the Warren Commission, it seemed to me that almost every move they made was in the newspapers; and we got those press accounts, one of which was that one where I wrote the note on, that we didn't know

that he was the same man who called from the Cuban Embassy to

the Soviet, because we hadn't identified him at that point.

Dr. Gunn: So the record is clear: I'm assuming that in your last reference to

the newspaper clipping, you were talking about the document we

had as Exhibit No. 12 to the last deposition.

Ms. Goodpasture: This is the one I was talking about.

Dr. Gunn: That is the one you were referring to, Exhibit 12. Okay, that's just

to make it clear for the record.

Ms. Goodpasture: And I may be wrong, but I think that interrogation of Sylvia

Duran prompted a rechecking of all of our records; and it turned up this caller that had not been identified as Oswald until that time. And it didn't look good for us, and I think that's why Scott got so upset, after the fact, you know. But I could be wrong; but that's the

way I remembered it.

Dr. Gunn: So you didn't hear or see anything then in the CIA station that

would suggest that Oswald is anything more than just an individual

who went to the Soviet Embassy and the Cuban Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture: No. I think, if there had been any . . . if we had heard anything to

that effect, there would have been traffic between the Mexico station and Washington, because Scott was not the kind of person that didn't want everything on the record. And I think if there had been a story circulating he would have blasted off a cable to Washington saying that there is a story circulating that Oswald was

an agent of ours in wherever.

Dr. Gunn: And you have no reason to believe that Win Scott knew anything

about Oswald that would suggest that he was an agent?

Ms. Goodpasture: No. And I think that if Scott had known, been involved with Oswald

in any way or had been interested in Oswald at the time that he came to Mexico, he would have said something to me because I was handling those projects. He was just not the kind of person that could keep that many things to himself—I mean if it was agency connected. He wanted it on the record and he wanted

credit for it.

- CECRET -

### \_SECRET\_\_

Dr. Gunn: That's the end of my questions. Is there anything else that you can

think of that would be important to say or describe about your

experience in Mexico City?

Ms. Goodpasture: The only thing . . . I may have explained this to you before; but, at

the time that I was working with the agency, my name was spelled, always, without the "e" in my agency records. When I retired, I didn't have any identification. When I got a copy of the birth

certificate, it had the "e" on it; so I got a driver's license, and the driver's license has the "e" on it. Everything else is so it looks like there's some discrepancy in my name; but it's really the same

person.

Dr. Gunn: So, technically and accurately, it should have an "e" on it . . .

Ms. Goodpasture: Should have an "e" on it.

Dr. Gunn: but the CIA consistently did not have an "e."

Ms. Goodpasture: All of the records. And it just never occurred to me to . . . and

some of my school records may have not had the "e" on it. In one

of the family Bibles, it has it with an "e"—and one without.

Dr. Gunn: Okay. Well, thank you very much for your time and for coming

down again the second day. And, as I've mentioned to you, I will send you a copy of the transcript for you to review for accuracy.

Thank you very much.

Ms. Goodpasture: Do you want me to read this, or wait and read the whole thing at

once.

Dr. Gunn: I'll send one down to you after it's been declassified and you'll be

able to take a look at it. Okay. Thank you.

# SECRET

1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5 6	JFK ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD
7	•
8	
9	
10	ORAL DEPOSITION OF
11	ANNE L. GOODPASTURE
12	
13	
14	CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO CIA HAS NO OBJECTION ANDIOR CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO CIA INFORMATION OF CIA INFORMATION OF CIA INFORMATION
15	CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION ANDIOR DECLAS
16	IN THIS DOCUMENT
17	· · · ·
18	
19	

On December 15, 1995, at 10:00 a.m., the oral deposition of the above-named witness was taken before Kim M. Dickman, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for

# SECRET

2

1	APPEARANCES
2	T. Jeremy Gunn
3	Michelle M. Seguin  JFK Assassination Records Review Board  600 F Standard
4	600 E Street NW Washington, D.C. 20530
5	•
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	· · ·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	•
18	•
19	

20

[aA -DSL]

### SECRET

- 23 the State of Texas, at the U.S. Attorneys Office, 1100
- 24 Commerce Street, Third Floor, in the City of Dallas,
- 25 County of Dallas, State of Texas.

# [2B-DSC#]

## SECRET

Character and Ch	To the Editor
•	In the Orphil a Outlook Section of your paper an article
	agreened headlined "The Oswald File: Tales of the Routing Slips
	- Six Wells Before JFK's Thurder, The CIA Dichnit Tell are That
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	It Knew.
	Some weeks prior I had been contacted by an editor
	of Outlook who informed me of the new Presidential Committee
	Set up to quell the new speculations generated by the Oliver
-	Stone movie "JFK" He said a problem had anison met only
	I could resolve. He would like to interview me bringing clong
	an historian. Being an admirer of the Post and the Octlock
	section and believing that they adhered to integrity and veracity
	nother than pandering to sensationalism I agreed having
	checked he editor's chedentials.
	'n; husband and I had made en absolute decision
	never to surmit to interviews by cyathors or yournalists
	since the results inevitably were turisted, contorted or downight
	untrue in an effort to diter to the rownier obsession of
<del></del>	The american people with sensationalist presentations of
	trageones and intelligence operations, I bitterly requet
}-	having breached this decision in my belief of the reliability
	and good sense of your paper.
	for the necord : I was shown a hummary of the Oct 8 1963
	Cable from our mexico lity station reporting the vist of a man
	Giving his name as Os world to The Soviet and Cuban Emberres
	There, running a visa. Headquarters replied with a summary
	of information based on State Bept reports regarding their

स्थाप स्थान १९८० १८८० १८८५ स्थाप व्यवस्थाप्रमान स्थाप

· d · 2 · 4 · · ·	
-3-3-1-1	Estentacle with Oswald in moscow and his neturn to the U.S. To
	1 \$1+01 enformation is included and in was stated Than date 40
روس م کا	Timformation was a State of your or many 1612
	- Incepare hus carble previewed it in drast and coordinated
4-6-3-3	Alla release. The Transition is the memore
- 6 to 10 to	of the possible identification was disseminated October 10 to
	State fist and harry ( 0's died was an ex- marine) in Washing
1 2 3 6 7 3	State fist and having ( 0's died was an ex- marine) in was hington of mexico station was descrited to pass it to Their mexico
- 1 3 - F - 3	Bremerente Fors, one tusting Ins.
	- bitterly regul this decision, lit by interactive which
4-6-5	I was tape recorded by work men in A Time
12.7.7.3	Thereby a word. The interview was done by mr. neuman
oto to	Fisher is writing a book on "Oswald and The CIR". His approach
2 2 2 2 2	of can best ke described as compontational. I later told the
40	is editor ther is agreed to me that he had set up this intentions
5 3	Tin order That how human could ask his questions of me.
7 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	The whole with the
13.5	The whole situation appeared to me to be making a mous trous
او کا اور	mountain out of a mole hill my statements have been
25.53	seriously controlled taken our of context or, at bust,
4 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	mus interpreted. I asked for a copy of the meetings statements made by must interest be used in the article trion to publication which is the use in the article trion to publication which is the for the rector of
ال المالية الم	for the record! I have never heard or need any improvetion
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	That There was any prelation shop, direct or inclinect, with Oswald.
·• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	film water controllion a body of distinguished honorable and
	there government commissions of committees The CIA contributed
يني عميد د ماد به د م	10ther government commissions of committees The CIA contributed
	whole reartedly in These unvestigations.

I do not recall paying that The withholding of for information non Cia mexico station was deliserate, non do I believe it. my explanation would be that the two FBI reports of OCT 1963 in mentioned in The Outlook article us initialed by me, went Muhaugh extensive routing and would not have reached Oswald's dossier in lentral Registry and been available To The desk officer who prepared and drafted the reply to the mexican caisle. That nothing to do with the preparation or drafting, Signed off on it as a matter of routine coordination and neview. The fBI reports in any case would not have added any thing of bignificant value to the situation in Mexico City and the cable thouse a summary of pertinent facts. There was no particular reason for withher says that one the CIVA explanation that The FIBI reports were exclusive states that The request focused only on The status of Oswald's citizenships and Therefore areas only from State Dept information. lond I never saw the incoming cable from Inexico Station, So I was in no position to veriby it fremely I don't recall having been informed of this explanation in this form. The quetation of my saying " This may or may not be true -I was either Taken out or context or contorted. I certainly would mot have impregned the vercity of another CIA official. In aturally both the CIA and The fist of great interest to both the CIA and The FBI. Even The assessmation. CIA would have explored every available asset llatroad to entablish his metives and activities. Some of this stigation may have been operationally sometime and thought held closely

	And the second control of the second control
	for a need- 10- lenow basis. I have absolutely no personal
	throwledge of This. The fact, as publicly tonown now, that
	105 wald was a big head ache to the Soviets who finally places
	him as a metal worker in merisk and were happy to return
	from to The States complete with Somet wife a most unusual
	Occurrence as some Comerican venous to their sorrow) specers for
	thelp. IN my opinion he was a complete peur socioparte is
	mot in fact a psychopeth.
	The sub headline on the Outlook anticle " Six Weeks Babone
	SFK's hourder, The CIA Bidn't Tell all That It Knew" is
	rensationally misleading. The information in the cable from
	Murico Station was dissemented to state the FBI, Ins,
	and havy Loswield was an ex. marine) and to their repre-
	Sentatives in Mexico lity.
	The Soviets, Castro, The maxia in President Johnson have all
	been publicly suspected of having been behind the assumetion.
	In the article it was stated that The FFK film suggested That
****	Kennedy was murdered because he was resisting exclotion of the
	Vietnam War. Two. Truman was an advisor to Oliver Stone. are
	The military Then to be considered the next suspect?
o de la me comme e que comme de q	I apologize to all my former LIA colleagues and
پريند پر سند ۽ دست	Those presently concerned for my much regulted impolorment
	in This most flagrantly nich culous as incorrect article.
	in correct arricle